OKC Development Codes Update

Electric Vehicle Parking Requirements 4/22/2022

Why Change the Code Now?

Development Codes Update Project



planokc

OKC's Land Use Plan for the Future

planokc.org



planokc LUTAS

"The LUTAs are oriented around a spectrum of development intensities – from undeveloped Open Space, to the high intensity of Downtown." - planokc Development Guide



planokc Desired Outcomes

- Integrate uses while ensuring compatibility
- Allow increased densities where appropriate
- Mitigate negative impacts of compact development
- Integrate residential unit types and sizes
- Improve transportation system connectivity
- Increase walkability
- Revise parking standards + prohibit new surface parking downtown
- Facilitate cluster/conservation subdivisions
- Ensure adequate and quality open space and streetscapes
- Preserve environmental/water quality + reduce flood risk
- Increase landscaping amount and quality
- Establish citywide design regulations to ensure functional and aesthetic minimums
- Establish/Improve design standards



New LUTA Zone Approach

- Organize new zoning districts based on LUTAs
- Integrate more deliberate standards to align with LUTAs
- As LUTAs move along the continuum from rural to urban, purposeful standards apply regarding:
 - -FAR to manage scale and bulk
 - -Building and streetscape design
 - -Parking
 - -Walkability
 - -Transit usage



	LUTA	Proposed Districts	Current District(s)in relation to intensity
	RL , Rural: Low Intensity & AP, Agricultural Preserve	RL-AR , Agricultural Residential RL-RC , Rural Commercial	AA, RC
	RM, Rural: Medium Intensity	RM-SF , Single-Family RM-RC , Commercial Services	RA-2, RA, RC
		UL-SF, Single-Family UL-MR, Mixed Residential	R-1, R-MH-1, R-1Z, R-2, R-3, R-4
	UL , Urban: Low Intensity	UL-MX, Mixed Use UL-NC, Neighborhood Convenience UL-OI, Office and Institutional UL-GC, General Commercial UL-LI, Light Industry	O-1, O-2, C-1, C-3, C-4, C-HC, I-1, I-2
	UM , Urban: Medium Intensity	UM-SF, Single-Family UM-MF, Multi-Family	R-1, R-2, R-3, R-3M, R-4
		UM-NB, Neighborhood Business UM-PO, Professional Office UM-MX, Mixed Use UM-LI, Infill Industry	O-1, O-2, NB, C-1, C-3, C-CBD, I-1

	LUTA	Proposed Districts	Current District(s)
Proposed Districts	UH , Urban: High Intensity	UH-OF, Office UH-OM, Office Mixed UH-BC, Bricktown UH-DT, Downtown UH-MH, Mixed High	O-1, O-2, BC, DBD, DTD-1, DTD-2
	UC , Urban Commercial	UC-MS, Main Street UC-CC, Commercial Corridor	NB, C-1, C-2, C-3, C-CBD
	RD , Regional District TO , Transit-Oriented District	RD-RC, Retail Center RD-AC, Activity Center	C-3, C-4
	DT , Downtown TO , Transit-Oriented District	DT-CB, Central BusinessDT- MR, Mid-RiseDT-HR, High-Rise	DBD, DTD-1, DTD-2
	EM, Employment District	EM-TP, Technology Park EM-BP, Business Park EM-IP, Industrial Park	TP, I-1, I-2
	HI, Heavy Industry	HI, Heavy Industry	I-3

EV Parking: Growing Demand & Cost Savings

Development Codes Update Project

Demand for EVSE

- By 2030, EEI forecasts the number of EVs on the road to reach **18.7 million**.
- This represents approximately 7% of the 259 million vehicles on the road in the U.S.
- All major auto manufacturers have announced plans to electrify a significant portion of their vehicle fleets over the next 3–5 years.



Local Demand

U.S. Department of Energy's Alternative Fuels Data Center *Electric Vehicle Infrastructure Projection Tool (EVI-Pro) Lite*



OKLAHOMA CITY

5%

ELECTRIC VEHICLE ADOPTION RATE

ESTIMATED EV CHARGING NEED Total Light Duty Vehicles (2016): 985,800

Workplace Level 2 Charging Plugs 1,942

Public Level 2 Charging Plugs 1,193 (88 existing)

Public DC Fast Charging Plugs 259 (112 existing)

Why Address in Development Code?

Cost Savings

- Construction Management
 Coordination
- Permitting & Inspection efficiencies
- Shorter/more direct raceways and conduit routing
- Avoided trenching costs
- Correct sizing of electrical panels anticipating future loads

Cost per EV Parking Space: New Construction vs Retrofit

Case Study prepared for the City and County of San Francisco (2016)



The case study considers a parking lot with ten total spaces and two EV Parking Spaces, and compares the EV infrastructure installation costs at the time of new construction versus building retrofit. "EV Parking Spaces" define spaces that have an EV-Ready Outlet, and include the electrical panel capacity, raceways, breakers, outlet boxes, and wiring to install an EV charger at any given time in the future.



Why Address in Development Code?

Table 2. Cost of EV Charging Infrastructure

	Per EV Parking Space with Electric Circuit		Total Incremental Cost of Building	
	New	Retrofit	New	Retrofit
Scenario A – 10 Parking Space Building, two EV Parking Spaces	\$920	\$3,710	\$1,840	\$7,420
Scenario B – 60 Parking Space Building, 12 EV Parking Spaces	\$860	\$2,370	\$10,320	\$28,440
Source: PG&E 2016				SWEEP

EV Parking Terminology

Development Codes Update Project

1. EV-Capable

Install electrical panel capacity with a dedicated branch circuit and a continuous raceway from the panel to the future EV parking spot.

Sample Code: Denver, CO





2. EVSE-Ready Outlet

Install electrical panel capacity and raceway with conduit to terminate in a junction box or 240-volt charging outlet (typical clothing dryer outlet).

Sample Code: Boulder, CO





3. EVSE-Installed

Install a minimum number of Level 2 EV charging stations.

Palo Alto, CA: 5-10% of parking is EV-Installed





EVSE = Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment a.k.a. "Charger"



- LEVEL I
 - 120v household outlet
 - 3-5 miles of range per hour
- LEVEL II
 - 208v/240v
 - 12-80 miles of range per hour
 - Most often 13-25 mrph
- DC Fast Charger
 - 480v 500v
 - 10 to 30 minutes for full charge

Level 1: 110V



Level 2: 240V May require service upgrade



EV Parking Regulations in Other Cities

Development Codes Update Project

Single-Family

1 EV-Ready Space per Dwelling Unit:

- St. Louis, MO (2021)
- Boulder, CO (2020)
- Denver, CO (2020)
- Flagstaff, AZ (2019)
- Seattle, WA (2019)
- San Jose, CA (2019)



Single-Family

1 EV-Capable Space per Dwelling Unit:

- Honolulu, HI (2020)
- Lakewood, CO (2019)
- Sedona, AZ (2019)
- Fort Collins, CO (2019)
- Atlanta, GA (2017)
- Aspen, CO (2017)
- Palo Alto, CA (2017)
- California (2010)



Multi-Family



Municipality	State	Year	Multi-family
Avon	со	2021	5% EV-Installed, 10% EV-Ready, 15% EV-Capable (7+ spaces)
St. Louis	MO	2021	2% EV-Installed, 5% EV-Ready (increases to 10% in 2025)
Madison	WI	2021	2% EV-Installed, 10% EV-Ready (increases by 10% every 5 years)
Washington DC	DC	2021	20% EV-Ready (3+ spaces)
Summit County	со	2020	5% EV-Installed, 10% EV-Ready, 40% EV-Capable (10+ spaces)
Dillon	со	2020	5% EV-Installed, 10% EV-Ready, 40% EV-Capable (10+ spaces)
Breckenridge	со	2020	5% EV-Installed, 10% EV-Ready, 40% EV-Capable (10+ spaces)
Frisco	со	2020	5% EV-Installed, 10% EV-Ready, 40% EV-Capable (10+ spaces)
<u>Salt Lake City</u> (pending)	UT	2020	20% EV-Capable
City of Boulder	со	2020	5% EV-Installed, 15% EV-Ready, 40% EV-Capable (25+ spaces)
<u>Denver</u>	со	2020	5% EV-Installed, 15% EV-Ready, 80% EV-Capable
Honolulu	н	2020	25% EV-Ready (8+ spaces)



Commercial



Municipality	State	Year	Commercial
Avon	со	2021	5% EV-Installed, 10% EV-Ready, 15% EV-Capable (10+ spaces)
St. Louis	MO	2021	2% EV-Installed, 5% EV-Ready
<u>Madison</u>	wi	2021	1% EV-Installed (increases by 1% every 5 years), 10% EV-Ready (increases by 10% every 5 years)
Washington DC	DC	2021	20% EV-Ready (3+ spaces)
Summit County	со	2020	5% EV-Installed, 10% EV-Ready, 40% EV-Capable (25+ spaces)
Dillon	со	2020	5% EV-Installed, 10% EV-Ready, 40% EV-Capable (25+ spaces)
Breckenridge	со	2020	5% EV-Installed, 10% EV-Ready, 40% EV-Capable (25+ spaces)
Frisco	со	2020	5% EV-Installed, 10% EV-Ready, 40% EV-Capable (25+ spaces)
Salt Lake City (pending)	UT	2020	
City of Boulder	со	2020	5% EV-Installed, 10% EV-Ready, 10% EV-Capable
Denver	со	2020	5% EV-Installed, 10% EV-Ready, 10% EV-Capable
Honolulu	н	2020	25% EV-Ready (12+ spaces)



Commercial / Multi-Family



Municipality	State	Year	Multi-family	Commercial	
Chicago	IL	2020	20% EV-Ready (5+ spaces)	20% EV-Ready (30+ spaces)	
Lakewood	со	2019	2% EV-Installed, 18% EV-Capable (10+ spaces)	2% EV-Installed, 13% - 18% EV- Capable (10+ spaces)	
<u>Flagstaff</u>	AZ	2019	3% EV-Ready	3% EV-Ready	
Massachusetts	MA	2019		1 EV-Ready space (15+ spaces)	
<u>Seattle</u>	WA	2019	100% EV-Ready up to 6 space, 20% for parking lots with 7+ spaces	10% EV-Ready	
Sedona	AZ	2019		5% EV-Capable	
<u>Golden</u>	со	2019	1 EV-Installed Space per 15 parking space, 15% EV-Capable		
San Jose	CA	2019	10% EV-Installed, 20% EV-Ready, 70% EV-Capable	10% EV-Installed, 40% EV-Capable	
Fort Collins	со	2019	10% EV-Capable		
<u>Vancouver</u>	BC	2019	100% EV-Ready	10% EV-Ready	
<u>Oakland</u>	CA	2018	10% EV-Ready, 90% "Raceway Installed", 20% total panel capacity	10% EV-Ready, 10% "Raceway Installed", 20% total panel capacity	
<u>Atlanta</u>	GA	2017	20% EV-Capable		
Aspen	со	2017	3% EV-Capable (240V individual circuit branch with EV CAPABLE labelling)		

Commercial / Multi-Family



Municipality	State	Year	Multi-family	Commercial
San Fransisco	CA	2017	10% EV-Ready, Panel Capacity for 20%, Raceway for 100%	
Palo Alto	CA	2017	1 EV-Ready Space per Unit, 20% EV-Capable for Guest Parking with 5% EV-Installed 20% EV-Capable, 5% EV-	
<u>Oregon</u>	OR	2017	5% EV-Ready	
Boulder County	со	2015	2% EV-Ready (for new construction and 50% or 5,000 SF additions)	
<u>Washington</u>	WA	2015	For Group B, Group R-1 hotel and motel only, Group R-2 occupancies: 5% of parking spaces shall be EV Capable. Size electrical room to serv 20% of spaces.	
New York City	NY	2013	20% EV-Capable	
<u>California (CalGreen)</u>	CA	2010	10% EV-Capable	

Comments Questions Feedback

Development Codes Update Project

Email

codeupdate@okc.gov

Learn More & Sign-up for Updates

okc.gov/codeupdate