

Before Starting the CoC Application

You must submit all three of the following parts in order for us to consider your Consolidated Application complete:

1. the CoC Application,
2. the CoC Priority Listing, and
3. all the CoC's project applications that were either approved and ranked, or rejected.

As the Collaborative Applicant, you are responsible for reviewing the following:

1. The FY 2024 CoC Program Competition Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for specific application and program requirements.
2. The FY 2024 CoC Application Detailed Instructions which provide additional information and guidance for completing the application.
3. All information provided to ensure it is correct and current.
4. Responses provided by project applicants in their Project Applications.
5. The application to ensure all documentation, including attachment are provided.

Your CoC Must Approve the Consolidated Application before You Submit It
- 24 CFR 578.9 requires you to compile and submit the CoC Consolidated Application for the FY 2024 CoC Program Competition on behalf of your CoC.
- 24 CFR 578.9(b) requires you to obtain approval from your CoC before you submit the Consolidated Application into e-snaps.

Answering Multi-Part Narrative Questions

Many questions require you to address multiple elements in a single text box. Number your responses to correspond with multi-element questions using the same numbers in the question. This will help you organize your responses to ensure they are complete and help us to review and score your responses.

Attachments

Questions requiring attachments to receive points state, "You Must Upload an Attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen." Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed—including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process. Include a cover page with the attachment name.

- Attachments must match the questions they are associated with—if we do not award points for evidence you upload and associate with the wrong question, this is not a valid reason for you to appeal HUD's funding determination.

- We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1A-1. CoC Name and Number: OK-502 - Oklahoma City CoC

1A-2. Collaborative Applicant Name: City of Oklahoma City

1A-3. CoC Designation: CA

1A-4. HMIS Lead: City of Oklahoma City

1B. Coordination and Engagement–Inclusive Structure and Participation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1B-1.	Inclusive Structure and Participation–Participation in Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Sections V.B.1.a.(1), V.B.1.e., V.B.1f., and V.B.1.p.	
	In the chart below for the period from May 1, 2023 to April 30, 2024:	
1.	select yes or no in the chart below if the entity listed participates in CoC meetings, voted—including selecting CoC Board members, and participated in your CoC’s coordinated entry system; or	
2.	select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist in your CoC’s geographic area:	

	Organization/Person	Participated in CoC Meetings	Voted, Including Electing CoC Board Members	Participated in CoC’s Coordinated Entry System
1.	Affordable Housing Developer(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
2.	CDBG/HOME/ESG Entitlement Jurisdiction	Yes	Yes	Yes
3.	Disability Advocates	Yes	Yes	No
4.	Disability Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
5.	EMS/Crisis Response Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
6.	Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
7.	Hospital(s)	No	No	Yes
8.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	No	No	No
9.	Law Enforcement	Yes	Yes	Yes
10.	Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender (LGBTQ+) Advocates	Yes	Yes	No
11.	LGBTQ+ Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
12.	Local Government Staff/Officials	Yes	Yes	Yes
13.	Local Jail(s)	No	No	No
14.	Mental Health Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
15.	Mental Illness Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
16.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes	Yes	Yes

17.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
18.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes	Yes	Yes
19.	Other homeless subpopulation advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
20.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes	Yes	Yes
21.	School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons	Yes	Yes	Yes
22.	Street Outreach Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
23.	Substance Abuse Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
24.	Substance Abuse Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
25.	Agencies Serving Survivors of Human Trafficking	Yes	Yes	Yes
26.	Victim Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
27.	Domestic Violence Advocates	Yes	No	No
28.	Other Victim Service Organizations	Yes	No	Yes
29.	State Domestic Violence Coalition	No	No	Yes
30.	State Sexual Assault Coalition	No	No	Yes
31.	Youth Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
32.	Youth Homeless Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
33.	Youth Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Other: (limit 50 characters)			
34.				
35.				

By selecting "other" you must identify what "other" is.

1B-1a.	Experience Promoting Racial Equity.	
	NOFO Section III.B.3.c.	

Describe in the field below your CoC's experience in effectively addressing the needs of underserved communities, particularly Black and Brown communities, who are substantially overrepresented in the homeless population.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Our CoC is deeply committed to ensuring active participation and representation of Black, Brown, Indigenous, and other People of Color (BIPOC) within our CoC structure. This commitment is reflected in both our system workgroups and the leadership level of our CoC Board. We recognize the importance of engaging with underserved communities to create programs that truly meet their needs.

To achieve this, we have established partnerships with a variety of community-based organizations, including churches, Tribal Centers, and nonprofits that predominantly serve BIPOC populations. These partnerships are crucial in building trust and fostering open communication. Additionally, we conduct focus groups and surveys to gather comprehensive input and feedback from these communities. This data collection is not just a formality; it is a vital component of our program development process.

By incorporating the insights and perspectives of BIPOC communities, we can co-design programs and initiatives that are not only inclusive but also equitable. This collaborative approach ensures that the programs we develop are tailored to address the specific challenges and needs of BIPOC individuals.

Furthermore, our CoC is dedicated to continuous improvement. We regularly review and assess the effectiveness of our programs through feedback loops and performance metrics. This ongoing evaluation allows us to make necessary adjustments and enhancements, ensuring that our initiatives remain relevant and impactful.

In our efforts to advance racial equity, we have implemented several policy and practice changes within our CoC. These include anti-discrimination policies, equity training for staff, and incorporating lived experience into CoC decision making. We score applications for both ESG & CoC on an steps organizations make to ensure services are equitable and we worked very hard on our new CES assessment tool to ensure it was appropriately weighed to ensure our approach to services for populations with disproportionate representation in the homeless population is equitable. As we become a more sophisticated and collaborative CoC, we will be expanding our performance management plan to include the continuous monitoring and evaluation of the impact of our efforts to ensure ongoing improvement and accountability in advancing racial equity.

1B-2.	Open Invitation for New Members.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(2)	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	communicated a transparent invitation process annually (e.g., communicated to the public on the CoC's website) to solicit new members to join the CoC;	
2.	ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats; and	
3.	invited organizations serving culturally specific communities experiencing homelessness in your CoC's geographic area to address equity (e.g., Black, Latino, Indigenous, LGBTQ+, and persons with disabilities).	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.The CoC is dedicated to transparency and inclusivity, which is evident in its membership and communication strategies. We are continuously taking steps to ensure engaged participation that is accessible to community partners and those we serve. CoC membership is open, and recruitment for collaboration is ongoing. Individual agency outreach via email, phone, and in-person is also utilized to reach potential CoC members. Partner organizations identify a contact for membership coordination. The CoC uses multiple communication methods and channels to be inclusive of all individuals looking to join the CoC (emails, social media, webpage, news media).

2.All virtual CoC meetings offer accessibility features, including screen reader support and closed captioning for accessibility to people with disabilities. Shared documents are in PDF format for accessibility and are tested before distribution. As requested, the CoC provides auxiliary aids and services to ensure effective communication with those with communication differences. People with disabilities can access information on joining the CoC at virtual meetings or through the same means as other members of the public. If someone requires additional assistance, CoC staff can accommodate their needs to communicate information effectively.

3.The CoC values DEI and actively works outreach to culturally specific regional organizations. The CoC seeks to partner with LGBTQIA+ organizations that are led by and predominantly serve BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, and people with disabilities. An example of a recent partnership includes partnering with the Oklahoma Secretary of Native American Affairs to utilize tribal resources more efficiently.

1B-3.	CoC's Strategy to Solicit/Consider Opinions on Preventing and Ending Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(3)	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	solicited and considered opinions from a broad array of organizations and individuals that have knowledge of homelessness, or an interest in preventing and ending homelessness;
2.	communicated information during public meetings or other forums your CoC uses to solicit public information;
3.	ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats; and
4.	took into consideration information gathered in public meetings or forums to address improvements or new approaches to preventing and ending homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Over the past 2 years, the OKC CoC has undertaken development of a comprehensive strategy to address homelessness in OKC and implementation of that strategy, the latter of which is ongoing. Working with Clutch Consulting, planning sessions were held that were open to the public and advertised via news and social media. Information from attendees was considered in development of the strategy and incorporated where appropriate. All homeless services organizations, mental health services organizations, youth services groups and others that took part in planning sessions were specifically included in the implementation workgroups, as were people with lived experience of homelessness.

2. CoC Board meetings are in-person with a virtual option and open to the public. Public notices of meetings are sent via email listservs and posted on social media and the CoC's website. Materials for upcoming and past meetings are posted on our website before each meeting (and after with updated minutes). As the CoC pivots to make changes to address changing community needs (example: address unsheltered homelessness), we host partner and lived experience workgroups to gather feedback on proposed changes (thoughts, concerns, and suggestions) so that expertise can be incorporated into policy.

3. The CoC is dedicated to ensuring inclusivity and accessibility. When virtual meetings are designed to accommodate those who are visually and hearing impaired, providing screen reader support when needed and close captioning. The CoC provides materials in alternative formats, such as large print or other languages, upon request. In-person meetings are held in fully ADA-compliant locations and provide virtual meeting access. Information can be provided in person, during virtual meetings, or via the CoC website.

4. Locally developed strategies and initiatives are informed by the lived experience and partner workgroups and vetted and adjusted based on valuable feedback before being adopted and brought to the CoC Board for approval. After new policies are implemented, the Lead Agency continues to engage the workgroup in effective implementation to create a continuous feedback loop that allows us to make necessary adjustments and explore new approaches to improve our system.

1B-4.	Public Notification for Proposals from Organizations Not Previously Awarded CoC Program Funding.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(4)	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC notified the public:	
1.	that your CoC will consider project applications from organizations that have not previously received CoC Program funding;	
2.	about how project applicants must submit their project applications—the process;	
3.	about how your CoC would determine which project applications it would submit to HUD for funding; and	
4.	ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. To promote new organizational NOFO participation, the Lead Agency offers public training on the NOFO process and individual TA sessions to clarify the HUD process and expectations of grant recipients who meet HUD eligibility criteria. Updated NOFO information, forms, deadlines, and updates are emailed to all CoC partners, posted on the Lead agency website and social media and sent out through the CoC listserv. Threshold requirements are clarified in communications and the local NOFO.
2. The Lead agency hosts a NOFO-intro conference that thoroughly addresses competition guidelines, submission info, timelines, an overview of ESNAPS, scoring and ranking, and system priorities. During the application period, the Lead Agency offers individual TA sessions to refine applications before submission and send regular reminders of deadlines as they approach along with a reminder of how items are to be submitted. This submission information is also outlined in the local NOFO which is posted on the CoC website along with the intro-conference and sent out through the CoC listserv. The CoC also has a detailed Ranking and Reallocation policy that is also posted on the CoC website with a sample of a scoring form.
3. The project selection process is addressed in detail in the intro conference, the local NOFO and in the CoC's ranking and reallocation policies. All applicants are required to attend the intro conference which is then posted on the CoC webpage along with the local NOFO and R & R policies. New/bonus/expansion projects are ranked based on the system scoring tool developed to align with local and HUD priorities. Applications are reviewed and ranked by a scoring Allocations Committee comprised of a diverse set of stakeholders including those with lived experience), which makes final recommendations that are presented to the CoC Board for approval. Project rankings are released to all applicants, selected or not. Rankings are then posted on the CoC website and projects are submitted to HUD via eSnaps based on the rankings.
4. The CoC releases competition materials on the Lead Agency website, email, social media, and through the CoC listserv for broad coverage and easy access. Competition documents are in PDF format. Lead Agency staff also make themselves available throughout the competition for questions and individual TA.

1C. Coordination and Engagement

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1C-1.	Coordination with Federal, State, Local, Private, and Other Organizations.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.b.	
	In the chart below:	
	1. select yes or no for entities listed that are included in your CoC’s coordination, planning, and operations of projects that serve individuals, families, unaccompanied youth, persons who are fleeing domestic violence who are experiencing homelessness, or those at risk of homelessness; or	
	2. select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist within your CoC’s geographic area.	

	Entities or Organizations Your CoC Coordinates with for Planning or Operations of Projects	Coordinates with the Planning or Operations of Projects?
1.	Funding Collaboratives	Yes
2.	Head Start Program	No
3.	Housing and services programs funded through Local Government	Yes
4.	Housing and services programs funded through other Federal Resources (non-CoC)	Yes
5.	Housing and services programs funded through private entities, including Foundations	Yes
6.	Housing and services programs funded through State Government	Yes
7.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Yes
8.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)	Yes
9.	Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)	Yes
10.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	No
11.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes
12.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes
13.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes
14.	Private Foundations	Yes
15.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes
16.	Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)	Yes
17.	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	Yes
	Other:(limit 50 characters)	
18.		

1C-2.	CoC Consultation with ESG Program Recipients.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.b.	

In the chart below select yes or no to indicate whether your CoC:

1.	Consulted with ESG Program recipients in planning and allocating ESG Program funds?	Yes
2.	Provided Point-in-Time (PIT) count and Housing Inventory Count (HIC) data to the Consolidated Plan jurisdictions within its geographic area?	Yes
3.	Ensured local homelessness information is communicated and addressed in the Consolidated Plan updates?	Yes
4.	Coordinated with ESG recipients in evaluating and reporting performance of ESG Program recipients and subrecipients?	Yes

1C-3.	Ensuring Families are not Separated.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.c.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ensures emergency shelter, transitional housing, and permanent housing (PSH and RRH) do not deny admission or separate family members regardless of each family member's self-reported sexual orientation and gender identity:

1.	Conducted mandatory training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated?	Yes
2.	Conducted optional training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure family members are not separated?	No
3.	Worked with CoC and ESG recipient(s) to adopt uniform anti-discrimination policies for all subrecipients?	Yes
4.	Worked with ESG recipient(s) to identify both CoC- and ESG-funded facilities within your CoC's geographic area that might be out of compliance and took steps to work directly with those facilities to bring them into compliance?	Yes
5.	Sought assistance from HUD by submitting questions or requesting technical assistance to resolve noncompliance by service providers?	Yes

1C-4.	CoC Collaboration Related to Children and Youth—SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the entities your CoC collaborates with:

1.	Youth Education Provider	Yes
2.	State Education Agency (SEA)	Yes
3.	Local Education Agency (LEA)	Yes
4.	School Districts	Yes

1C-4a.	Formal Partnerships with Youth Education Providers, SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Describe in the field below the formal partnerships your CoC has with at least one of the entities where you responded yes in question 1C-4.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The Oklahoma City Public School District (OKCPS) is a member of the OKC CoC and previously served on the CoC Executive Committee prior to our Continuum undertaking our current restructuring efforts. Youth education providers, SEAs, LEAs, and school districts partner with the CoC to provide prevention and rehousing assistance, case management, and other services to households with minors experiencing homelessness, both with independently secured grant funds and with OKC-supported ESG resources. To foster a robust and inclusive governance structure, the CoC Board created a seat for a Youth with Lived Experience, acknowledging the valuable insights they bring to our efforts. With the implementation of YHDP, our formal educational connections continue to grow. As we move forward and become a more robust and sophisticated homeless response system, the CoC will develop new formalized partnerships with school district homeless liaisons, ensuring an organized and unified approach to addressing youth homelessness to optimize referral mechanisms, broaden our outreach, and create a more responsive to youth homelessness.

1C-4b.	Informing Individuals and Families Who Have Recently Begun Experiencing Homelessness about Eligibility for Educational Services.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Describe in the field below written policies and procedures your CoC uses to inform individuals and families who have recently begun experiencing homelessness of their eligibility for educational services.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Our CoC is dedicated to ensuring that individuals and families experiencing homelessness are fully informed about their educational rights and aware of the wide range of services available to support them. We strive to provide comprehensive information and resources, ensuring that every person understands their entitlements and can access the necessary assistance to improve their educational outcomes and overall well-being. Policies and written CoC and ESG standards formalize sub-recipient expectations to collaborate with local education authorities and ensure individuals and families who become homeless are informed of their eligibility for services under subtitle B of Title VII of the McKinney-Vento Act. Mandated subrecipient policies include how homeless families with children will be notified of and referred to the school district’s homeless liaison, ensuring all children are enrolled in early childhood programs or a school and connected to appropriate services in the community, and that providers collaborate with the local school districts and early childhood education providers to identify homeless households with children to ensure they understand their eligibility for educational services. Policies include information on local technical schools and universities that may offer programs and assistance to people experiencing homelessness. These policies are reviewed annually by the Lead Agency during monitoring.

1C-4c.	Written/Formal Agreements or Partnerships with Early Childhood Services Providers.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC has written formal agreements or partnerships with the listed providers of early childhood services:

		MOU/MOA	Other Formal Agreement
1.	Birth to 3 years	No	Yes
2.	Child Care and Development Fund	No	No
3.	Early Childhood Providers	No	Yes
4.	Early Head Start	No	Yes
5.	Federal Home Visiting Program—(including Maternal, Infant and Early Childhood Home and Visiting or MIECHV)	No	No
6.	Head Start	No	Yes
7.	Healthy Start	No	Yes
8.	Public Pre-K	No	Yes
9.	Tribal Home Visiting Program	No	No
	Other (limit 150 characters)		
10.			

1C-5.	Addressing Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking—Collaboration with Federally Funded Programs and Victim Service Providers.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

In the chart below select yes or no for the organizations your CoC collaborates with:

	Organizations	
1.	State Domestic Violence Coalitions	Yes
2.	State Sexual Assault Coalitions	Yes
3.	Anti-trafficking Service Providers	Yes
	Other Organizations that Help this Population (limit 500 characters)	
4.	The OKC CoC works with several providers that assist people fleeing domestic violence, dating violence, assault, stalking. City leadership helped with the creation of the Palomar Family Justice center. Palomar serves as a one stop shop for these services. Additionally, we work with the YWCA DV shelter and Dragonfly anti-trafficking program. Coordinated Entry assessors work with all orgs to connect their clients to appropriate, safe housing and other services.	Yes

1C-5a.	Collaborating with Federally Funded Programs and Victim Service Providers to Address Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC regularly collaborates with organizations that you selected yes to in Question 1C-5 to:

1.	update CoC-wide policies; and
----	-------------------------------

2.	ensure all housing and services provided in the CoC's geographic area are trauma-informed and can meet the needs of survivors.
----	--

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.To ensure that CoC-wide policies are aligned with the needs of the domestic violence community, the CoC lead agency works in close collaboration with relevant stakeholders, including the Palomar Family Justice Center, a local hub for victim organizations, service providers and embedded CoC Staff. Regular meetings are conducted between CoC-funded and non-funded victim service providers and serve as a forum for updating CoC-wide policies based on collective feedback and insights. Meetings help maintain open communication channels to discuss local needs, challenges, and successes. All CoC DV policies are reviewed annually to ensure compliance with HUD guidelines, VAWA, and any other relevant updates that pertain to survivors of DV. Policy examples include but are not limited to emergency transfer, assessment protocols, and HMIS data policies for non-victim service providers. The CoC Lead administers both the CoC and ESG programs and policies regarding services for DV survivors apply to subrecipients of both programs

2.All CoC agencies are required to attend Trauma-Informed Care training at least once a year. This includes staff providing ESG & HOPWA services, in addition to CoC. This training ensures that all staff members are equipped with the knowledge and skills to understand, recognize, and respond to the effects of trauma. The training is coordinated by the lead agency and conducted by local victim service providers. Additional topics covered include victim-centered services, safety planning, the cycle of violence, confidentiality, and general and culturally focused resources. The CoC employs a dedicated waitlist for housing provided with CoC & ESG resources, utilizing a uniquely designed tool to prioritize clients for these units. This specialized approach ensures that those affected by DV receive the appropriate and timely support they need. While DV service providers primarily focus on assisting victims of domestic violence, they also play a crucial role in referring clients to the mainstream homeless system to address broader housing needs. This dual approach not only caters to the immediate safety and housing requirements of DV victims but also integrates them into the wider network of support services, ensuring comprehensive care and assistance. CoC CES staff attend quarterly meetings and training focused on connecting survivors of domestic violence to housing and services while ensuring safety protocols and best practices are followed.

1C-5b.	Implemented Safety Planning, Confidentiality Protocols in Your CoC's Coordinated Entry to Address the Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry addresses the needs of DV survivors by including:	
	1.	safety planning protocols; and
	2.	confidentiality protocols.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.All agencies involved in CES receive specialized training to ensure a comprehensive approach to safety specific to survivors of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking and trafficking. and adherence to adopted policies and protocols. Policies emphasize the system’s commitment to prioritizing survivors’ safety, using a danger assessment for systematic scoring and safety planning, and the imperative of training related to confidentiality, privacy rights, and compliance with VAWA federal laws to enhance protection for survivors. Policies were designed to prioritize safety, prevent further trauma, and provide individuals with client choice over the housing and services they receive. Although the CES uses a no-wrong-door approach, our community has designated one physical access point for survivors of domestic and sexual violence, as well as the option to utilize the community’s 211. All assessments are conducted in a confidential setting, out of sight, and earshot of others. The Coordinated Entry policies include an emergency transfer plan, which requires clients to be immediately prioritized if they return to homelessness or if their current housing is determined to be unsafe.

2.Before participating in CES, partner agencies must attend a comprehensive training that addresses adherence to confidentiality protocols. All CES involved partners must uphold all relevant federal and state regulations concerning client data confidentiality. This commitment extends beyond formal agency members to include any associated volunteers. Disclosure or use of client information related to contractual obligations is strictly prohibited unless there is express written consent from the client, their attorney, or their guardian. This ensures that all client information is handled with the utmost care and respect, maintaining the highest standards of privacy and confidentiality. Identifying information for DV survivors is known only to the victim services provider and trained CES staff. CES DV waitlists are maintained separately using unique identifiers. Taking into consideration client’s choice, housing referrals made to projects which have experience and expertise to service this population, unless the client requests otherwise. When a referral is made, identifiable information is only shared with the provider and is prohibited from being entered into HMIS. Survivors have the option to decline or accept any referrals based on their own wants and needs.

1C-5c.	Coordinated Annual Training on Best Practices to Address the Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

In the chart below, indicate how your CoC facilitates training for project staff and coordinated entry staff that addresses best practices on safety planning and confidentiality protocols:

		Project Staff	Coordinated Entry Staff
1.	Training Occurs at least annually?	Yes	Yes
2.	Incorporates Trauma Informed best practices?	Yes	Yes
3.	Incorporates Survivor-Centered best practices?	Yes	Yes
4.	Identifies and assesses survivors’ individual safety needs?	Yes	Yes
5.	Enhances and supports collaboration with DV organizations?	Yes	Yes

6.	Ensures survivors' rights, voices, and perspectives are incorporated?	Yes	Yes
	Other? (limit 500 characters)		
7.			

1C-5d.	Implemented VAWA-Required Written Emergency Transfer Plan Policies and Procedures for Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	whether your CoC's written policies and procedures include an emergency transfer plan;
2.	how your CoC informs all households seeking or receiving CoC Program assistance about their rights to an emergency transfer;
3.	what your CoC requires households to do to request emergency transfers; and
4.	what your CoC does in response to households requesting emergency transfers.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.Both the CoC's written standards for services and CES policies address the emergency transfer plan and it's requirements and training is held on these annually.

2.Upon referral and enrollment to a housing program, all participants are given the HUD VAWA Notice 5380, detailing their occupancy rights. This notice is distributed at crucial stages: when entering the program, during lease signing, at the annual review, and when exiting assistance. Should participants need to move or relocate for safety reasons, providers adhere to established policies and procedures, collaborating with the lead agency to create a detailed transfer or relocation timeline as necessary.

3.To receive an emergency transfer, individuals or families submit a written request to the housing provider organization indicating that they either believe there is a threat of imminent harm from further violence if they stay in the unit or that they were the victim of a physical or sexual assault within the last 90 days.

4.Emergency transfers for household safety are prioritized without proof, ensuring their urgent needs are promptly met. If a provider has no other units or units that the program participant is comfortable with, other organizations with available resources are identified so that resources can be immediately provided. Maintaining and safeguarding client confidentiality is of utmost importance throughout this process. Extensive training on VAWA and domestic violence issues is provided across the entire system to ensure responses are consistent and well-informed.

1C-5e.	Facilitating Safe Access to Housing and Services for Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC ensures households experiencing trauma or a lack of safety related to fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking have safe access to all of the housing and services available within your CoC's geographic area.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC Lead Agency adopts a no-wrong-door policy for those experiencing DV. Access to community resources can be accessed via CES DV or regular CES. Client confidentiality is of utmost importance in the rehousing process. In non-DV settings, clients can opt to enter the HMIS system anonymously. The DV system employs unique numerical IDs to ensure confidentiality, and clients are regularly informed about these practices. While the coordinated entry process and information shared may be different for people fleeing DV, the level of access to resources is the same as for everyone else seeking housing. CES policies are intended to provide for safety and prevent further trauma while offering clients choice over housing and services. Survivors can determine if they want DV-specific housing and can decline/accept any referral based on their wants and needs. CES assessors for the CoC also office at the Palomar Family Justice Cener, a local hub for services for people fleeing DV. These options provide for a broad range of access points to CoC housing and services for people experiencing DV.

1C-5f.	Identifying and Removing Barriers for Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC ensures survivors receive safe housing and services by:	
	1. identifying barriers specific to survivors; and	
	2. working to remove those barriers.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The Lead Agency works with DV partner service organizations and others such as Legal Aid to proactively identify and address obstacles that hinder safe housing and services for those affected by domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking. This allows the CoC to determine a range of issues that may be barriers to housing for people experiencing DV and determine the appropriate steps to avoid or address them that can be included in training for the broader Continuum
2. The CoC seeks to actively reduce barriers to housing for people experiencing DV through training, work groups, and this year, assigning Lead Agency staff to manage DV system refinements. Lead Agency staff will work to facilitate coordination between systems and extend their knowledge of best practices to all aspects of the CoC work (for example, encampment response initiatives) to ensure safety and trauma-informed delivery of services to survivors of DV while reducing barriers to safe housing.

1C-6.	Addressing the Needs of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Queer+--Anti-Discrimination Policy and Equal Access Trainings.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.f.	

1.	Did your CoC implement a written CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy ensuring that LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination?	Yes
2.	Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement the Equal Access to Housing in HUD Programs Regardless of Sexual Orientation or Gender Identity (Equal Access Final Rule)?	Yes

3.	Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement Equal Access in Accordance With an Individual's Gender Identity in Community Planning and Development Programs (Gender Identity Final Rule)?	Yes
----	---	-----

1C-6a.	Anti-Discrimination Policy–Updating Policies–Assisting Providers–Evaluating Compliance–Addressing Noncompliance. NOFO Section V.B.1.f.	
--------	---	--

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC regularly collaborates with LGBTQ+ and other organizations to update its CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy, as necessary to ensure all housing and services provided in the CoC are trauma-informed and able to meet the needs of LGBTQ+ individuals and families;
2.	how your CoC assisted housing and services providers in developing project-level anti-discrimination policies that are consistent with the CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy;
3.	your CoC's process for evaluating compliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies; and
4.	your CoC's process for addressing noncompliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. CoC policies and training align with current HUD policies to ensure federal compliance. Our CoC regularly collaborates with LGBTQIA+ organizations, the YAB, and other relevant groups to update our CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy along with all other policies. This collaboration ensures that all housing and services provided are trauma-informed and meet the needs of LGBTQIA+ individuals and families. An example of informed policy includes outlining the requirements of gender-appropriate services in the YHDP CCP. This year, the OKC CoC is expanding our efforts and hold regular meetings and workshops with these organizations to gather feedback and incorporate best practices into our policies. This is part of our emphasis on improving services for youth and LGBTQIA+ populations.
2. The CoC Lead Agency offers clear guidelines on anti-discrimination policies through annual training sessions. In the upcoming year, we plan to expand our Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI) initiatives to ensure consistency across all funded agencies and we have created a permanent trainer position that we are currently hiring for that will address the requirements of these policies as well as other CoC policies that agencies are required to have.
3. The CoC has a structured process for evaluating compliance with our anti-discrimination policies. This includes regular audits, site visits, and reviews of provider practices and documentation. We also gather feedback from clients and partner organizations to assess the effectiveness of these policies and identify areas for improvement. Annually, the CoC Lead Agency monitors projects to ensure appropriate policies are in place and reviews client files and project grievances to ensure they are followed.
4. When noncompliance with our anti-discrimination policies is identified, the CoC follows a clear process. This involves providing technical assistance and support to help providers correct issues. If noncompliance persists, the CoC Lead Agency may implement corrective action plans, and in severe cases, the CoC Board will consider reallocation to ensure adherence to our policies.

1C-7.	Public Housing Agencies within Your CoC's Geographic Area--New Admissions--General/Limited Preference--Moving On Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

You must upload the PHA Homeless Preference\PHA Moving On Preference attachment(s) to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Enter information in the chart below for the two largest PHAs highlighted in gray on the current CoC-PHA Crosswalk Report or the two PHAs your CoC has a working relationship with--if there is only one PHA in your CoC's geographic area, provide information on the one:

Public Housing Agency Name	Enter the Percent of New Admissions into Public Housing or Housing Choice Voucher Program During FY 2023 who were experiencing homelessness at entry	Does the PHA have a General or Limited Homeless Preference?	Does the PHA have a Preference for current PSH program participants no longer needing intensive supportive services, e.g., Moving On?
Oklahoma City Housing Authority		Yes-Both	No
Oklahoma Housing Finance Agency		Yes-HCV	No

You must enter information for at least 1 row in question 1C-7.

1C-7a.	Written Policies on Homeless Admission Preferences with PHAs.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

Describe in the field below:

- steps your CoC has taken, with the two largest PHAs within your CoC's geographic area or the two PHAs your CoC has working relationships with, to adopt a homeless admission preference--if your CoC only has one PHA within its geographic area, you may respond for the one; or
- state that your CoC has not worked with the PHAs in its geographic area to adopt a homeless admission preference.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.The CoC actively engages both the Local and State PHAs in the CoC's geographic area (Housing Authority of the City of Oklahoma City (OCHA) and Oklahoma Housing Finance Agency (OHFA) and both organizations sit on the CoC Board. Both PHAs actively engage in resource allocation (homeless preferences in admin plans, active process to receive CES referrals, with current MOUs) and system management with voting seats on the CoC Board and active participation in implementation workgroups. Both PHAs have also taken steps to streamline the application process for households experiencing homelessness. Implementation workgroups hold regular calls and meetings, including weekly operational lease-up monitoring and case conferences of referrals to ensure housing stability. Lastly, OCHA's development arm is in the process of building site-based PSH for system use, blending both local and federal resources.

2.The CoC is actively engaged with both PHAs in the CoC's geographic region and both hold seats on the CoC Board. OCHA has a homeless preference and OHFA has a preference for the EHV program. OHFA has been agreeable to adopting a broader homeless preference but, as of yet, has not modified the policy.

1C-7b.	Moving On Strategy with Affordable Housing Providers.	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate affordable housing providers in your CoC's jurisdiction that your recipients use to move program participants to other subsidized housing:

1.	Multifamily assisted housing owners	No
2.	PHA	No
3.	Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) developments	No
4.	Local low-income housing programs	No
	Other (limit 150 characters)	
5.		

1C-7c.	Include Units from PHA Administered Programs in Your CoC's Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

In the chart below, indicate if your CoC includes units from the following PHA programs in your CoC's coordinated entry process:

1.	Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHV)	Yes
2.	Family Unification Program (FUP)	Yes
3.	Housing Choice Voucher (HCV)	Yes
4.	HUD-Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (HUD-VASH)	Yes
5.	Mainstream Vouchers	Yes
6.	Non-Elderly Disabled (NED) Vouchers	Yes
7.	Public Housing	Yes
8.	Other Units from PHAs:	

1C-7d.	Submitting CoC and PHA Joint Applications for Funding for People Experiencing Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

1.	Did your CoC coordinate with a PHA(s) to submit a competitive joint application(s) for funding or jointly implement a competitive project serving individuals or families experiencing homelessness (e.g., applications for mainstream vouchers, Family Unification Program (FUP), other programs)?	Yes
		Program Funding Source
2.	Enter the type of competitive project your CoC coordinated with a PHA(s) to submit a joint application for or jointly implement.	CoC-PSH & CoC Builds

1C-7e.	Coordinating with PHA(s) to Apply for or Implement HCV Dedicated to Homelessness Including Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV).	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

	Did your CoC coordinate with any PHA to apply for or implement funding provided for Housing Choice Vouchers dedicated to homelessness, including vouchers provided through the American Rescue Plan?	Yes
--	--	-----

1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1D-1.	Preventing People Transitioning from Public Systems from Experiencing Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.h.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC actively coordinates with the public systems listed to ensure persons who have resided in them longer than 90 days are not discharged directly to the streets, emergency shelters, or other homeless assistance programs.

1.	Prisons/Jails?	Yes
2.	Health Care Facilities?	Yes
3.	Residential Care Facilities?	Yes
4.	Foster Care?	Yes

1D-2.	Housing First—Lowering Barriers to Entry.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.i.	

1.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2024 CoC Program Competition.	22
2.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2024 CoC Program Competition that have adopted the Housing First approach.	20
3.	This number is a calculation of the percentage of new and renewal PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects the CoC has ranked in its CoC Priority Listing in the FY 2024 CoC Program Competition that reported that they are lowering barriers to entry and prioritizing rapid placement and stabilization to permanent housing.	91%

1D-2a.	Project Evaluation for Housing First Compliance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.i.	

You must upload the Housing First Evaluation attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
 Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC evaluates every project—where the applicant checks Housing First on their project application—to determine if they are using a Housing First approach;
2.	the list of factors and performance indicators your CoC uses during its evaluation;
3.	how your CoC regularly evaluates projects outside of your local CoC competition to ensure the projects are using a Housing First approach; and
4.	what your CoC has done to improve fidelity to Housing First.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC Lead Agency conducts evaluations to verify projects' adherence to the Housing First principles indicated in their project applications. Upon annual review of existing projects and during the CoC NOFO competition for new and existing projects, the CoC requires a Housing First Fidelity Checklist. Projects are scored and ranked based on 15 factors, including essential criteria like low barriers to entry (no prerequisites like sobriety or income) and a focus on providing permanent housing without conditions.
2. The CoC used various key performance indicators to evaluate all CoC-funded projects. Critical measures include speed of housing placement, housing stability, CES referral acceptance/denial rates (along with reasons), efforts to minimize entry barriers, ensuring no mandatory service participation or sobriety requirements, personalized services tailored to client choice, positive outcomes, returns to homelessness, etc. By conducting these evaluations, we gain a comprehensive insight into each project's performance, ensuring they adhere to the Housing First Approach. We hold annual Housing First training for all partner organizations to strengthen this alignment further.
3. In addition to the NOFO review, the Lead Agency monitors programs annually to ensure adherence to qualitative and quantitative housing first indicators. Items reviewed during site visits include internal policies, client satisfaction surveys, and file documentation reviews. One of the tasks of the CoC's new performance manager is to work with CES to determine which projects are refusing clients and why. While the PM does not evaluate using the tool when working with organizations, they do work with them to evaluate their fidelity to housing first and address where they are not performing.
4. We find that many agencies fall short on housing first because they are not aware of all of the criteria and may not even be aware they are not meeting fidelity. The purpose of the PM evaluations is not just to inform agencies they are not meeting fidelity but inform them why and to help them address the areas they are falling short in.

1D-3.	Street Outreach—Data—Reaching People Least Likely to Request Assistance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.j.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC tailored its street outreach to people experiencing homelessness who are least likely to request assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC's outreach is specifically designed to address people who are least likely to request assistance. In 2024, the CoC, branded Key to Home, implemented a coordinated street outreach and Encampment Response Initiative (ERI). ERI is a citywide approach emphasizing high-level collaboration between CoC organizations and local government to create a coordinated, efficient, and sustainable solution. The goal is to drastically reduce chronic unsheltered homelessness through an intentional encampment rehousing initiative. Unlike traditional methods that rely on temporary shelters or navigation centers, the ERI model focuses on securing rental units through the private market and pairing them with supportive services to provide direct, permanent housing. The program leverages public-private partnerships, combining public funds with private philanthropic contributions to drive rehousing efforts. The initiative targets specific locations, allowing teams to concentrate resources and efforts on a single area and its population over an extended period. Coordinated Homeless Outreach Teams cover 100% of the CoC's geographic area. Coverage is verified in weekly outreach meetings with all outreach partners and Lead Agency staff. Outreach teams operate on the streets seven days a week, covering mornings, afternoons, and evenings. The CoC geography is divided amongst provider partners, and teams cover their territory weekly, maintaining a steady presence to build trust and provide support. Coordinated ERI and outreach efforts are designed to connect with individuals who are less likely to seek assistance and/or disconnected from traditional services. The ERI workgroup meets weekly and more frequently in times of encampment closure. The workgroup promotes collaboration among outreach teams to identify potential encampments, assign teams to different CoC areas, coordinate with specialized service providers, and offer training on outreach techniques. This workgroup serves as a driving force, integrating specialized outreach teams to meet the unique needs of clients facing complex barriers, especially those identified as chronically homeless. These individuals are added to a by-name list, ensuring they receive continuous, weekly engagement tailored to their specific needs.

1D-4.	Strategies to Prevent Criminalization of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.k.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate your CoC's strategies to prevent the criminalization of homelessness in your CoC's geographic area:

	Your CoC's Strategies	Engaged/Educated Legislators and Policymakers	Implemented Laws/Policies/Practices that Prevent Criminalization of Homelessness
1.	Increase utilization of co-responder responses or social services-led responses over law enforcement responses to people experiencing homelessness?	Yes	Yes
2.	Minimize use of law enforcement to enforce bans on public sleeping, public camping, or carrying out basic life functions in public places?	Yes	Yes
3.	Avoid imposing criminal sanctions, including fines, fees, and incarceration for public sleeping, public camping, and carrying out basic life functions in public places?	Yes	Yes
4.	Other:(limit 500 characters)		

--	--	--

1D-5.	Rapid Rehousing–RRH Beds as Reported in the Housing Inventory Count (HIC) or Longitudinal Data from HMIS.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.I.	

	HIC Longitudinal HMIS Data	2023	2024
Enter the total number of RRH beds available to serve all populations as reported in the HIC or the number of households served per longitudinal HMIS data, e.g., APR.	HIC	237	222

1D-6.	Mainstream Benefits–CoC Annual Training of Project Staff.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.m.	

Indicate in the chart below whether your CoC trains program staff annually on the following mainstream benefits available for program participants within your CoC's geographic area:

	Mainstream Benefits	CoC Provides Annual Training?
1.	Food Stamps	Yes
2.	SSI–Supplemental Security Income	Yes
3.	SSDI–Social Security Disability Insurance	Yes
4.	TANF–Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	Yes
5.	Substance Use Disorder Programs	Yes
6.	Employment Assistance Programs	Yes
7.	Other (limit 150 characters)	

1D-6a.	Information and Training on Mainstream Benefits and Other Assistance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.m	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:

- | | |
|----|---|
| 1. | works with projects to collaborate with healthcare organizations, including those that provide substance use disorder treatment and mental health treatment, to assist program participants with receiving healthcare services, including Medicaid; and |
| 2. | promotes SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery (SOAR) certification of program staff. |

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The OKC CoC has been undergoing restructuring and expansion over the last 2 years with the assistance of outside consultants at Clutch consulting. Parts of this process include the implementation of an encampment rehousing initiative with the goal of housing 500+ members of our City’s chronically unsheltered population by the end of next year, the restructuring of our Board and development of workgroups to drive continuous quality improvement and the development of formal partnerships with Community Mental Health Centers (CMHCs) and other healthcare organizations. We partnered with the city’s largest CMHC, Northcare to provide mental health services on our encampment initiative and city and consulting staff are overseeing a workgroup to develop formal requirements and partnership agreements with Northcare and other CMHC’s for future services assisted by Medicaid. This group is also focused on developing and maintaining relationships with other healthcare organizations but the size and turnover at organizations such as hospitals has continued to make building out those services difficult. To help address this gap, the CoC partners with Community Health Centers, Inc. (CHCI) to provide healthcare services to people who are homeless and to provide a weekly clinic at the city’s day shelter.
2. The CoC requires case managers to receive SOAR training annually and work to connect their clients with SSI/SSDI where eligible.

ID-7.	Partnerships with Public Health Agencies—Collaborating to Respond to and Prevent the Spread of Infectious Diseases.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.n.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC effectively collaborates with state and local public health agencies to develop CoC-wide policies and procedures that:	
1.	respond to infectious disease outbreaks; and	
2.	prevent infectious disease outbreaks among people experiencing homelessness.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. CoC and provider staff build numerous relationships with healthcare organizations and agencies during the pandemic and those relationships have been maintained and expanded for the very purpose of not just sharing information but developing strategies to respond to and prevent disease outbreaks. The Central Oklahoma Health Impact Team (COHIT) was a group largely comprised only of healthcare providers and agencies prior to Covid. CoC and provider staff joined when the pandemic began and remain a part. COHIT not only provided information and materials that allowed for the CoC to safely assist people who are homeless but also incorporated uv lighting in all local shelter facilities that are still in place and used. This collaborative worked with the CoC to develop procedures to address future outbreaks and address current, annual outbreaks of the flu and other infections diseases.

2. To prevent infectious disease outbreaks among people experiencing homelessness, the CoC collaborates closely with state and local public health agencies, including the County Health Department, to develop policies and implement strategies proven effective to slow the spread of COVID-19 and future outbreaks as they develop. Partnerships resulted in shelter isolation protocols, allocation of PPE and hygiene kits, and disseminating essential resource guides for those who may not engage in traditional healthcare services. Planning meetings continue and address current threats (for example, infection rates for the flu, COVID-19, and other diseases) so that organizations can take precautions early.

ID-7a.	Collaboration With Public Health Agencies on Infectious Diseases.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.n.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	effectively shared information related to public health measures and homelessness; and	
2.	facilitated communication between public health agencies and homeless service providers to ensure street outreach providers and shelter and housing providers are equipped to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Our CoC has implemented several strategies to share information on public health measures. First, before sharing any information, it is verified as a credible source from one of our healthcare partners (mainly the County Health Department, Central Oklahoma Health Impact Team (COHIT), State health, and other organizations through the Human Services Task Force, which was formed during the pandemic). Once verified, information is widely shared through listservs, newsletters, provider meetings, social media, homeless outreach, educational webinars, posters, and fliers in shelters and high-traffic service locations. By ensuring regular communication with healthcare officials, the CoC can maintain consistent messaging and collective response to infectious outbreaks among the homeless population.

2. Since building strong relationships during COVID, the CoC continues to maintain communication with local and state public health agencies to stay informed about the best practices for preventing and mitigating infectious diseases among both sheltered and unsheltered populations and planning meetings still occur to address current threats. Out of these meetings, we have implemented several strategies to facilitate effective communication between public health agencies and homeless service providers, ensuring that street outreach providers, as well as shelter and housing providers, are well-equipped to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks. Shelter and housing providers receive the latest guidance from the CDC and other health agencies to help protect their program participants from potential outbreaks. Homeless Outreach teams collaborate with the public health system, both in the field and through workgroups, to enhance care coordination for homeless individuals and share timely risk updates.

1D-8.	Coordinated Entry Standard Processes. NOFO Section V.B.1.o.	
Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:		
1.	can serve everybody regardless of where they are located within your CoC's geographic area;	
2.	uses a standardized assessment process to achieve fair, equitable, and equal access to housing and services within your CoC;	
3.	collects personal information in a trauma-informed way; and	
4.	is updated at least annually using feedback received from participating projects and households that participated in coordinated entry.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.CES access is available through the entire CoC geography. This is accomplished by utilizing a “no wrong door” approach to access. The philosophy of this approach is that a client can access the appropriate services by visiting any partner agency across the CoC’s geographic area, by contacting 211 via phone or email, or on the street via homeless outreach teams. Currently, the CoC has 12 trained CES assessors.

2.The OKC CES uses a locally developed standardized assessment tool and process. The locally developed tool was designed by the CoC, service providers and people with lived experience through a partnership with the University of Central Oklahoma,after over a year of research and is designed to prioritize housing for the most vulnerable individuals and weight scores appropriately for populations that are disproportionately represented among the homeless population. After assessment, households are placed on a by-name list and prioritized for housing using a Housing Prioritization Tool and case conferencing, that assigning point values based on various aspects of an individual's homelessness situation. CoC Lead Agency provides training on CES process to ensure all CES organizations are performing CES consistently.

3.To ensure information is collected in a trauma-informed way, the CoC only utilizes qualified assessors who are staffed for that purpose only and required to go through several trainings to ensure they are able to address assessments with clients in a manner that is not triggering in order to get the most accurate information as possible. These trainings include trauma informed practices.

4.The Lead Agency regularly assesses the effectiveness of the CES system through data quality analysis and partner (insight from workgroups) and consumer feedback. When areas of improvement are identified or to meet new HUD standards, CES is updated. This collaborative approach ensures that the system remains responsive and adaptable to the evolving needs of our community. Changes to CES policies are brought to the CoC Board for approval.

1D-8a.	Coordinated Entry–Program Participant-Centered Approach.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.o.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:	
1.	reaches people who are least likely to apply for homeless assistance in the absence of special outreach;	
2.	prioritizes people most in need of assistance;	
3.	ensures people most in need of assistance receive permanent housing in a timely manner, consistent with their needs and preferences; and	
4.	takes steps to reduce burdens on people seeking assistance.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Our CoC conducts Coordinated Outreach to reach individuals and families who typically do not receive services from connected CoC providers. This includes outreach at encampments, BIPOC and LGBTQIA+ serving agencies, churches, Tribal Centers, and other nonprofits not connected to CES. In addition, homeless outreach teams are assigned to specific areas of the City's geography and review a map of locations weekly to ensure comprehensive coverage of all known encampments the CoC area.
2. Yes, CES uses a Housing Prioritization Tool and case conferencing to prioritize households based on their vulnerability levels, ensuring that the most vulnerable receive assistance first.
3. The CES utilizes a Housing Prioritization Tool and respects client choice to ensure rapid housing referrals and placements. If a program's requirements do not align with a client's needs or preferences, the client can decline the referral, triggering a reevaluation to find a more suitable housing solution. CES policies set specific timeframes and outcomes, which are monitored by the Lead Agency and regularly reviewed in weekly system workgroups focused on system management, permanent housing, homeless outreach, and landlord engagement. The last of these groups secures units with privately funded hold fees in order to ensure permanent housing is quickly available for clients of highest priority.
4. To reduce the burden of the CES process, non-service provider staff are no longer trained to conduct assessments due to issues with data quality and client frustration. The CoC has also automated CES in HMIS to address delays. Additionally, the CoC uses a two-fold approach to prioritize housing for clients on the by-name-list and prioritizing individuals from the Encampment Response Initiatives. The Current CES assessment tool is being evaluated and refined to reduce racial disparities in assessment whenever possible. The CoC spent a year developing our new assessment tool to ensure it only gathered required information and was un-invasive as possible.

1D-8b.	Coordinated Entry—Informing Program Participants about Their Rights and Remedies—Reporting Violations.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.o.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC through its coordinated entry:	
1.	affirmatively markets housing and services provided within the CoC's geographic area and ensures it reaches all persons experiencing homelessness;	
2.	informs program participants of their rights and remedies available under federal, state, and local fair housing and civil rights laws; and	
3.	reports any conditions or actions that impede fair housing choice for current or prospective program participants to the jurisdiction(s) responsible for certifying consistency with the Consolidated Plan.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.The OKC CoC actively markets housing and services within its geographic area to reach all individuals experiencing homelessness. This is accomplished through robust community partnerships and collaboration with local organizations like United Way and Heartline 211 who provides access to the CES via telephone and online assessment. We engage in coordinated homeless outreach efforts (including with the Police Homeless Outreach teams), partner with agencies serving BIPOC, tribal and LGBTQIA+ communities, healthcare providers, churches, and other nonprofits not connected to CES. Additionally, we continuously work with various community partners in our region to expand our outreach and create a supportive network, ensuring that everyone has access to information regarding the services available and how to contact the system.

2.Program participants are informed of their rights and remedies under federal, state, and local fair housing and civil rights laws. This information is provided during the CES assessment process, upon referral to a housing provider, and through ongoing supportive services to ensure they are aware of their protections and options. The CoC Lead Agency regularly shares educational information (including at eviction courts) and is available to provide partners to provide additional training and guidance. Compliance is monitored annually during site visits.

3.Clients are informed of their rights, from initial engagement at assessment to housing. Any identified fair housing concerns or actions that impede fair housing choices for current or prospective program participants must be documented and submitted to the Lead Agency, which is also the jurisdiction responsible for certifying consistency with the Consolidated Plan. Reports can come from clients or partners, ensuring that any barriers to fair housing are addressed promptly and effectively. When necessary, violations are reported to HUD, the Metro Fair Housing Council, and the CoC Board for investigation and resolution. Clients are Legal Aid if not done previously by the provider.

1D-9.	Advancing Racial Equity in Homelessness—Conducting Assessment.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	

1.	Has your CoC conducted a racial disparities assessment in the last 3 years?	Yes
2.	Enter the date your CoC conducted its latest assessment for racial disparities.	08/26/2022

1D-9a.	Using Data to Determine if Racial Disparities Exist in Your CoC’s Provision or Outcomes of CoC Program-Funded Homeless Assistance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	the data your CoC used to analyze whether any racial disparities are present in your CoC’s provision or outcomes of CoC Program-funded homeless assistance; and
2.	how your CoC analyzed the data to determine whether any racial disparities are present in your CoC’s provision or outcomes of CoC Program-funded homeless assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.Our CoC analyzed racial disparities in the provision and outcomes of homeless assistance through a comprehensive data collection and analysis process. We utilized HMIS, HUD’s CoC Racial Equity Analysis Tool, and the National Alliance to End Homelessness’ Racial Disparity Tool. Data was collected and reviewed across all programs funded by CoC, ESG, and HOPWA.
 2.By examining data alongside housing outcomes, we aimed to identify and address patterns of disparity. This approach is essential to our system planning, implementing, and modifying housing programs to reflect community needs and it reinforces our commitment to ensuring equal access and positive outcomes for everyone we serve.
 Analysis showed disparities in prevalence and outcomes. Not only are Black or African Americans overrepresented in the homeless response system (35%) as compared to the general population of OKC (7.9%), but they also had a significantly higher percentage of negative exits and returns to homelessness.

1D-9b.	Implemented Strategies to Prevent or Eliminate Racial Disparities.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the strategies your CoC is using to prevent or eliminate racial disparities.

1.	Are your CoC’s board and decisionmaking bodies representative of the population served in the CoC?	Yes
2.	Did your CoC identify steps it will take to help the CoC board and decisionmaking bodies better reflect the population served in the CoC?	Yes
3.	Is your CoC expanding outreach in your CoC’s geographic areas with higher concentrations of underrepresented groups?	Yes
4.	Does your CoC have communication, such as flyers, websites, or other materials, inclusive of underrepresented groups?	Yes
5.	Is your CoC training staff working in the homeless services sector to better understand racism and the intersection of racism and homelessness?	Yes
6.	Is your CoC establishing professional development opportunities to identify and invest in emerging leaders of different races and ethnicities in the homelessness sector?	Yes
7.	Does your CoC have staff, committees, or other resources charged with analyzing and addressing racial disparities related to homelessness?	Yes
8.	Is your CoC educating organizations, stakeholders, boards of directors for local and national nonprofit organizations working on homelessness on the topic of creating greater racial and ethnic diversity?	Yes
9.	Did your CoC review its coordinated entry processes to understand their impact on people of different races and ethnicities experiencing homelessness?	Yes
10.	Is your CoC collecting data to better understand the pattern of program use for people of different races and ethnicities in its homeless services system?	Yes
11.	Is your CoC conducting additional research to understand the scope and needs of different races or ethnicities experiencing homelessness?	Yes
	Other:(limit 500 characters)	
12.		

1D-9c.	Plan for Ongoing Evaluation of System-level Processes, Policies, and Procedures for Racial Equity.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	

Describe in the field below your CoC's plan for ongoing evaluation of system-level processes, policies, and procedures for racial equity.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC and homeless housing and services providers have taken significant steps to improve racial equity in assistance provision and outcomes. Initially, we reviewed and analyzed data to identify factors leading to a disproportionate number of African Americans returning to homelessness. All providers have updated their by-laws to incorporate diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) values in governance and operations. However, recognizing that most staff are Caucasian, the CoC is revising consumer satisfaction surveys to capture culturally appropriate service delivery better.

The CoC and its partners have updated hiring and promotion policies to ensure inclusivity, such as using inclusive language in job descriptions and not requiring degrees for positions that do not absolutely need them. DEI training is mandatory for new YHDP recipients and all CoC partners in 2023-24, as well as the CoC Board. A compensation analysis, including race, age, and gender comparisons, is also underway.

The CoC is dedicated to addressing community disparities by enhancing person-centric practices aligned with community priorities. We spent a year creating our new assessment tool and since it's implementation have consistently reviewed data to ensure weighted questions are assisting in providing appropriate access to the system for underserved populations. This process of continuous evaluation is performed using both qualitative and quantitative data. Other current strategies include increasing consumer engagement through working groups, incorporating equity measures in NOFO scoring rubrics, expanding outreach in gentrified areas, and promoting equitable engagement strategies.

1D-9d.	Plan for Using Data to Track Progress on Preventing or Eliminating Racial Disparities.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	

Describe in the field below:

- | | |
|----|--|
| 1. | the measures your CoC plans to use to continuously track progress on preventing or eliminating racial disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance; and |
| 2. | the tools your CoC plans to use to continuously track progress on preventing or eliminating racial disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance. |

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Our CoC has launched a multi-year DEI performance improvement plan to monitor and address disparities in homeless assistance provision and outcomes. This year, all CoC providers have revised their bylaws to embed the principles of diversity, equity, and inclusion, with ongoing support and monitoring to ensure compliance. We are enhancing our data collection by incorporating client satisfaction surveys and lived experience workgroups to guide culturally appropriate service delivery adjustments. DEI training has been rolled out for all staff members. Additionally, the CoC is performing an equity analysis to uncover pay disparities across all staff levels. Each year, we review the demographic information from PIT data to guide the planning and execution of targeted homeless outreach initiatives, focusing on particularly vulnerable or underserved individuals. We have also established measures to regularly analyze data trends using HMIS data, comparing them to regional data to track our progress and identify patterns of existing or emerging disparities. The CoC took great care to try and ensure that our CES assessment tool was appropriately weighted to guarantee that populations with disproportionate representation in the homeless population have equal access to housing and services. We work with the University Central Oklahoma to evaluate CES assessment, prioritization and housing data and make adjustments as needed.

2. Our As part of our restructuring process, the CoC is working on developing standardized monitoring tools to prevent disparities in services OKC. As we become more adept at using and analyzing our data, we will be creating new management and reporting tools. To facilitate this, we are enhancing data sharing from adjacent systems, aiming to establish public-facing project performance dashboards for greater transparency, and formalizing processes to incorporate the insights of individuals with lived experience into all policy-related decisions. Until these tools are completed, the CoC intends to continue using the tools we used for previous equity evaluations (HUD’s CoC Racial Equity Analysis Tool, and the National Alliance to End Homelessness’ Racial Disparity Tool).

1D-10.	Involving Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness in Service Delivery and Decisionmaking—CoC’s Outreach Efforts.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below your CoC’s outreach efforts (e.g., social media announcements, targeted outreach) to engage those with lived experience of homelessness in leadership roles and decisionmaking processes.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Our CoC engages new consumers and involves individuals with lived experiences of homelessness in leadership and decision-making roles through various platforms, including social media, public meeting announcements, program feedback sessions, street outreach (targeted outreach efforts in the community help us connect with individuals who may not be reached through other channels), peer recommendations, networking with various community organizations and stakeholders to broaden outreach, and a lived experience working group.

These efforts help us reach a diverse audience and ensure broad participation in our initiatives. As a result, the CoC Board has been restructured to include three seats for individuals with lived experience, and we have an active Youth Action Board (YAB) overseeing all YHDP programming and decision-making. These members attend working groups and training sessions throughout the year, helping them develop into skilled leaders and advocates. Additionally, every CoC provider organization is required to have someone with lived experience on their Board and maintain consistent feedback channels with consumers at the project level.

1D-10a.	Active CoC Participation of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

You must upload the Lived Experience Support Letter attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
 Enter in the chart below the number of people with lived experience who currently participate in your CoC under the four categories listed:

	Level of Active Participation	Number of People with Lived Experience Within the Last 7 Years or Current Program Participant	Number of People with Lived Experience Coming from Unsheltered Situations
1.	Routinely included in the decisionmaking processes related to addressing homelessness.	15	4
2.	Participate on CoC committees, subcommittees, or workgroups.	8	4
3.	Included in the development or revision of your CoC's local competition rating factors.	4	0
4.	Included in the development or revision of your CoC's coordinated entry process.	4	0

1D-10b.	Professional Development and Employment Opportunities for Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC or CoC membership organizations provide professional development and employment opportunities to individuals with lived experience of homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Our CoC provides professional development and employment opportunities to individuals with lived experience of homelessness through partnerships and innovative programs. We collaborate with the Central Oklahoma Workforce Innovation Board and Public Strategies SNAP Employment and Training Program to connect individuals with employment and training services. Clients referred to these agencies are assessed and matched with either employment locator services, which help identify and address barriers to employment, or job training programs designed to develop skills for self-sufficiency or comparable employment.

Additionally, CoC partner agencies have created social enterprises to offer employment opportunities. For example, Curbside Chronicle, a street paper written and sold by homeless individuals, has expanded to include a flower shop and a T-shirt printing business. They also operate Sasquatch, a shaved ice outlet that hires youth and provides life skills training.

The Better Way program, launched last year, offers a day's work to people who are homeless and/or panhandling and connects them with an employment specialist to help secure stable, ongoing employment. These initiatives collectively support professional development and employment opportunities for individuals with lived experience of homelessness

1D-10c.	Routinely Gathering Feedback and Addressing Challenges of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below:	
1.	how your CoC gathers feedback from people experiencing homelessness;
2.	how often your CoC gathers feedback from people experiencing homelessness;
3.	how your CoC gathers feedback from people who received assistance through the CoC Program or ESG Program;
4.	how often your CoC gathers feedback from people who have received assistance through the CoC Program or ESG Program; and
5.	steps your CoC has taken to address challenges raised by people with lived experience of homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

- 1.The OKC CoC conducts consumer feedback sessions and a lived experience working group where individuals can share their experiences and insights. The Youth Action Board (YAB) also focus on advocating for youth through monthly meetings and development opportunities. 3 seats on our CoC Board are designated for individuals with lived experience to ensure their voices are heard in decision-making processes.
- 2.The frequency with which feedback is sought depends on how the information is received. Information is received through working groups weekly, except for the lived experience working group which is currently targeted to be held quarterly but may meet less frequently. Information from YAB is gathered monthly.
- 3.Our CoC gathers feedback from individuals who have received CoC or ESG assistance by requiring all projects to integrate structured feedback channels and surveys into their design and monitoring processes. This approach ensures the collection of qualitative data. Additionally, we have established a workgroup structure that includes all CoC and ESG providers This structure fosters continuous dialogue and feedback exchange on the experiences of program participants, ensuring their voices are heard and considered in program improvements.
- 4.The frequency with which feedback is sought from people with lived experience who have received assistance through the CoC or ESG program is similar to how it is gathered from all people experiencing homelessness as working groups are a mix of people who have and have not received assistance from those programs. Additionally, though, we receive information through service providers via feedback channels and surveys, the latter of which has been incorporated into local scoring for CoC and ESG projects.
- 5.Our CoC has taken proactive steps to address challenges of individuals with lived experience of homelessness. We gather feedback through surveys and feedback sessions, which has been crucial in refining service delivery and ensuring consistency in case management. Based on feedback, we are developing case management standards and a standardized learning curriculum. We have extended our RRH program to offer 12 months of assistance. Audits ensure clients are informed of grievance policies, empowering them to voice concerns. We foster a culture continuous improvement, regularly adjusting our practices to meet evolving needs.

1D-11.	Increasing Affordable Housing Supply.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.s.	
	Describe in the field below at least two steps your CoC has taken in the past 12 months to engage city, county, or state governments that represent your CoC's geographic area regarding the following:	
	1. reforming zoning and land use policies to permit more housing development; and	
	2. reducing regulatory barriers to housing development.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Oklahoma City conducted a Housing Affordability Study alongside developing a Strategy to Address Homelessness. Both studies underscored the need to incentivize affordable development and implement inclusionary zoning policies, a consensus shared by City government members and the public. In response, the Oklahoma City Council approved MAPS 4, a debt-free public improvement program funded by a temporary penny sales tax, projected to raise \$1.1 billion over eight years. This includes a \$55.7 million investment in truly affordable housing, which, along with wrap-around services from existing providers, will support the city's "housing first" strategy. This \$55.7 million investment is expected to leverage over \$400 million in additional funding from other sources. development code.

2. With input from the lead agency, Oklahoma City has developed and adopted an action plan to address affordable housing. This plan includes several key steps, such as changes to zoning, ordinances, and development policies, the creation of a Land Bank, and a Lien Forgiveness Program. To promote affordable housing, the lead agency has been working with development and zoning staff to update existing ordinances and create new ones. A notable success is the recent approval of accessory dwelling units, like garage apartments, which were previously not allowed. Additionally, the CoC has been actively working to reduce regulatory barriers by advocating for inclusionary zoning changes and proposing tenant-friendly amendments to landlord-tenant laws at the state level.

1E. Project Capacity, Review, and Ranking–Local Competition

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1E-1.	Web Posting of Advance Public Notice of Your CoC's Local Competition Deadline, Scoring and Rating Criteria.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.a. and 2.g.	

1.	Enter the date your CoC published its submission deadline and scoring and rating criteria for New Project applicants to submit their project applications for your CoC's local competition.	09/05/2024
2.	Enter the date your CoC published its submission deadline and scoring and rating criteria for Renewal Project applicants to submit their project applications for your CoC's local competition.	09/05/2024

1E-2.	Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in Its Local Competition. We use the response to this question and the response in Question 1E-2a along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., 2.d., and 2.e.	

You must upload the Local Competition Scoring Tool attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ranked and selected project applications during your local competition:

1.	Established total points available for each project application type.	Yes
2.	At least 33 percent of the total points were based on objective criteria for the project application (e.g., cost effectiveness, timely draws, utilization rate, match, leverage), performance data, type of population served (e.g., DV, youth, Veterans, chronic homelessness), or type of housing proposed (e.g., PSH, RRH).	Yes
3.	At least 20 percent of the total points were based on system performance criteria for the project application (e.g., exits to permanent housing destinations, retention of permanent housing, length of time homeless, returns to homelessness).	Yes
4.	Provided points for projects that addressed specific severe barriers to housing and services.	Yes
5.	Used data from comparable databases to score projects submitted by victim service providers.	Yes

6.	Provided points for projects based on the degree the projects identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers.	Yes
----	---	-----

1E-2a.	Scored Project Forms for One Project from Your CoC's Local Competition. We use the response to this question and Question 1E-2. along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below. NOFO Section V.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., and 2.d.	
--------	---	--

You must upload the Scored Forms for One Project attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
Complete the chart below to provide details of your CoC's local competition:

1.	What were the maximum number of points available for the renewal project form(s)?	100
2.	How many renewal projects did your CoC submit?	18
3.	What renewal project type did most applicants use?	PH-PSH

1E-2b.	Addressing Severe Barriers in the Local Project Review and Ranking Process. NOFO Section V.B.2.d.	
--------	--	--

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC analyzed data regarding each project that has successfully housed program participants in permanent housing;
2.	how your CoC analyzed data regarding how long it takes to house people in permanent housing;
3.	how your CoC considered the specific severity of needs and vulnerabilities experienced by program participants preventing rapid placement in permanent housing or the ability to maintain permanent housing when your CoC ranked and selected projects; and
4.	the severe barriers your CoC considered.

(limit 2,500 characters)

- 1.The CoC utilizes HMIS data to analyze permanent housing projects, which are reviewed annually. Data from HMIS and a housing first fidelity score are used to create a performance scorecard for ranking and review. APR data used to conduct scoring includes housing retention, exit destination, and income criteria. The CoC is currently implementing a revised Performance Plan which includes public-facing dashboards. These dashboards will be broken down by project and component types, allowing for real-time performance review and increased transparency.
- 2.The CoC evaluates CES acceptance rates, the time from CES referral to enrollment, and the time from CES referral to housing at both the system and project levels. This metric is weighed annually in the NOFO rank and review and reviewed weekly in the PSH housing workgroup and others. By regularly examining this data in workgroups, we can identify and address issues and barriers, supporting partners in improving successful move-in and referral acceptance rates.
- 3.When selecting projects, our CoC considers the severity of needs of program participants such as chronic homelessness, physical and mental health conditions, substance use disorders, and histories of trauma or domestic violence, which can hinder rapid placement in permanent housing or the ability to maintain it. In the review process, we focus on adherence to housing first principles and the ability to meet the service needs (retention) of those housed. We prioritize projects on their ability to serve high-need populations and provide comprehensive support services. We use HMIS data and feedback from service providers and participants to continuously improve our approach and ensure that our ranking and selection process is responsive to the complexities faced by those we serve.
- 4.Commitment to housing first principles is central to the CoC review process. The review committee considers lower performance for projects that serve the hardest-to-serve populations in our CoC and accepts 100% of referrals, regardless of the severity of needs, from CES. Specifically, the CoC considers 15 housing first criteria when reviewing and ranking applications including whether clients are screened out for criminal convictions, sobriety requirements, drug and alcohol testing requirements, income requirements, requirements for participants to participate in supportive services, and refusal to serve based on sexual orientation, disability or other criteria.

1E-3.	Advancing Racial Equity through Participation of Over-Represented Populations in the Local Competition Review and Ranking Process.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.e.	
	Describe in the field below:	
	1. how your CoC used input from persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, to determine the rating factors used to review project applications;	
	2. how your CoC included persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population in the review, selection, and ranking process; and	
	3. how your CoC rated and ranked projects based on the degree that proposed projects identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and steps the projects took or will take to eliminate the identified barriers.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1./2. Our CoC actively engaged individuals from diverse racial and ethnic backgrounds, particularly African Americans who are overrepresented in the local homelessness population, to shape the rating factors for project application review. Last year, we updated our governance and policies to promote racial equity, including recruiting BIPOC members to the Ranking and Review Committee and CoC Board. We also planned the Youth Homelessness Demonstration Project with the Youth Action Board, composed entirely of currently and formerly homeless youth, through numerous planning and input meetings.

As a result, the CoC Ranking and Review Committee and CoC Board have been restructured to better reflect the populations they serve, including both homeless and BIPOC individuals. These committees and working groups collaborate with the CoC Lead to develop criteria for ranking projects.

To implement these policies, the lead agency reaches out through various channels, including email, public meetings, and personal communication, to encourage participation from underserved and overrepresented community members. For the current competition, 11% of the review committee represented the overrepresented Black/African American population, and 22% had lived experience. This inclusive approach ensures that the rating factors reflect the perspectives and needs of those most affected by homelessness in our community.

3. Both new and renewal projects are required to submit narratives explaining how they address barriers faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, especially those overrepresented in the local homelessness population. These narratives must detail every step of the housing process, from referral to securing housing, and describe how these efforts are monitored using both data and qualitative measures. The NOFO Review and Ranking Committee scores these approaches during project assessment/ranking.

1E-4.	Reallocation—Reviewing Performance of Existing Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.f.	
	Describe in the field below:	
1.	your CoC’s reallocation process, including how your CoC determined which projects are candidates for reallocation because they are low performing or less needed;	
2.	whether your CoC identified any low performing or less needed projects through the process described in element 1 of this question during your CoC’s local competition this year;	
3.	whether your CoC reallocated any low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year; and	
4.	why your CoC did not reallocate low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year, if applicable.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC has a posted rank & reallocation policy allows for both voluntary and involuntary reallocation processes. Involuntary reallocations occur due to low repeated low performance and failure to meet community needs, or non-compliance with current HUD standards. Annually, the Lead Agency reviews project performance, spending (to identify consistent underutilization), and the cost of program operations relative to the number of individuals served. The CoC provides technical assistance to all underperforming projects. However, if a project consistently falls into Tier 2 for three consecutive NOFO competitions, it is recommended for reallocation. Funding recommendations are then presented to the CoC board for final decisions. Voluntary reallocation most often occurs due to underperformance or when the agency no longer can operate the grant. This year, as part of our updated System Performance Management Plan, we are placing greater emphasis on financial performance, particularly focusing on projects that return HUD funds. Underspending projects will be targeted for reallocation to support new projects or expand high-performing ones.
2. Yes, through the process described above, the CoC identified low-performing projects due to their lack of adherence to Housing First and failure to retain clients in housing.
3. No, this year, all reallocations were voluntary. Our voluntary reallocation self-identified ongoing performance issues in operations and spending. However, the CoC Lead Agency will engage with the lowest-ranked project to recommend reallocation to a permanent housing project that is Housing First and meets community needs as outlined in strategic planning and system mapping efforts.
4. The CoC followed our reallocation process as described above. The reason we did not force a reallocation was because the project operator voluntarily reallocated the project.

1E-4a.	Reallocation Between FY 2019 and FY 2024.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.f.	

	Did your CoC cumulatively reallocate at least 20 percent of its ARD between FY 2019 and FY 2024?	No
--	--	----

1E-5.	Projects Rejected/Reduced–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

1.	Did your CoC reject any project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	Yes
2.	Did your CoC reduce funding for any project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	Yes
3.	Did your CoC inform applicants why your CoC rejected or reduced their project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	Yes
4.	If you selected Yes for element 1 or element 2 of this question, enter the date your CoC notified applicants that their project applications were being rejected or reduced, in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2024, 06/27/2024, and 06/28/2024, then you must enter 06/28/2024.	09/05/2024

1E-5a.	Projects Accepted–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Accepted attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified project applicants that their project applications were accepted and ranked on the New and Renewal Priority Listings in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2024, 06/27/2024, and 06/28/2024, then you must enter 06/28/2024.	10/11/2024
--	--	------------

1E-5b.	Local Competition Selection Results for All Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Local Competition Selection Results attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Does your attachment include: 1. Project Names; 2. Project Scores; 3. Project Status–Accepted, Rejected, Reduced Reallocated, Fully Reallocated; 4. Project Rank; 5. Amount Requested from HUD; and 6. Reallocated Funds +/-.	Yes
--	---	-----

1E-5c.	Web Posting of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application 2 Days Before CoC Program Competition Application Submission Deadline.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g. and 24 CFR 578.95.	
	You must upload the Web Posting–CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC posted the CoC-approved Consolidated Application on the CoC’s website or partner’s website—which included: 1. the CoC Application; and 2. Priority Listings for Reallocation forms and all New, Renewal, and Replacement Project Listings.	10/28/2024
--	--	------------

1E-5d.	Notification to Community Members and Key Stakeholders by Email that the CoC-Approved Consolidated Application is Posted on Website.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified community members and key stakeholders that the CoC-approved Consolidated Application was posted on your CoC’s website or partner’s website.	10/28/2024
--	---	------------

2A. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Implementation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2A-1.	HMIS Vendor.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

	Enter the name of the HMIS Vendor your CoC is currently using.	Wellsky
--	--	---------

2A-2.	HMIS Implementation Coverage Area.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

	Select from dropdown menu your CoC's HMIS coverage area.	Statewide
--	--	-----------

2A-3.	HIC Data Submission in HDX.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.a.	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2024 HIC data into HDX.	05/10/2024
--	---	------------

2A-4.	Comparable Databases for DV Providers—CoC and HMIS Lead Supporting Data Collection and Data Submission by Victim Service Providers.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.b.	

	In the field below:	
1.	describe actions your CoC and HMIS Lead have taken to ensure DV housing and service providers in your CoC collect data in HMIS comparable databases; and	
2.	state whether DV housing and service providers in your CoC are using a HUD-compliant comparable database—compliant with the FY 2024 HMIS Data Standards.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

- 1.The CoC and HMIS Lead Agency require our CoC-funded DV service provider to use a HUD-approved comparable database for data collection, ensuring they can produce the necessary reports. The CoC Lead agency conducts an annual review with the YWCA, the only organization that submits data that is required to use such a database. The YWCA uses a system built by the agency and the CoC’s HMIS staff worked with them to confirm the data captures meet current HUD standards.
- 2.Yes, CoC funded DV provider DV adheres to the HUD guidelines, utilizing databases that are fully compliant with HMIS standards and can submit reports to the required databases such as SAGE. .
- 3.Yes, our CoC's HMIS is compliant with the FY 24 HMIS Data Standards.

2A-5.	Bed Coverage Rate—Using HIC, HMIS Data—CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.c. and V.B.7.	

Using the 2024 HDX Competition Report we issued your CoC, enter data in the chart below by project type:

Project Type	Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current Non-VSP Beds [Column F of HDX Report]	Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current VSP Beds [Column K of HDX Report]	Total Year-Round, Current, HMIS Beds and VSP Beds in an HMIS Comparable Database [Column M of HDX Report]	HMIS and Comparable Database Coverage Rate [Column O of HDX Report]
1. Emergency Shelter (ES) beds	1,128	0	1,032	91.49%
2. Safe Haven (SH) beds	30	0	30	100.00%
3. Transitional Housing (TH) beds	259	0	178	68.73%
4. Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) beds	222	0	222	100.00%
5. Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) beds	1,114	0	523	46.95%
6. Other Permanent Housing (OPH) beds	0	0	0	100.00%

2A-5a.	Partial Credit for Bed Coverage Rates at or Below 84.99 for Any Project Type in Question 2A-5.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.c.	

For each project type with a bed coverage rate that is at or below 84.99 percent in question 2A-5, describe:

- | | |
|----|--|
| 1. | steps your CoC will take over the next 12 months to increase the bed coverage rate to at least 85 percent for that project type; and |
| 2. | how your CoC will implement the steps described to increase bed coverage to at least 85 percent. |

(limit 2,500 characters)

The OKC CoC's bed coverage rate essentially remains the same as it was in 2022. While these rates are high, there is still work needing to be done in several areas, particularly PSH and Transitional Housing. The lag in these areas continues to be almost completely the result of VA programs such as VASH not utilizing HMIS. The CoC reached an agreement with the VA more than a year ago to import VASH data from their HOMES system and begin entering into HMIS. Frustratingly, this has not occurred. With VASH beds entered the system would be at 100% reporting for PSH beds. The CoC Management Team met with VA staff last year but, since that time, there has been a turnover in leadership and the issue was not completely addressed. The remaining organizations are smaller transitional programs. Many of which have been on HMIS in the past but not continued to stay licensed or enter data. The Management Team has expanded significantly since last year and a Performance Manager has been added. This position will be responsible for working with the VA and other organizations to increase our HMIS coverage and improve data quality.

2A-6.	Longitudinal System Analysis (LSA) Submission in HDX 2.0.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.d.	
	You must upload your CoC's FY 2024 HDX Competition Report to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

Did your CoC submit at least two usable LSA data files to HUD in HDX 2.0 by January 24, 2024, 11:59 p.m. EST?	Yes
---	-----

2B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Point-in-Time (PIT) Count

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2B-1.	PIT Count Date.	
	NOFO Section V.B.4.a	

	Enter the date your CoC conducted its 2024 PIT count.	01/25/2024
--	---	------------

2B-2.	PIT Count Data–HDX Submission Date.	
	NOFO Section V.B.4.a	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2024 PIT count data in HDX.	05/10/2024
--	---	------------

2B-3.	PIT Count–Effectively Counting Youth in Your CoC’s Most Recent Unsheltered PIT Count.	
	NOFO Section V.B.4.b.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	engaged unaccompanied youth and youth serving organizations in your CoC’s most recent PIT count planning process;	
2.	worked with unaccompanied youth and youth serving organizations to select locations where homeless youth are most likely to be identified during your CoC’s most recent PIT count planning process; and	
3.	included youth experiencing homelessness as counters during your CoC’s most recent unsheltered PIT count.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

- 1.The CoC has a long history of engaging unaccompanied youth, the Youth Action Board (YAB), and organizations specializing in youth services. This engagement extends to the PIT count, where members of the YAB serve on the PIT planning working group and helps identify targeted outreach areas to connect with unaccompanied youth. They also provide valuable insights and support on how staff and PIT volunteers should effectively engage with these youth.
- 2.Known YYA locations are integrated into our PIT planning efforts, informed by unaccompanied youth, the YAB, and organizations specializing in youth services. Our CoC uses a digital application (Counting Us in 2024) for the PIT, allowing us to create virtual maps and assign teams based on the necessary expertise (youth-serving organizations).
- 3.The PIT planning working group recruit youth volunteers and YAB members with the goal of having someone between 18-24 with lived experience of homelessness on every count team. When this isn't possible though, teams with both youth members and staff from youth serving organizations are targeted towards the YYA locations identified by the PIT planning working group.

2B-4.	PIT Count–Methodology Change–CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.a and V.B.7.c.	

In the field below:	
1.	describe any changes your CoC made to your sheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2023 and 2024, if applicable;
2.	describe any changes your CoC made to your unsheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2023 and 2024, if applicable;
3.	describe whether your CoC's PIT count was affected by people displaced either from a natural disaster or seeking short-term shelter or housing assistance who recently arrived in your CoCs' geographic; and
4.	describe how the changes affected your CoC's PIT count results; or
5.	state "Not Applicable" if there were no changes or if you did not conduct an unsheltered PIT count in 2024.

(limit 2,500 characters)

- 1.No changes have been implemented in the methodology for the Sheltered PIT Count between 2023 and 2024.
- 2.No changes have been implemented in the methodology for the Unsheltered PIT County between 2023 and 2024.
- 3.Not Applicable.
4. Not Applicable.

2C. System Performance

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2C-1.	Reducing the Number of First Time Homeless—Risk Factors Your CoC Uses.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.b.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe how your CoC determined the risk factors to identify persons experiencing homelessness for the first time;	
2.	describe your CoC’s strategies to address individuals and families at risk of becoming homeless; and	
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC’s strategy to reduce the number of individuals and families experiencing homelessness for the first time	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.The CoC used data from multiple sources to identify those at risk of experiencing homelessness for the first time. These sources include our local PHA, Oklahoma City University Law School’s Eviction Assistance Program, data from the City’s Affordable Housing Study, PIT surveys and significant analysis of HMIS data. The CoC is currently building out HMIS API capabilities to share data with other intersecting systems that often lead to homelessness (such as mental health facilities, criminal justice systems, foster care, and workforce systems).

In 2024, the CoC established a Diversion workgroup to analyze system trends of first-time homeless and design our first diversion pilot program, which launched on November 1, 2024. Along with shelter partners, street outreach teams, and individuals with lived experience, the workgroup identified the need to focus on providing diversion services for those with the following risk factors: limited financial resources, lack of support networks to prevent entering shelters/streets, and recent signs of housing instability.

Locally, our diversion intervention is designed to immediately address the needs of someone who has just lost their housing and become homeless. It is a client-driven approach; its goal is to help the person or household find safe alternative housing immediately rather than entering a shelter or experiencing unsheltered homelessness.

2.Our CoC uses the same method, identifying individuals and families at risk of homelessness while also collaborating with local initiatives to boost affordable housing through strategic development and rental assistance. Our commitment to expanding affordable housing is demonstrated by the City Council's approval of a temporary penny sales tax, which will invest \$55.7 million in truly affordable housing. The \$55.7 million is expected to attract over \$400 million in additional funding from other sources. The tax initiative will also support \$44.6M in additional mental health and addiction services and \$18.9M in Diversion Hubs tied to the criminal justice system, ultimately serving those at risk of homelessness.

3.The City of Oklahoma City Continuum of Care (branded Key To Home) is responsible for overseeing this strategy.

2C-1a.	Impact of Displaced Persons on Number of First Time Homeless.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.b	

Was your CoC’s Number of First Time Homeless [metric 5.2] affected by the number of persons seeking short-term shelter or housing assistance displaced due to:

1.	natural disasters?	No
2.	having recently arrived in your CoC’s geographic area?	No

2C-2.	Reducing Length of Time Homeless—CoC’s Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.c.	

In the field below:

1.	describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and persons in families remain homeless;
2.	describe how your CoC identifies and houses individuals and persons in families with the longest lengths of time homeless; and
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC employs multiple strategies to reduce the duration of homelessness for individuals and families. The CoC CES prioritization policies focusing on those experiencing chronic homelessness. This includes equipping homeless outreach teams to perform CES in the field and transitioning agency programming to a true housing-first model through training, technical assistance and project reallocations. We've expanded our efforts by forming a dedicated landlord engagement team that builds and maintains strong relationships with landlords, promptly addresses any issues, and manages a comprehensive database of available properties and units. This approach significantly reduces the time it takes for providers to secure suitable housing for our clients.

Additionally, we regularly monitor system performance measures, including the time from assessment to referral and referral to housing, to identify and address obstacles within the homeless response system. System performance is reviewed weekly with all permanent housing providers, outreach teams, and the landlord engagement team. Performance measures, such as time to housing, are also considered in the NOFO scorecard for project renewal.

2. Our CoC employs a comprehensive strategy to identify and house individuals and families with the longest lengths of time homeless. We prioritize households experiencing chronic homelessness and have a coordinated homeless outreach strategy to reach those who may not engage with traditional services. Outreach staff are trained to conduct CES assessments in the field, ensuring effective identification of those experiencing long-term homelessness. Once identified, individuals and families are connected to staff who assist with collecting necessary documents, including verification of homelessness, to ensure they are ready for immediate referral when housing becomes available. After referral to housing, our Landlord Engagement Team swiftly identifies available and suitable housing options. After housing placement, we provide ongoing support services, including case management and mental health services, to ensure stability and prevent a return to homelessness. By implementing these strategies, our CoC effectively identifies and houses individuals and families with the longest lengths of time homeless, ensuring they receive the support they need to achieve long-term stability.

3. The City of Oklahoma City CoC (branded Key to Home) is responsible for overseeing these strategies.

2C-3.	Successful Permanent Housing Placement or Retention –CoC's Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.d.	

In the field below:

1.	describe your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in emergency shelter, safe havens, transitional housing, and rapid rehousing exit to permanent housing destinations;
2.	describe your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in permanent housing projects retain their permanent housing or exit to permanent housing destinations; and

3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and families exit to or retain permanent housing.
----	---

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.The CoC employs multiple workstreams to increase the rate of exits to permanent housing for ES, TH, and RRH programs. All ES, TH, and RRH (non-DV) programs enter data into HMIS. ES providers meet monthly to assess trends, coordinate available services, and ensure CES assessments for those seeking shelter, along with developing our system Diversion program. Our CoC has developed system service models for all housing interventions and is creating service standards for all CoC programs. These standards will include expectations for consistent service delivery, staff training, and standardized case management tools covering social support networks, basic needs, tenant education, income, and realistic planning. Additionally, all TH, and RRH providers participate in recurring workgroups to discuss challenges, review performance dashboards, and identify improvements to increase exits to permanent housing.

2.Our CoC employs a comprehensive strategy to ensure individuals and families residing in permanent housing projects either retain their housing or successfully transition to other permanent housing destinations. This strategy includes housing-first approaches, prioritizing immediate access to housing without preconditions, and emphasizing client choice to empower residents. Intensive case management is provided through Coordinated Case Management (CCM) teams comprising funded and non-funded partners, including healthcare and mental health providers. These teams deliver standardized services, ensuring consistent support in areas such as social networks, basic needs, tenant education, income stability, and realistic planning. Additionally, the lead agency offers ongoing technical assistance and hosts mandatory weekly workgroups for frontline staff and supervisors to maintain high service standards and support continuous improvement. This holistic approach has resulted in high retention rates and low returns to homelessness, even as the CoC prioritizes those with high service needs and chronic homelessness.

3.The City of Oklahoma City CoC (branded Key to Home) is responsible for overseeing these strategies.

2C-4.	Reducing Returns to Homelessness—CoC's Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.e.	

In the field below:

1.	describe your CoC's strategy to identify individuals and families who return to homelessness;
2.	describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate that individuals and families return to homelessness; and
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate individuals and persons in families return to homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Our CoC employs a multifaceted strategy to identify individuals and families who return to homelessness. We utilize HMIS and CES data to monitor and track returns to homelessness, ensuring we have accurate and up-to-date information. This data is reviewed in weekly case management workgroup meetings, where we standardize service delivery and focus on maintaining tenancy and case conferencing potential negative program exits. When issues are identified, the lead agency provides targeted technical assistance to address these challenges. Additionally, our coordinated Diversion program, integrated into shelter and homeless outreach services, helps prevent returns to homelessness by offering creative problem-solving with light financial assistance if needed. Furthermore, 211 has trained CES assessors on staff to assist those at risk, ensuring effective tracking and support through coordinated efforts. This comprehensive approach allows us to proactively identify and support individuals and families who may be at risk of returning to homelessness.

2. Our CoC's strategy to reduce the rate of additional returns to homelessness involves a comprehensive approach that combines case management with targeted support services tailored to individual client needs, including healthcare, mental and behavioral health, substance use, and domestic violence services. We organize housing stabilization work groups to address recurring and high-barrier issues, ensuring clients are connected to higher levels of care and treatment as needed. This strategy is guided by our philosophy of coordination and collaboration, which emphasizes a client-centered and tailored plan to achieve housing stability. Additionally, we provide mandatory technical assistance to help underperforming CoC projects improve performance, ensuring a consistent and effective approach across all agencies.

3. The City of Oklahoma City CoC (branded Key To Home) is responsible for overseeing these strategies.

2C-5.	Increasing Employment Cash Income—CoC's Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.f.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to access employment cash sources;	
2.	describe how your CoC works with mainstream employment organizations to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness increase their employment cash income; and	
3.	provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase income from employment.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Our CoC’s strategy to access employment cash sources involves partnering with various mainstream employment partners, including Oklahoma Works (the public workforce system), independent employers, temp agencies, and the Downtown OKC Partnership (a collaboration that facilitates connections between downtown businesses and individuals experiencing homelessness or those housed in CoC projects). Our approach is grounded in the belief that anyone who wants to work can find employment. CoC partners can directly refer clients to these mainstream partners, with case managers providing support to navigate the employment process. This comprehensive strategy ensures clients can access the resources and opportunities needed to secure employment and achieve financial stability.

2. Our CoC collaborates with a diverse range of mainstream employment organizations to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness increase their employment cash income. We partner with Oklahoma Works (the public workforce system), independent employers, temp agencies, and the Downtown OKC Partnership (a partnership that facilitates connections between downtown businesses and individuals experiencing homelessness or those housed in CoC projects). Case management staff conduct income assessments at enrollment and regularly after housing is secured, gathering detailed information on employment history, potential barriers, and motivation to secure employment. Case managers then facilitate connections to mainstream employment resources. Additionally, the CoC provides links to several low-barrier employment programs offering flexible job opportunities, including the Curbside Chronicle Street magazine, Curbside Flowers, Curbside T-Shirts, and the A Better Way Program. These initiatives aim to engage individuals in higher-paying, stable employment quickly. Furthermore, service providers address other barriers to employment, such as childcare and transportation, ensuring a comprehensive approach to increasing employment cash income for those experiencing homelessness.

3. The City of Oklahoma City CoC (branded Key to Home) is responsible for overseeing these strategies.

2C-5a.	Increasing Non-employment Cash Income—CoC’s Strategy	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.f.	

In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC’s strategy to access non-employment cash income; and
2.	provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC’s strategy to increase non-employment cash income.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Our CoC's strategy to access non-employment cash income focuses on improving engagement with mainstream benefit providers and identifying the needs for these benefits. All individuals receiving services are assessed for mainstream benefits at intake and annually. The CoC mandates that all case managers complete SOAR training to connect clients with benefits such as SSI/SSDI when eligible. To assist individuals in accessing non-employment cash sources, representatives from the VA, DHS, and other agencies have offices at the CoC's one-stop center, expediting assistance. Having mainstream benefit offices in the same building as housing providers allows clients to apply for or receive multiple benefits on the same day. Clients' eligible benefits and employment barriers are addressed in their service plans and developed through Coordinated Case Management.

2. The City of Oklahoma City CoC (branded Key to Home) is responsible for overseeing these strategies.

3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3A-1.	New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Housing Resources.	
	NOFO Section V.B.6.a.	
	You must upload the Housing Leveraging Commitment attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses housing subsidies or subsidized housing units which are not funded through the CoC or ESG Programs to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	Yes
--	--	-----

3A-2.	New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Healthcare Resources.	
	NOFO Section V.B.6.b.	
	You must upload the Healthcare Formal Agreements attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses healthcare resources to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	No
--	--	----

3A-3.	Leveraging Housing/Healthcare Resources–List of Projects.	
	NOFO Sections V.B.6.a. and V.B.6.b.	

If you selected yes to questions 3A-1. or 3A-2., use the list feature icon to enter information about each project application you intend for HUD to evaluate to determine if they meet the criteria.

Project Name	Project Type	Rank Number	Leverage Type
This list contains no items			

3B. New Projects With Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3B-1.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.r.	

Is your CoC requesting funding for any new project application requesting \$200,000 or more in funding for housing rehabilitation or new construction?	No
--	----

3B-2.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.r.	

If you answered yes to question 3B-1, describe in the field below actions CoC Program-funded project applicants will take to comply with:

1.	Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1701u); and
2.	HUD’s implementing rules at 24 CFR part 75 to provide employment and training opportunities for low- and very-low-income persons, as well as contracting and other economic opportunities for businesses that provide economic opportunities to low- and very-low-income persons.

(limit 2,500 characters)

3C. Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3C-1.	Designating SSO/TH/Joint TH and PH-RRH Component Projects to Serve Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section V.F.	

	Is your CoC requesting to designate one or more of its SSO, TH, or Joint TH and PH-RRH component projects to serve families with children or youth experiencing homelessness as defined by other Federal statutes?	No
--	--	----

3C-2.	Cost Effectiveness of Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section V.F.	

You must upload the Project List for Other Federal Statutes attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

If you answered yes to question 3C-1, describe in the field below:

- | | |
|----|---|
| 1. | how serving this population is of equal or greater priority, which means that it is equally or more cost effective in meeting the overall goals and objectives of the plan submitted under Section 427(b)(1)(B) of the Act, especially with respect to children and unaccompanied youth than serving the homeless as defined in paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of the definition of homeless in 24 CFR 578.3; and |
| 2. | how your CoC will meet requirements described in Section 427(b)(1)(F) of the Act. |

(limit 2,500 characters)

4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants for New DV Bonus Funding

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

4A-1.	New DV Bonus Project Applicants.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.	

	Did your CoC submit one or more new project applications for DV Bonus Funding?		Yes
--	--	--	-----

4A-1a.	DV Bonus Project Types.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the type(s) of new DV Bonus project(s) your CoC included in its FY 2024 Priority Listing.

	Project Type	
1.	SSO Coordinated Entry	No
2.	PH-RRH or Joint TH and PH-RRH Component	Yes

You must click "Save" after selecting Yes for element 1 SSO Coordinated Entry to view questions 4A-2, 4A-2a. and 4A-2b.

4A-3.	Data Assessing Need for New DV Bonus Housing Projects in Your CoC's Geographic Area.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(c) and I.B.3.j.(3)(c)	

1.	Enter the number of survivors that need housing or services:	1,541
2.	Enter the number of survivors your CoC is currently serving:	972
3.	Unmet Need:	569

4A-3a.	How Your CoC Calculated Local Need for New DV Bonus Housing Projects.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(c)	
	Describe in the field below:	
	1. how your CoC calculated the number of DV survivors needing housing or services in question 4A-3 element 1 and element 2; and	
	2. the data source (e.g., comparable databases, other administrative data, external data source, HMIS for non-DV projects); or	
	3. if your CoC is unable to meet the needs of all survivors please explain in your response all barriers to meeting those needs.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

The number of DV survivors needing housing or services was calculated by adding those currently on our prioritization list to the number currently being served. The data source used was HMIS for non-DV projects. Currently our CoC is unable to meet the emergency housing and permanent housing needs of survivors in our community. We have one emergency shelter dedicated to survivors of domestic and sexual violence, they are consistently full and have a waiting list. At times survivors must be transported up to three hours away to access emergency shelter beds. Our community is also struggling with finding permanent housing in Oklahoma City to meet the needs of the survivors. Oklahoma City is not unlike most metropolitan areas in the country where the current struggle is lack of affordable housing units. Often the survivors have certain areas they wish to live in due to school and current support systems, often times those are some of the most difficult areas to find housing in.

4A-3b.	Information About Unique Project Applicant Requesting New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)	
	Use the list feature icon to enter information on each unique project applicant applying for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus projects—only enter project applicant information once, regardless of how many DV Bonus projects that applicant is applying for.	

Applicant Name
Homeless Alliance...
City Rescue Missi...

Project Applicants Applying for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH DV Bonus Projects

4A-3b.	Information About Unique Project Applicant Requesting New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)	

Enter information in the chart below on the project applicant that applied for one or more New DV Bonus housing projects included on your CoC's FY 2024 Priority Listing for New Projects:

1.	Applicant Name	Homeless Alliance, Inc
2.	Rate of Housing Placement of DV Survivors–Percentage	52%
3.	Rate of Housing Retention of DV Survivors–Percentage	73%

4A-3b.1.	Applicant's Housing Placement and Retention Data Explanation.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

For the rate of housing placement and rate of housing retention of DV survivors reported in question 4B-3b., describe in the field below:

1.	how the project applicant calculated the rate of housing placement;
2.	whether the rate for housing placement accounts for exits to safe housing destinations;
3.	how the project applicant calculated the rate of housing retention; and
4.	the data source (e.g., comparable databases, other administrative data, external data source, HMIS for non-DV projects).

(limit 1,500 characters)

We calculated current placement and retention numbers from the 2 DV projects currently operating in our CoC, one of which this project will be expanding. These are based on our current rate of housing and retention between the two projects. We aim to exceed these percentages in the next year.

4A-3c.	Applicant's Experience Housing DV Survivors.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below how the project applicant:

1.	ensured DV survivors experiencing homelessness were quickly moved into safe affordable housing;
2.	prioritized survivors—you must address the process the project applicant used, e.g., Coordinated Entry, prioritization list, CoC's emergency transfer plan;
3.	determined survivors' supportive services needs;
4.	connected survivors to supportive services; and
5.	moved survivors from assisted housing to housing they could sustain—address housing stability after the housing subsidy ends.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The Homeless Alliance (HA) works with our local housing authorities to streamline application processes for people fleeing DV so that survivors can get subsidies for which they are eligible as quickly as possible. HA has two housing navigators (HN) embedded at the Palomar Family Justice Center. This ensures that housing is a part of survivor’s safety planning, & gets them connected to housing resources as soon as they access services. Additionally, we have a (HN) embedded at the YWCA, which ensures rapid housing placement for clients who are accessing shelter. The TH side of this project also opens up additional housing capacity within the community for people needing to flee. With dedicated leases for TH, HA is able to move participants into housing more swiftly than when housing is not yet located. Since many survivors do not meet the chronic definition, it is crucial to provide additional prioritization consideration for DV survivors & move them through CE as quickly as possible. Survivors are added to the community’s Name Database (NDB), where they are given preferential referral consideration, particularly for DV HNs, who ensure that survivors are connected to CE housing resources without having to go through an additional access point. Necessary services are determined during initial and annual assessment as well as during regular meetings by case managers with program participants. By partnering with existing survivor resources, we ensure that clients are provided with wrap around services that are geared towards their specific needs. Case managers are equipped to help survivors find any resources that they may need for education, financial counseling, etc. whether that be onsite through either HA, YWCA, or Palomar, or offsite through other community partners. Clients and HNs develop a housing plan together based on available resources & client choice. This includes CoC/ESG funded programs as well as traditional housing stock, & partnerships with local housing authorities. For clients who enter either PSH or TH units, there is an emphasis on moving on. When clients are safely in housing that is subsidized by the CoC, it allows us to work with clients on achieving their goals & connect them to ongoing subsidies in the community, such as HCVs. This allows us to move clients on towards self-sufficiency and thereby serve more survivors.

4A-3d.	Applicant’s Experience in Ensuring DV Survivors’ Safety.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

	Describe in the field below examples of how the project applicant ensured the safety and confidentiality of DV survivors experiencing homelessness by:	
1.	taking steps to ensure privacy/confidentiality during the intake and interview process to minimize potential coercion of survivors;	
2.	making determinations and placements into safe housing;	
3.	keeping survivors’ information and locations confidential;	
4.	training staff on safety and confidentiality policies and practices; and	
5.	taking security measures for units (congregate or scattered site), that support survivors’ physical safety and location confidentiality.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Client intake involves gathering information such as perpetrator demographics, safest way to contact be that email, text, phone call, etc., and safety planning. Case managers and housing navigators are trained to contact clients per their self-defined safe methods. Actual intakes either occur over the phone or in private spaces. These spaces are designed with trauma-informed care in mind.
2. Clients and HNs develop a housing plan together based on available resources & client choice. This includes CoC/ESG funded programs as well as traditional housing stock, & partnerships with local housing authorities. For clients who enter either PSH or TH units, there is an emphasis on moving on. When clients are safely in housing that is subsidized by the CoC, it allows us to work with clients on achieving their goals & connect them to ongoing subsidies. This allows us to move clients on towards self-sufficiency.
3. When necessary, aliases are used in client files to ensure the utmost security and/or documentation is stored in paper files that are maintained behind two locks. Client location is always kept confidential for safety reasons. The TH-RRH component for survivors of domestic violence because allows for extra discretion and confidentiality in particularly high-lethality cases. For example, we can move a client into a TH unit without them having to put utilities in their name, etc. As we work to move clients on from TH to other housing units, we will still work to ensure that client information is protected. Our partners at Palomar are also experts at helping clients navigate survivor discretion programs that hide their addresses in matters of public record.
4. Our staff are experts in both housing and victim services. They benefit from trainings offered by the Homeless Alliance as well as our victim service partners. In particular, the Family Justice Center model places a large emphasis on collaboration and trauma informed care. Staff are in constant communication with the victim service provider to ensure that everyone is on the same page regarding ROIs, policies, procedures, etc.
5. This project does not contain congregate living spaces that are operated by the applicant. For scattered site units, safety is defined by the program participant, and safety planning is a highly collaborative and client-driven process. It is standard practice to gather client preferences as we begin the housing search process.

4A-3d.1.	Applicant's Experience in Evaluating Its Ability to Ensure DV Survivors' Safety.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below how the project evaluated its ability to ensure the safety of DV survivors the project served in the project, including any areas identified for improvement throughout the project's operation.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The program is highly collaborative and works extensively with victim service providers to ensure that program participants are safe, and that their information is secure and confidential. Program participants sign appropriate releases of information with all providers so that communication can be efficient and safe. Client intake involves gathering information such as perpetrator demographics, safest way to contact be that email, text, phone call, etc., and safety planning. Case managers and housing navigators are trained to contact clients per their self-defined safe methods. During the first year of implementation of this project we learned that the TH model may not always be the safest intervention for some participants, specifically people who are experiencing active stalking. People who are experiencing this type of abuse often need a higher level of security than what our project is able to provide. In these situations, we partner closely with the YWCA to ensure that there are safe housing options for participants.

4A-3e.	Applicant's Experience in Placing and Stabilizing Survivors in Permanent Housing Using Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below the project applicant's experience in:

1.	prioritizing placement and stabilization of survivors;
2.	placing survivors in permanent housing;
3.	placing and stabilizing survivors consistent with their preferences; and
4.	placing and stabilizing survivors consistent with their stated needs.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The Homeless Alliance takes a trauma-informed approach in working with all of our clients as a part of our housing first philosophy. We work with our clients to develop individualized service plans, and we view clients as the experts of their own lives. Staff who will be working on this program will also be trained through the Palomar Family Justice Center to ensure that they are well-versed in working with people who are experiencing domestic violence. Participants always have the right to refuse or choose a place to live. We will leverage housing subsidies through our local housing authorities and will work with clients to identify properties where they feel safe. We have a Housing and Leasing director on staff who helps clients identify potential units and serves as a client advocate and liaison between the client and landlord when necessary. At intake, we identify possible barriers for housing as well as housing preferences such as location, school district, etc. The master leases that this program will fund will add an additional layer of trauma-informed care to the project. We will be able to quickly and discreetly connect clients to units while we work with them on obtaining ongoing subsidies, etc.

4A-3f.	Applicant's Experience in Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below examples of the project applicant's experience using trauma-informed, victim-centered approaches to meet needs of DV survivors by:

1.	establishing and maintaining an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures survivors and staff interactions are based on equality, and minimize power differentials;
----	--

2.	providing survivors access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing survivors with information on the effects of trauma;
3.	emphasizing survivors' strengths, e.g., strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans worked towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations;
4.	centering on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed;
5.	providing a variety of opportunities for survivors' connections, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and
6.	offering support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services.

(limit 5,000 characters)

1. Clients sign rights and responsibilities at intake. This document clearly outlines mutual expectations and emphasizes mutual respect. Similarly, at intake, clients are informed about our grievance policy so that they are empowered to act if they feel that they have been mistreated. The Homeless Alliance does not screen out for services, we screen in. The case manager/client relationship is highly collaborative, and clients are always the drivers of their plans.
2. The Homeless Alliance and our partner agencies hold regular staff trainings so that staff are equipped to educate clients regarding trauma. Similarly, staff are able to connect clients to various classes and support groups on site at the FJC that explore the trauma and offer strategies to cope and move on from trauma.
3. One of the tools used regularly by staff is the Power and Control wheel, which helps survivors recognize overt and subtle tactics that perpetrators use to keep victims in relationships. By helping survivors understand the abuse they've experienced, support staff can use a strengths-based approach to help survivors develop goals and create individualized service plans.
4. We offer training to staff and community partners about a variety of topics centered around cultural competency. These topics have included understanding the trauma of DV, nutrition, gender and sexual identity, hoarding, self-care, etc. We will continue to offer these trainings at least once a month in perpetuity so that staff can stay on top of current best practices. Similarly, our agency has a DEI committee that schedules regular speakers on various topics regarding diversity, equity, and inclusion. We train regularly on micro-aggressions and are always looking for opportunities for staff to learn and grow. We have several bilingual staff and have a language line that is available by phone when needed.
5. The Homeless Alliance is highly collaborative, which will ensure that clients are connected to the full breadth of resources available to them. In particular, our unique collaboration and partnership with Palomar Family Justice Center, YWCA and Dragonfly Home will open doors for program participants to not only access literally dozens of resources conveniently and seamlessly. These resources are varied in order to address the holistic needs of the individual and/or family.
6. Staff at the FJC are trained in TBRI so that children of trauma survivors are given the opportunity to share their feelings and experiences in a safe and supportive environment. Children are encouraged to attend the so called "Children's Sanctuary" while parents are accessing services in the agency. Partner agencies that work specifically on parenting skills are located onsite. These include legal aid, Rainbow Fleet, Family Builders, Parent Promise, etc.

4A-3g.	Applicant's Experience Meeting Service Needs of DV Survivors.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below examples of supportive services the project provided to domestic violence survivors while quickly moving them into permanent housing and addressing their safety needs.

(limit 5,000 characters)

This program was designed with local victim service providers and will expand the housing services that the Homeless Alliance is already offering through our partnership with Palomar, YWCA and Dragonfly Home. Palomar has attorneys through Legal Aid on site to help survivors navigate issues related to child custody, VPOs, and other legal issues. They also have licensed therapists on site to engage with clients in need of mental health services, substance use treatment, etc. The Homeless Alliance has low-barrier employment opportunities on site through our Curbside Chronicle program, which has expanded to include a flower-shop and snow cone stand in addition to our original magazine program. Palomar provides childcare services on site through the trauma-informed Children's Sanctuary, which uses a Trust-Based Relational Intervention (TBRI) approach to interacting with children. Case managers will be equipped to help survivors find any resources that they may need for education, financial counseling, etc. whether that be on site through either the Homeless Alliance or Palomar, or offsite through any other community partner. In addition to wrap around services, this project will allow us to respond more quickly to people who present through coordinated entry (CE) as fleeing DV by providing ongoing funding for our existing Housing Navigation programs and by providing funding for master leased units, which will allow us to get folks out of dangerous situations and into housing as quickly as possible. These dedicated DV resources will ensure that survivors will have access to housing case management without having to wait for other community openings that can be filled by non-survivors. It will allow us to expedite CE for survivors because the housing dollars and case management dollars will be set aside specifically for this population, therefore creating a priority for survivors of DV.

4A-3h.	Applicant's Plan for Placing and Stabilizing Survivors in Permanent Housing Using Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches in the New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(e)	

Describe in the field below how the project(s) will:

- | | |
|----|---|
| 1. | prioritize placement and stabilization of program participants; |
| 2. | place program participants in permanent housing; |
| 3. | place and stabilize program participants consistent with their preferences; and |
| 4. | place and stabilize program participants consistent with their stated needs. |

(limit 2,500 characters)

As an expansion of our existing project, Safe Homes, we will lean on our experience of implementing this project and will continue the implementation through the same methods mentioned before in 4A-3e. With this expansion, our hope is to be able to increase the number of survivors we can serve through our proven methods.

4A-3i.	Applicant's Plan for Administering Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Practices in the New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(e)	

Describe in the field below examples of how the new project(s) will:

1.	establish and maintain an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures program participant and staff interactions are based on equality, and minimize power differentials;
2.	provide program participants access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing program participants with information on the effects of trauma;
3.	emphasize program participants' strengths—for example, strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans work towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations;
4.	center on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed;
5.	provide a variety of opportunities for program participants' connections, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and
6.	offer support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services.

(limit 5,000 characters)

Again, our plan will be to implement the proven methods of trauma informed, survivor-centered practices mentioned above in 4A-3f

4A-3j.	Applicant's Plan for Involving Survivors in Policy and Program Development, Operations, and Evaluation in the New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(f)	

Describe in the field below how the new project will involve survivors:

1.	with a range of lived expertise; and
2.	in policy and program development throughout the project's operation.

(limit 2,500 characters)

It is the policy of the Homeless Alliance that a member of our board of directors is a person with lived experience with homelessness. We have staff with lived experience embedded in most of our programs, and we consider lived experience comparable to degrees in terms of our agency's compensation scale. This includes persons with lived experience who serve on our agency's leadership team and are active participants in our agency's decision-making process. Similarly, we always consider client experience when we undergo strategic planning, and we use client experience to guide and inform program implementation and improvement. As a part of our continuous quality improvement process, we solicit feedback used for through participant surveys. We acknowledge that survivors are the experts of their own lives and rely heavily on survivors to develop their own case plans in collaboration with support staff.

Project Applicants Applying for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH DV Bonus Projects

4A-3b.	Information About Unique Project Applicant Requesting New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)	

Enter information in the chart below on the project applicant that applied for one or more New DV Bonus housing projects included on your CoC's FY 2024 Priority Listing for New Projects:

1.	Applicant Name	City Rescue Mission, Inc.
2.	Rate of Housing Placement of DV Survivors–Percentage	99%
3.	Rate of Housing Retention of DV Survivors–Percentage	98%

4A-3b.1.	Applicant's Housing Placement and Retention Data Explanation.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

For the rate of housing placement and rate of housing retention of DV survivors reported in question 4B-3b., describe in the field below:

1.	how the project applicant calculated the rate of housing placement;
2.	whether the rate for housing placement accounts for exits to safe housing destinations;
3.	how the project applicant calculated the rate of housing retention; and
4.	the data source (e.g., comparable databases, other administrative data, external data source, HMIS for non-DV projects).

(limit 1,500 characters)

1. Housing Rate Placement Calculation:

City Rescue Mission utilizes HMIS and a custom housing assessment in HMIS that tracks the number of households and individuals placed into safe housing. Over the last twelve months, 99% of the households experiencing domestic violence exited into safe housing destinations.

2. Safe housing destinations:

The housing placement percentage of 99% over the last twelve months reflects placements into safe housing destinations only.

3. Housing Retention Rate Calculation:

The rate of housing retention was calculated by running a report in HMIS on returns to homelessness and comparing it to the households placed in housing. This analysis identifies any returns to the homelessness system. Based on the HMIS report, 98% of the households that had experienced domestic violence remained housed over a 12-month period

4. HMIS and comparable database were the data sources for the calculations.

4A-3c.	Applicant's Experience Housing DV Survivors.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below how the project applicant:

1.	ensured DV survivors experiencing homelessness were quickly moved into safe affordable housing;
2.	prioritized survivors—you must address the process the project applicant used, e.g., Coordinated Entry, prioritization list, CoC's emergency transfer plan;
3.	determined survivors' supportive services needs;
4.	connected survivors to supportive services; and
5.	moved survivors from assisted housing to housing they could sustain—address housing stability after the housing subsidy ends.

(limit 2,500 characters)

City Rescue Mission (CRM), as an emergency shelter, has extensive experience housing domestic violence (DV) survivors and ensuring their safety and stability through a trauma-informed, survivor-centered approach.

1. Ensuring DV survivors are quickly moved into safe affordable housing:
 As part of our emergency shelter services, CRM works with Coordinated Entry systems to prioritize DV survivors for shelter intake and housing. Survivors are referred to our shelter immediately, and we work to secure permanent, safe, and affordable housing as quickly as possible. Our relationships with landlords allow us to expedite the housing process, ensuring survivors spend minimal time in unsafe environments.

2. Prioritizing survivors:
 Using the CoC Coordinated Entry system, CRM prioritizes DV survivors for emergency shelter and long-term housing based on vulnerability. We follow the CoC's emergency transfer plan to quickly move survivors into new housing when their safety is at risk. Regular assessments ensure survivors remain prioritized on housing waitlists according to their immediate needs and risk factors.

3. Determining supportive services needs:
 At intake, CRM conducts comprehensive assessments covering safety planning, mental health, and financial needs. These assessments inform the supportive services survivors require, such as trauma counseling, legal advocacy, or childcare, ensuring individualized support for each survivor.

4. Connecting survivors to supportive services:
 CRM collaborates with a broad network of community partners, including legal, mental health, and other DV organizations, such as Palomar. Survivors are connected to these services via case management, and our case managers provide continued support and referrals to ensure that survivors access all necessary resources.

5. Moving survivors from assisted housing to sustainable housing:
 CRM provides survivors with the tools needed to achieve long-term housing stability. Through financial literacy programs, employment services, and ongoing case management, survivors gain the skills to sustain housing after subsidies end. We assist with budgeting and long-term housing solutions to ensure survivors successfully transition into sustainable, permanent housing.

4A-3d.	Applicant's Experience in Ensuring DV Survivors' Safety.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	
	Describe in the field below examples of how the project applicant ensured the safety and confidentiality of DV survivors experiencing homelessness by:	
	1. taking steps to ensure privacy/confidentiality during the intake and interview process to minimize potential coercion of survivors;	
	2. making determinations and placements into safe housing;	
	3. keeping survivors' information and locations confidential;	
	4. training staff on safety and confidentiality policies and practices; and	
	5. taking security measures for units (congregate or scattered site), that support survivors' physical safety and location confidentiality.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

City Rescue Mission (CRM) ensures the safety and confidentiality of domestic violence (DV) survivors through a range of trauma-informed, survivor-centered practices designed to protect their physical and emotional well-being.

1. Ensuring Privacy and Confidentiality During Intake and Interviews:

CRM uses private intake and interview processes to create a safe environment for survivors. These sessions are conducted in confidential spaces to allow survivors to share freely without fear of coercion. We only collect necessary information and explain how their data will be used and protected.

2. Safe Housing Determinations and Placements:

Using Coordinated Entry, CRM prioritizes DV survivors for shelter and housing. Assessments are made during intake to determine immediate risk, and survivors are quickly placed in safe environments, often within hours. If necessary, CRM follows the CoC's emergency transfer plan to move survivors to safer housing when their safety is threatened.

3. Maintaining Confidentiality of Survivor Information and Locations:

Survivor information, including housing location, is kept strictly confidential. CRM follows rigorous confidentiality policies, ensuring only authorized personnel can access sensitive data. Information is stored securely, and no details are shared without survivor consent unless legally required.

4. Staff Training on Safety and Confidentiality Policies:

CRM provides all staff with comprehensive training on safety and confidentiality practices, including handling sensitive information, trauma-informed care, and de-escalation techniques. This training ensures that staff understand and follow safety protocols tailored to the needs of DV survivors.

5. Security Measures for Housing Units:

CRM implements security measures in both congregate and scattered-site housing. Congregate units have controlled access, and scattered-site units are selected for their safety. Property managers are engaged to ensure security measures such as locks and other systems are in place, ensuring the physical safety and confidentiality of survivors.

4A-3d.1.	Applicant's Experience in Evaluating Its Ability to Ensure DV Survivors' Safety.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below how the project evaluated its ability to ensure the safety of DV survivors the project served in the project, including any areas identified for improvement throughout the project's operation.

(limit 2,500 characters)

City Rescue Mission (CRM) continuously evaluates its ability to ensure the safety of domestic violence (DV) survivors through regular assessments, survivor feedback, and improvements to safety protocols.

Regular Review of Safety Protocols:

CRM periodically reviews its safety protocols to align with evolving best practices for DV survivor protection. These reviews include assessments of intake procedures, data confidentiality, and housing security. Input from staff, case managers, and external experts identifies areas for improvement.

Survivor Feedback:

CRM gathers direct feedback from survivors about their safety experience during intake, housing placement, and interaction with staff. Survivors share feedback through confidential surveys and interviews. This feedback shapes revisions to safety policies and enhances survivor-centered services.

Data Analysis and Monitoring:

CRM tracks data on housing stability, safety incidents, and service use by DV survivors. Data analysis helps identify risks or gaps in services. For example, if there is an increase in transfer requests due to safety concerns, CRM adjusts housing strategies to enhance safety.

Staff Feedback and Training:

Staff feedback is regularly collected to assess the effectiveness of safety protocols. Following training on confidentiality and safety, staff provide input on policy application in daily operations. Debriefings after safety incidents help refine responses and ensure staff are prepared.

External Audits and Evaluation:

CRM engages external experts to audit its safety protocols, ensuring alignment with national standards. External recommendations are integrated into CRM's practices, strengthening its ability to protect DV survivors. Regular audits provide objective assessments of CRM's safety measures.

Improvements from Evaluations:

Recent evaluations revealed an increase in weapons found in CRM's congregate shelter, raising significant safety concerns. In response, CRM implemented weapons detection systems and initiated bag searches at entry points to address the issue. These measures were introduced to ensure the safety of all shelter residents and staff.

4A-3e.	Applicant's Experience in Placing and Stabilizing Survivors in Permanent Housing Using Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches.	
--------	---	--

NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)

Describe in the field below the project applicant's experience in:

1.	prioritizing placement and stabilization of survivors;
2.	placing survivors in permanent housing;
3.	placing and stabilizing survivors consistent with their preferences; and
4.	placing and stabilizing survivors consistent with their stated needs.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. **Prioritizing Placement and Stabilization of Survivors:**
 CRM prioritizes DV survivors for housing placement by working closely with the Coordinated Entry system. We use vulnerability assessments to ensure that survivors at the highest risk are placed in housing as quickly as possible. Our case managers develop individualized stabilization plans that include safety planning, financial support, and access to supportive services like trauma counseling and legal advocacy. Stabilization efforts focus on addressing the unique barriers survivors face, such as the need for safe housing environments and protection from abusers.
2. **Placing Survivors in Permanent Housing:**
 CRM has extensive experience placing survivors in permanent housing by leveraging relationships with local landlords and housing providers. We work to secure affordable housing options for survivors, often within a short time frame. CRM also participates in housing programs that provide subsidies and rental assistance, ensuring survivors can access housing that fits their financial situation. Our goal is to reduce the time survivors spend in temporary shelters and help them transition smoothly to permanent housing.
3. **Placing and Stabilizing Survivors Consistent with Their Preferences:**
 Survivors' preferences are central to our housing placement efforts. CRM works closely with survivors to identify housing that aligns with their needs and preferences, such as proximity to support networks, school districts, and employment opportunities. Survivors are involved in the decision-making process, ensuring that housing placements meet their expectations and contribute to their sense of safety and well-being.
4. **Placing and Stabilizing Survivors Consistent with Their Stated Needs:**
 CRM ensures that housing placements are aligned with survivors' specific needs. During intake, case managers work with survivors to assess their immediate and long-term needs, including access to childcare, healthcare, and mental health services. Housing stability plans are developed to address any ongoing challenges survivors may face. Case management and supportive services are provided to ensure survivors remain housed and can sustain their new living situation beyond initial placement.

4A-3f.	Applicant's Experience in Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	
	Describe in the field below examples of the project applicant's experience using trauma-informed, victim-centered approaches to meet needs of DV survivors by:	
	1. establishing and maintaining an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures survivors and staff interactions are based on equality, and minimize power differentials;	
	2. providing survivors access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing survivors with information on the effects of trauma;	
	3. emphasizing survivors' strengths, e.g., strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans worked towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations;	
	4. centering on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed;	
	5. providing a variety of opportunities for survivors' connections, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and	
	6. offering support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services.	

(limit 5,000 characters)

City Rescue Mission (CRM) has developed comprehensive trauma-informed, survivor-centered approaches to meet the unique needs of domestic violence (DV) survivors. Our experience reflects a deep commitment to creating environments that foster agency, respect, and healing for survivors. Below are specific examples of our approaches across key areas:

1. Establishing and Maintaining an Environment of Agency and Mutual Respect
CRM ensures that all interactions between survivors and staff are grounded in equality and respect. We foster a non-punitive environment that prioritizes survivor agency, allowing them to make choices about their housing, services, and overall journey toward stability. Our staff receive regular training on power dynamics to minimize power differentials in all interactions. Survivors are treated as partners in the process, where their voices and decisions are central to all plans and actions. Staff are trained to honor survivors' autonomy, promoting their ability to regain control over their lives after the trauma of abuse.

2. Providing Survivors Access to Information on Trauma
CRM trains staff extensively in trauma-informed care, ensuring they can provide survivors with information on the effects of trauma and its impact on mental and emotional health. Survivors are educated on how trauma can affect their responses and behaviors, helping them understand their experiences. We connect survivors with community resources for trauma counseling and mental health services, ensuring they have access to professionals who can support their healing.

3. Emphasizing Survivors' Strengths
Strength-based approaches are central to CRM's work. Our assessment tools and case plans highlight survivors' strengths and goals, focusing on their abilities and aspirations. Case managers collaborate with survivors to create individualized plans that emphasize their skills, empowering them to move toward self-sufficiency. This approach fosters confidence and helps survivors see their potential beyond their trauma.

4. Centering on Cultural Responsiveness and Inclusivity
CRM is committed to providing culturally responsive services that respect the diverse backgrounds of survivors. Our staff are trained in cultural competence, equal access, and non-discrimination. We offer translation services and provide materials in multiple languages to eliminate language barriers. CRM's policies are continuously evaluated to ensure they are inclusive and accessible, meeting the unique needs of all survivors, regardless of race, ethnicity, gender identity, or sexual orientation.

5. Partnerships with Organizations Providing DV and Family Services
CRM collaborates closely with several community organizations that specialize in services for domestic violence survivors and families, ensuring that survivors have access to a comprehensive range of supportive services. A key partnership is with Palomar, a family justice center that provides a variety of services, including legal assistance, counseling, and advocacy for DV survivors. Through this partnership, CRM connects survivors to critical resources, such as trauma counseling, legal aid, safety planning, and health services. Additionally, CRM collaborates with other community organizations and the Continuum of Care (CoC) to ensure survivors receive holistic care that addresses their diverse needs. These partnerships are vital in helping survivors access specialized services that CRM does not directly provide, such as mental health

counseling and childcare.

6. Offering Support for Survivor Parenting

Recognizing that many DV survivors are also parents, CRM connects survivors with resources for trauma-informed parenting classes and legal services related to family law issues, such as custody and child support. We also provide access to childcare services, enabling survivors to attend job training or other essential appointments without worrying about childcare. This support helps survivors manage the dual challenges of recovery and parenting while ensuring their children's needs are met.

4A-3g.	Applicant's Experience Meeting Service Needs of DV Survivors.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below examples of supportive services the project provided to domestic violence survivors while quickly moving them into permanent housing and addressing their safety needs.

(limit 5,000 characters)

City Rescue Mission (CRM) is deeply committed to meeting the complex and varied service needs of domestic violence (DV) survivors. Our trauma-informed, survivor-centered approach ensures that each individual receives the tailored support they require to transition from crisis to long-term stability. Below are key examples of how CRM meets these needs:

Comprehensive Intake and Individualized Service Plans

CRM conducts a thorough intake process for every DV survivor entering our shelter. During intake, we assess their immediate safety, housing, and health needs, including physical, emotional, and mental well-being. We work with survivors to create individualized service plans that address both short-term and long-term needs. This approach allows survivors to have a clear path forward while addressing critical issues such as trauma, safety planning, and housing stability.

Emergency Shelter and Housing Assistance

As an emergency shelter, CRM provides immediate housing to DV survivors to remove them from unsafe environments. Through partnerships with local landlords, housing providers, and the Coordinated Entry system, we quickly secure safe and affordable housing for survivors. Our shelter offers a secure, trauma-informed environment where survivors can begin to rebuild their lives. We also help survivors navigate housing options beyond shelter, including permanent housing solutions, ensuring they are on a pathway to long-term stability.

Connections to Community-Based Services

CRM understands that DV survivors often require specialized services beyond what we directly provide. As such, we maintain partnerships with key community organizations to meet those needs. Our partnership with Palomar, a family justice center, is central to connecting survivors to comprehensive services such as legal assistance, trauma counseling, healthcare, and child advocacy. Additionally, CRM partners with various organizations that provide job training, financial literacy, and childcare services, allowing survivors to build self-sufficiency and achieve long-term success. We ensure that every survivor is connected to the appropriate community resources for their unique needs.

Legal and Safety Advocacy

Many DV survivors face ongoing legal challenges related to protection orders, custody issues, and divorce. CRM ensures that survivors have access to legal assistance through our partnerships with local legal service providers. Survivors receive help with navigating the legal system and establishing protections that ensure their safety and that of their children.

Employment, Financial Empowerment, and Mainstream Benefits

CRM recognizes that financial independence and access to mainstream benefits are crucial for DV survivors to achieve stability. We assist survivors in applying for and receiving mainstream benefits, such as Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP), Medicaid, and other government assistance programs. Additionally, we connect survivors with job training programs, employment opportunities, and financial literacy courses. Our case managers work with survivors to develop budgets, secure employment, and access resources that build long-term financial security. These programs empower survivors to gain the skills they need to sustain permanent housing and avoid returning to dangerous situations due to financial dependence.

Holistic Approach to Health and Well-Being

While CRM does not directly provide counseling or mental health services, we work with community organizations to ensure that survivors have access to trauma-informed counseling and mental health support. These services are crucial for helping survivors process their experiences and begin to heal. Additionally, we ensure that survivors have access to healthcare through referrals to local medical providers, ensuring their physical well-being is also addressed.

Support for Families and Parenting

Many DV survivors are also parents, and CRM provides resources to help them navigate the challenges of parenting while recovering from trauma. We connect survivors to trauma-informed parenting classes and childcare services to support them in raising their children while they focus on rebuilding their lives. Additionally, we offer access to legal services for family law matters such as custody and child support, helping survivors establish stability for their families.

Culturally Responsive Services

CRM is dedicated to ensuring that all services are accessible and responsive to the diverse cultural backgrounds of the survivors we serve. We offer translation services and materials in multiple languages to ensure survivors can fully understand and engage with the services available to them. Our staff are trained in cultural competence, ensuring that survivors receive support that respects and acknowledges their cultural identities and needs.

4A-3h.	Applicant's Plan for Placing and Stabilizing Survivors in Permanent Housing Using Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches in the New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(e)	

Describe in the field below how the project(s) will:	
1.	prioritize placement and stabilization of program participants;
2.	place program participants in permanent housing;
3.	place and stabilize program participants consistent with their preferences; and
4.	place and stabilize program participants consistent with their stated needs.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Our proposed DV Bonus Housing Project is centered on placing and stabilizing survivors of domestic violence into permanent housing through trauma-informed and survivor-centered approaches.

1. Prioritization of Placement and Stabilization:

We prioritize survivors by utilizing a coordinated entry system (CES) that ensures rapid identification and referral to housing services. Our team works closely with community partners to assess urgency based on immediate safety, housing instability, and vulnerability factors such as trauma history. Through this system, we can ensure that those most in need are quickly placed into safe, stable housing.

2. Placing Program Participants in Permanent Housing:

We will partner with local landlords and property management companies, leveraging our established relationships to rapidly secure affordable, safe housing. Our housing navigation services will support survivors in identifying housing that aligns with their needs and preferences. The project will also connect participants with financial assistance (e.g., rental deposits, utility assistance) and legal services to address barriers such as credit issues or past evictions, ensuring a smoother transition to permanent housing.

3. Stabilizing Program Participants Consistent with Their Preferences:

We adhere to a survivor-centered approach, meaning that participants are given the autonomy to make decisions regarding their housing and support services. Our team works closely with survivors to understand their individual preferences, such as proximity to schools, employment, or community resources. By honoring these preferences, we foster a sense of empowerment and increase the likelihood of long-term housing stability.

4. Stabilizing Program Participants Consistent with Their Stated Needs:

Trauma-informed case management ensures that the services provided are tailored to each participant's unique circumstances. We coordinate with community providers to offer ongoing support for mental health, childcare, employment assistance, and other essential services. This holistic approach ensures that survivors receive the necessary tools to maintain housing stability while healing from trauma and rebuilding their lives.

4A-3i.	Applicant's Plan for Administering Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Practices in the New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(e)	

Describe in the field below examples of how the new project(s) will:	
1.	establish and maintain an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures program participant and staff interactions are based on equality, and minimize power differentials;
2.	provide program participants access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing program participants with information on the effects of trauma;
3.	emphasize program participants' strengths—for example, strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans work towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations;
4.	center on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed;

	5. provide a variety of opportunities for program participants' connections, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and
	6. offer support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services.

(limit 5,000 characters)

Our proposed DV Bonus Housing Project is built on trauma-informed, survivor-centered practices designed to support survivors of domestic violence in a way that honors their autonomy, dignity, and individual experiences.

1. Establishing and Maintaining an Environment of Agency and Mutual Respect:
We foster an environment where survivors are treated with respect, equality, and dignity. There are no punitive interventions, and interactions between staff and participants are based on collaboration and mutual respect, minimizing power differentials. Program participants are empowered to make decisions about their housing and services, which promotes agency and self-determination. Staff are trained in non-coercive communication, ensuring that participants feel safe and respected as they move forward in their journey to stability.

2. Providing Program Participants Access to Information on Trauma:
While our project does not directly provide trauma education, we connect participants with community agencies that specialize in trauma care and education. These agencies provide survivors with information on how trauma affects mental, emotional, and physical health, as well as coping strategies for healing. By establishing strong partnerships with trauma-informed agencies, we ensure that survivors receive the specialized support they need for their recovery.

3. Emphasizing Program Participants' Strengths:
Our program adopts a strengths-based approach that highlights the resilience and capabilities of survivors. Case managers work closely with participants to create individualized plans that reflect their personal goals and strengths. Strength-based coaching, assessment tools that focus on identifying survivors' assets, and case plans tailored to survivor-defined goals help participants build confidence and work toward long-term stability. This approach empowers survivors by focusing on their innate strengths and fostering self-sufficiency.

4. Centering on Cultural Responsiveness and Inclusivity:
Cultural responsiveness and inclusivity are core principles of our program. Staff are trained in cultural competence, nondiscrimination, and language access to ensure that our services meet the diverse needs of all participants. We work to provide accessible services to survivors of all backgrounds and continually assess and improve our practices to remain inclusive. Interpretation services are available for non-English-speaking participants, and our policies ensure equal access for all, honoring each participant's cultural identity and needs.

5. Providing a Variety of Opportunities for Program Participants' Connections:
While we do not offer formal peer-to-peer or mentorship programs, we connect survivors to community resources that can provide those opportunities. We recognize the importance of building support networks and work to ensure survivors are linked to services that help reduce isolation and foster community connections.

6. Offering Support for Survivor Parenting:
We recognize the unique challenges that parenting can present for survivors of domestic violence. Our program offers trauma-informed parenting supports, including referrals to parenting classes, legal services for custody and protection issues, and childcare services to reduce barriers to participation in employment and case management. By addressing both the needs of survivors

and their children, we promote family stability and long-term success.

4A-3j.	Applicant's Plan for Involving Survivors in Policy and Program Development, Operations, and Evaluation in the New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(f)	
	Describe in the field below how the new project will involve survivors:	
1.	with a range of lived expertise; and	
2.	in policy and program development throughout the project's operation.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

Our DV Bonus Housing Project is committed to involving survivors in policy and program development, operations, and evaluation to ensure that our services remain survivor-centered and responsive to the needs of those we serve.

1. Involving Survivors with a Range of Lived Expertise:
 We actively engage survivors with diverse experiences, including those who have faced homelessness as a result of domestic violence. Our team and board members include survivors who have firsthand knowledge of the challenges associated with escaping domestic violence and rebuilding their lives. These individuals provide valuable insights into the realities that current program participants face, ensuring our policies and services remain grounded in lived experiences. By regularly seeking input from survivors with varying backgrounds and experiences, we ensure that our approach reflects the needs of the broad range of individuals we serve.

2. Involvement in Policy and Program Development:
 Survivors are directly involved in shaping our project's policies and program design through advisory roles and focus groups. We incorporate their feedback into decision-making processes, ensuring that program development aligns with survivor-defined needs and preferences. Regular surveys and feedback sessions are conducted to gather input on program effectiveness and identify areas for improvement. Survivors are also invited to participate in our evaluation processes, helping us assess the success of our initiatives and make necessary adjustments to better serve future participants. By prioritizing survivor voices, we ensure that policies and program operations evolve based on real-world feedback, fostering a culture of continuous improvement.

4B. Attachments Screen For All Application Questions

We have provided the following guidance to help you successfully upload attachments and get maximum points:

1. You must include a Document Description for each attachment you upload; if you do not, the Submission Summary screen will display a red X indicating the submission is incomplete.
2. You must upload an attachment for each document listed where 'Required?' is 'Yes'.
3. We prefer that you use PDF files, though other file types are supported—please only use zip files if necessary. Converting electronic files to PDF, rather than printing documents and scanning them, often produces higher quality images. Many systems allow you to create PDF files as a Print option. If you are unfamiliar with this process, you should consult your IT Support or search for information on Google or YouTube.
4. Attachments must match the questions they are associated with.
5. Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed—including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process.
6. If you cannot read the attachment, it is likely we cannot read it either.
 - . We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).
 - . We must be able to read everything you want us to consider in any attachment.
7. After you upload each attachment, use the Download feature to access and check the attachment to ensure it matches the required Document Type and to ensure it contains all pages you intend to include.
8. Only use the "Other" attachment option to meet an attachment requirement that is not otherwise listed in these detailed instructions.

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
1C-7. PHA Homeless Preference	No	1C-7 - OK502 PHA ...	10/24/2024
1C-7. PHA Moving On Preference	No		
1D-10a. Lived Experience Support Letter	Yes	1D-10a - OK502 - ...	10/30/2024
1D-2a. Housing First Evaluation	Yes	1D-2a - HOUSING F...	10/25/2024
1E-2. Local Competition Scoring Tool	Yes	1E-2 - OK502 LOCA...	10/24/2024
1E-2a. Scored Forms for One Project	Yes	1E-2a - OK502 SCO...	10/24/2024
1E-5. Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced	Yes	1E-5 - OK502 - NO...	10/29/2024
1E-5a. Notification of Projects Accepted	Yes	1E-5a - OK502 REJ...	10/25/2024
1E-5b. Local Competition Selection Results	Yes	1E-5b - OK502 RES...	10/25/2024
1E-5c. Web Posting—CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes	1E-5c - WEB POSTI...	10/28/2024
1E-5d. Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes	1E-5d - OK502 - N...	10/30/2024

2A-6. HUD's Homeless Data Exchange (HDX) Competition Report	Yes	2A-6 - OK502 COMP...	10/24/2024
3A-1a. Housing Leveraging Commitments	No	3A-1a - OK502 HOU...	10/24/2024
3A-2a. Healthcare Formal Agreements	No		
3C-2. Project List for Other Federal Statutes	No		
Other	No		

Attachment Details

Document Description: 1C-7 - OK502 PHA HOMELESS PREFERENCE

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: 1D-10a - OK502 - LIVED EXPERIENCE
SUPPORT LETTER

Attachment Details

Document Description: 1D-2a - HOUSING FIRST EVAL

Attachment Details

Document Description: 1E-2 - OK502 LOCAL SCORING TOOL

Attachment Details

Document Description: 1E-2a - OK502 SCORED FORMS

Attachment Details

Document Description: 1E-5 - OK502 - NOTIFICATION OF PROJECTS
REJECTED-REDUCED

Attachment Details

Document Description: 1E-5a - OK502 REJECTED-REDUCED

Attachment Details

Document Description: 1E-5b - OK502 RESULTS

Attachment Details

Document Description: 1E-5c - WEB POSTING - COC-APPROVED
CONSOLIDATED APPLICATION

Attachment Details

Document Description: 1E-5d - OK502 - NOTIFICATION OF CONSOLIDATED APPLICATION

Attachment Details

Document Description: 2A-6 - OK502 COMPETITION REPORT

Attachment Details

Document Description: 3A-1a - OK502 HOUSING LEVERAGING

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Submission Summary

Ensure that the Project Priority List is complete prior to submitting.

Page	Last Updated
1A. CoC Identification	10/18/2024
1B. Inclusive Structure	10/24/2024
1C. Coordination and Engagement	10/24/2024
1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd	10/23/2024
1E. Project Review/Ranking	10/29/2024
2A. HMIS Implementation	10/25/2024
2B. Point-in-Time (PIT) Count	10/21/2024
2C. System Performance	10/24/2024
3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare	10/23/2024
3B. Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs	10/21/2024
3C. Serving Homeless Under Other Federal Statutes	10/21/2024

4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants	10/25/2024
4B. Attachments Screen	10/30/2024
Submission Summary	No Input Required



ADMINISTRATIVE PLAN

HOUSING CHOICE VOUCHER (HCV) PROGRAM



Proof of disability will be required at the time of placement on the waiting list. A disabled person must meet one of the following definitions:

42 U.S.C. Section 423 (d)(1) defines disability as (A) inability to engage in any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. A disabled person whose physical impairment is expected to be long, continued and of indefinite duration and substantially impedes his or her ability to live independently, and is of such a nature that such a disability could be improved by more suitable housing; or (B) in the case of an individual who has attained the age of 55 and is blind (within the meaning of “blindness” as defined in section 416(i)(1) of this title), inability by reason of such blindness to engage in substantial gainful activity requiring skills or abilities comparable to those of any gainful activity in which he has previously engaged with some regularity and over a substantial period of time; or

Is determined to have a development disability as defined in the Developmental Disabilities Assistance and Bill of Rights Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 15001 section 102).

The disabled person definition does not exclude persons who have the disease of AIDS or any conditions arising from the etiologic agent for the AIDS.

The disabled person definition does not include a person whose disability is based solely on any drug or alcohol dependence (for eligibility purposes).

Homeless Preference: A homeless family is defined as:

Lacking a fixed, regular and adequate nighttime residence; AND has a primary nighttime residence that is a supervised public or private shelter providing temporary accommodations or a public or private place not ordinarily used as a sleeping accommodation for human beings; OR

An individual or family who has been displaced due to a major disaster declared by the President of the United States AND receives temporary federal housing assistance within the state of Oklahoma AND has a valid personal federal disaster identification number issued by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

An individual or family residing with friends or relatives on a temporary basis is not eligible for the homeless preference unless the family has been displaced due to a major

disaster declared by the President of the United States AND has established residency within the state of Oklahoma (employment, school enrollment, etc.) AND has a valid personal federal disaster identification number issued by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

Youth aging out of foster care referred to OHFA by the Oklahoma Department of Human Services (OKDHS).

Homeless Verification:

To verify homeless eligibility, the homeless applicant must provide one of the following:

A referral from the shelter that the applicant is residing at; or

If the shelter is full, a statement from each local shelter in the county verifying that the shelters are unable to accommodate the applicant; or

If the county does not have a shelter, a statement from DHS that the applicant is homeless and there are not any shelters in that county.

The homeless preference does not apply to any individual imprisoned.

Victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking who are displaced as a result of fleeing violence in the home will be included in the homeless definition if the following conditions are met [Notice PIH 2013-15]:

Has no other residence [Notice PIH 2013-15]; and

Lacks the resources or support networks, e.g., family, friends, and faith-based or other social networks, to obtain other permanent housing [Notice PIH 2013-15]; and

The actual or threatened violence occurred within the past 90 days or be of a continuing nature; and

If victim of domestic violence, the actual or threatened physical violence is directed against the applicant or the applicant's family by a spouse or other household member who lives in the unit with the family. The abuser must still reside in the unit from which the victim was displaced. The applicant must certify that the abuser will not reside with the applicant.

If the abuser returns to the family without approval of OHFA, OHFA will deny or terminate assistance for breach of the certification.

OHFA may approve the return of the abuser to the household if a counselor, therapist, or other appropriate professional recommends, in writing, that the individual be allowed to reside with the family.

At the family's request, OHFA will take precautions to ensure that the new location of the family is concealed in cases of domestic abuse.

An applicant who lives in a violent neighborhood or is fearful of other violence outside the household will not be included in the domestic violence definition.

SPECIAL PURPOSE VOUCHERS

Oklahoma Health Care Authority: OHFA shall commit up to 50 Housing Choice Vouchers (HCV) per calendar year for the Oklahoma Health Care Authority's (OHCA) Living Choice program to provide rental assistance to persons with disabilities (must meet OHFA's definition of disabled) who are transitioning from a nursing home or assisted living facility. Vouchers not utilized in a calendar year will not carry forward to the next calendar year. Commitment of these vouchers shall be contingent upon available funding and an adequate supply of available vouchers (may not exceed OHFA's authorized baseline for the HCV program).

Families referred by Oklahoma Health Care Authority must meet OHFA's eligibility requirements for the HCV program in order to receive assistance.

Families referred by the Oklahoma Health Care Authority will be required to complete an application for the HCV program. Eligible families will be placed on the HCV waiting list in date order and given a unique identification number that will allow OHFA to track each family's progression through the program. When OHFA's waiting list is closed, OHFA may continue to accept referrals from the Oklahoma Health Care Authority until the 50 vouchers reserved for the calendar year have been utilized.

Oklahoma Homeless Alliance: OHFA shall commit up to 10 Housing Choice Vouchers (HCV) per month (maximum of 120 HCVs per calendar year) to provide rental assistance to chronically homeless families referred by the Oklahoma Homeless Alliance who, at a minimum, meet OHFA's definition of homeless. Vouchers not utilized in a calendar year will not carry forward to the next calendar year. Commitment of these vouchers shall be contingent upon available funding and an adequate supply of available vouchers (may not exceed OHFA's authorized baseline for the HCV program).



ADMINISTRATIVE PLAN

FOR THE

SECTION 8 HOUSING CHOICE VOUCHER PROGRAM SECTION 8 MODERATE REHABILITATION PROGRAM

Oklahoma City Housing Authority
1700 Northeast Fourth Street
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73117-3800

Adopted
December 21, 2011

Revised
May 31, 2023

- The individual must document in accordance with requirements in Chapter 16 of OCHA’s Administrative Plan and Admissions and Continued Occupancy Policy that they are a victim of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault or stalking;
- The individual must expressly request an emergency transfer in accordance with OCHA’s public housing emergency transfer plan;
- The individual must reasonably believe they are threatened with imminent harm from further violence if they remain in their current unit or be a victim of sexual assault and the assault occurred on the premises during the 90-day-calendar period before the transfer is requested; and
- The OCHA has no safe, available public housing units for which the tenant who needs an emergency transfer is eligible.

Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD) Choice Mobility Preference: As required by HUD and in accordance with all HUD RAD guidelines, OCHA will provide a Choice-Mobility option to residents of covered RAD projects in accordance with policies outlined in Chapter 18 of this HCV Administrative Plan.

City of Oklahoma City COC: OCHA shall commit up to 100 HCV to provide rental assistance to homeless families referred by the Oklahoma Homeless Alliance who, at a minimum, meet HUD’s definition of homeless. Commitment of these vouchers shall be contingent upon available funding and an adequate supply of available vouchers (may not exceed OCHA’s authorized baseline for the HCV program).

- Families referred by the Oklahoma Homeless Alliance must meet OCHA’s eligibility requirements for the HCV program in order to receive assistance.
- Families referred by the Oklahoma Homeless Alliance will be required to complete an on-line application for the HCV program.

Homeless Preference for Admission: OCHA gives a preference to no more than 100 applicant households meeting all of the following criteria:

- Are referred to OCHA by a homeless service provider with whom OCHA has executed a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) outlining the provider’s responsibilities with respect to the provision of housing search assistance and supportive services for the referred household;
- Meets OCHA’s definition of homeless unless stated otherwise in an executed MOU or MOA;
- Have received a written commitment from the referring homeless service provider for housing search assistance;
- Have received a written commitment from the homeless service provider for supportive services to help the household’s transition from homelessness to permanent housing; and
- Have received a written commitment from the homeless service provider for supportive services to help the household comply with Housing Choice Voucher program rules.

This preference shall be limited to applicants who have been certified as meeting the criteria for this preference by the homeless service providers noted above. Applicants shall first be referred to these providers who will then provide a certified referral to OCHA. If it is determined that an applicant referred by a homeless service provider, as described above, does not meet the criteria described therein, the applicant is removed from the waiting list, but retains their place on any OCHA waiting lists they were on prior to their referral by the service provider.

This preference includes Supported Housing Programs/Rapid Re-Housing/HOME/other federal or local programs into permanent housing will be included as a priority group as part of this preference.

City of Oklahoma City Decampment Program OCHA gives a preference for no more than 50 vouchers per year for two years for utilization of housing homeless encampment tenants identified by the City of Oklahoma City.

As authorized by the Executive Director, families who are defined as a “displaced person” and have been affected by a State or federally declared disaster.

- Displaced person is defined as a person displaced by governmental action or a person whose dwelling has been extensively damaged or destroyed as a result of a disaster, declared or otherwise formally recognized pursuant to Federal disaster relief laws.

If OCHA denies an applicant’s preference claim, OCHA notifies the applicant and referring service provider in writing, including the reason(s) for the preference denial.

Unless otherwise specified in a Memorandum of Agreement with OCHA, the definition of homelessness includes:

- An individual or family who lacks a fixed, regular, and adequate nighttime residence, meaning:
 - An individual or family with a primary nighttime residence that is a public or private place not designed for or ordinarily used as a regular sleeping accommodation for human beings, including a car, park, abandoned building, bus or train station, airport, or camping ground;
 - An individual or family living in a supervised publicly or privately operated shelter designated to provide temporary living arrangements (including congregate shelters, transitional housing and hotels and motels paid for by charitable organizations or by federal, State, or local government programs for low-income individuals); or
 - An individual who is exiting an institution where he or she resided for 90 days or less and who resided in an emergency shelter or place not meant for human habitation immediately before entering that institution;
- An individual or family who will imminently lose their primary nighttime residence, provided that:
 - The primary nighttime residence will be lost within 14 days of the date of application for homeless assistance;
 - No subsequent residence has been identified; and
 - The individual or family lacks the resources or support networks, e.g., family, friends, faith-based or other social networks, needed to obtain other permanent housing;
- Unaccompanied youth under 25 years of age, or families with children and youth, who do not otherwise qualify as homeless under this definition, but who:
 - Are defined as homeless by other government regulations;
 - Have not had a lease, ownership interest, or occupancy agreement in permanent housing at any time during the 60 days immediately preceding the date of application for homeless assistance;
 - Have experienced persistent instability as measured by two moves or more during the 60-day period immediately preceding the date of applying for homeless assistance; and
 - Can be expected to continue in such status for an extended period of time; or
- Any individual or family who:
 - Is fleeing, or is attempting to flee, domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking, or other dangerous or life-threatening conditions that relate to violence against the individual or a family member, including a child, that has either taken place within the individual's or family's primary nighttime residence or has made the individual or family afraid to return to their primary nighttime residence;
 - Has no other residence; and
 - Lacks the resources or support networks to obtain other permanent housing.

Income Targeting Requirement [24 CFR 982.201(b)(2)]

HUD requires that extremely low-income (ELI) families make up at least 75 percent of the families admitted to the HCV program during OCHA's fiscal year. ELI families are those with annual incomes at or below the federal poverty level or 30 percent of the area median income, whichever number is higher. To ensure this requirement is met, OCHA may skip non-ELI families on the waiting list in order to select an ELI family.

OCHA will monitor progress in meeting the ELI requirement throughout the fiscal year. Extremely low-income families will be selected ahead of other eligible families on an as-needed basis to ensure the income targeting requirement is met.

Order of Selection

Families will be selected from the waiting list based on the targeted funding or selection preference(s) for which they qualify. Within each targeted funding or preference category, families will be selected on a first-come, first-served basis according to the date and time their complete application is received by OCHA.

4-III.D. NOTIFICATION OF SELECTION

OCHA will notify the family by mail and e-mail when it is selected from the waiting list. The notice will inform the family of the following [24 CFR 982.554(a)]:

- Date the complete application must be completed by, including any procedures for requesting assistance;
- Documents that must be provided to document the legal identity of household members, including information about what constitutes acceptable documentation; and
- Other documents and information that should be uploaded to the portal.



RE: Lived Experience Support Letter

October 25, 2024

To Whom It May Concern,

I am writing to express support for the City of Oklahoma City's Continuum of Care, known locally as the Key to Home Partnership. In recent years, the Key to Home Partnership has taken critical steps to enhance its governance to ensure its composition will allow us to make significant strides in addressing homelessness. A cornerstone of this improvement has been the intentional inclusion of individuals with lived experience in both the design and implementation of services.

Recognizing the invaluable insight that comes from those who have directly experienced homelessness, the Key to Home Partnership has expanded its Board of Directors to include more voices from this community. Their contributions are shaping a more effective and empathetic response to homelessness. Additionally, the establishment of the Youth Action Board (YAB), composed entirely of young people with lived experience, represents a powerful step forward in ensuring that youth-specific services truly meet the needs of those they are intended to serve.

The YAB plays a vital role in the governance of the Key to Home Partnership, with two members actively participating on the broader Board of Directors and the Allocations Committee. Their involvement has been instrumental in the development of the Youth Homelessness Demonstration Project, securing \$3 million in funding to combat youth homelessness. This effort has already resulted in the creation of essential programs such as drop-in services, transitional living programs, host homes, and a youth diversion initiative. Beyond program development, the YAB consistently engages with the wider community by attending meetings on homelessness and organizing events like the Youth Action Retreat, further amplifying the voices of youth.

By elevating the lived experiences of those who have navigated homelessness, the Key to Home Partnership is fostering a more compassionate, informed, and inclusive approach to homelessness in Oklahoma City. The perspectives of these individuals not only ground our work in reality but ensure that the services we design are both relevant and impactful. Their insights are invaluable assets in our collective mission to end homelessness.

Thank you for your ongoing support and collaboration with the City of Oklahoma City's Continuum of Care. Together, we are creating a future where everyone has a place to call home.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Clay Moss".

Clay Moss

Chair, Key To Home Partnership Board
The Governing Board of the Oklahoma City CoC.

October 24, 2024

To Whom It May Concern,

I am writing to express support for the City of Oklahoma City's Continuum of Care, known locally as the Key to Home Partnership. In recent years, the Key to Home Partnership has taken critical steps to enhance its governance to ensure its composition will allow us to make significant strides in addressing homelessness. A cornerstone of this improvement has been the intentional inclusion of individuals with lived experience in both the design and implementation of services.


Recognizing the invaluable insight that comes from those who have directly experienced homelessness, the Key to Home Partnership has expanded its Board of Directors to include more voices from this community. Their contributions are shaping a more effective and empathetic response to homelessness. Additionally, the establishment of the Youth Action Board (YAB), composed entirely of young people with lived experience, represents a powerful step forward in ensuring that youth-specific services truly meet the needs of those they are intended to serve.

The YAB plays a vital role in the governance of the Key to Home Partnership, with two members actively participating on the broader Board of Directors and the Allocations Committee. Their involvement has been instrumental in the development of the Youth Homelessness Demonstration Project, securing \$3 million in funding to combat youth homelessness. This effort has already resulted in the creation of essential programs such as drop-in services, transitional living programs, host homes, and a youth diversion initiative. Beyond program development, the YAB consistently engages with the wider community by attending meetings on homelessness and organizing events like the Youth Action Retreat, further amplifying the voices of youth.

By elevating the lived experiences of those who have navigated homelessness, the Key to Home Partnership is fostering a more compassionate, informed, and inclusive approach to homelessness in Oklahoma City. The perspectives of these individuals not only ground our work in reality but ensure that the services we design are both relevant and impactful. Their insights are invaluable assets in our collective mission to end homelessness.

Thank you for your ongoing support and collaboration with the City of Oklahoma City's Continuum of Care. Together, we are creating a future where everyone has a place to call home.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Robert Stonebraker". The signature is stylized with large, overlapping loops and a prominent vertical stroke.

Robert Stonebraker

Raymond Rivas

October 28th, 2024

To Whom It May Concern,

I am writing to express support for the City of Oklahoma City's Continuum of Care, known locally as the Key to Home Partnership. In recent years, the Key to Home Partnership has taken critical steps to enhance its governance to ensure its composition will allow us to make significant strides in addressing homelessness. A cornerstone of this improvement has been the intentional inclusion of individuals with lived experience in both the design and implementation of services.

Recognizing the invaluable insight that comes from those who have directly experienced homelessness, the Key to Home Partnership has expanded its Board of Directors to include more voices from this community. Their contributions are shaping a more effective and empathetic response to homelessness. Additionally, the establishment of the Youth Action Board (YAB), composed entirely of young people with lived experience, represents a powerful step forward in ensuring that youth-specific services truly meet the needs of those they are intended to serve.

The YAB plays a vital role in the governance of the Key to Home Partnership, with two members actively participating on the broader Board of Directors and the Allocations Committee. Their involvement has been instrumental in the development of the Youth Homelessness Demonstration Project, securing \$3 million in funding to combat youth homelessness. This effort has already resulted in the creation of essential programs such as drop-in services, transitional living programs, host homes, and a youth diversion initiative. Beyond program development, the YAB consistently engages with the wider community by attending meetings on homelessness and organizing events like the Youth Action Retreat, further amplifying the voices of youth.

By elevating the lived experiences of those who have navigated homelessness, the Key to Home Partnership is fostering a more compassionate, informed, and inclusive approach to homelessness in Oklahoma City. The perspectives of these individuals not only ground our work in reality but ensure that the services we design are both relevant and impactful. Their insights are invaluable assets in our collective mission to end homelessness.

Thank you for your ongoing support and collaboration with the City of Oklahoma City's Continuum of Care. Together, we are creating a future where everyone has a place to call home.

Sincerely,

Marcellus Miller

Marcellus Miller - Ambassador, Youth Action Board

Dane Valentine

Dane Valentine - Member, Youth Action Board



KEY TO
HOME
PARTNERSHIP



JULY 1, 2023 - JUNE 30, 2024

IMPLEMENTATION

When the Key to Home Partnership launched, the first order of business for the Board of Directors was to adopt a 2023-2025 Strategic Plan. The plan set four goals: 1) Create a new governing system; 2) Address homelessness differently; 3) Reduce youth homelessness; and 4) Reduce chronic, unsheltered homelessness.

GOAL 1: CREATE A NEW GOVERNING SYSTEM

Governing Board

What was previously known as the “Continuum of Care” board was expanded and rebranded as the Key to Home Partnership. The Partnership’s new Board of Directors, consisting of high level and influential decision makers from the community, social service agencies and people with lived experience, expanded to 18 seats. Eleven of those are fixed-representative seats designated for individuals from specific agencies. These positions can bring resources to the table and inform our work based on the expertise and role in their respective organization.



2024 KEY TO HOME PARTNERSHIP BOARD

FIXED REPRESENTATIVE SEATS

- **Business Representative** Gary Brooks
- **City of Oklahoma City** Aubrey McDermid
- **Faith-Based Representative** To be filled
- **Oklahoma City Housing Authority** Mark Gillett
- **Oklahoma Dept. of Human Services** Austin Marshall
- **OK Dept. of Mental Health & Substance Abuse Svcs.** Jessica Wisdom
- **Oklahoma Health Care Authority** Sandra Puebla
- **Oklahoma Housing Finance Agency** Deborah Jenkins
- **Philanthropic Representative** Kelly Gray
- **Service Provider Representative** Dan Straughan
- **United Way of Central Oklahoma** Rachel Holt
- **Youth Action Board Ambassador or Co-Ambassador** Marcel Miller, Lexi Taylor-Hill

AT-LARGE

REPRESENTATIVE SEATS

- **Clay Moss, Chair**
- **Justin Brown, Vice-Chair**
- **Gary Jones**
- **David Odle**

AT-LARGE CONSUMER REPRESENTATIVE SEATS

- **Raymond Rivas**
- **Robert Stonebraker**



City of Oklahoma City CoC Continuum of Care Project Monitoring Form

Agency Name:

Grant Number:

Project Name:

Continuum of Care General		YES	NO	N/A	COMMENTS/DOCUMENTATION
1.	The reimbursement requests are being submitted to the lead CoC at least quarterly.				
2.	Have funds were recaptured by HUD for the most recent expired grant term?				
3.	The Annual Progress Report (APR) was completed accurately and submitted to the lead CoC in a timely manner.				
4.	The HMIS generated APR shows that 90% of client data was entered into HMIS within 72 hours/3 days. (HMIS APR Q6e)				
5.	Is there an agency/project written standards and procedures for assessment, eligibility, outreach, intake, prioritizing individuals and reassessing participants?				
6.	The project accepts referrals and houses participants from the community wide By Name list.				
7.	The project has a designated staff person responsible for ensuring that children being served are enrolled in school and connected to appropriate services.				
8.	Is there a policy in place to ensure that families with children under the age of 18 are not denied admission or separated when entering housing?				
9.	Is there a written termination policy and does it provide for a formal process that recognizes				



City of Oklahoma City CoC Continuum of Care Project Monitoring Form

	the due process rights of individuals receiving assistance?				
10.	Are all records regarding the program participant centrally located and secure?				
11.	The project follows a “Housing First” approach.				
12.	The project ensures that participants are not terminated from the program for failing to participate in supportive services, failure to make progress on service plan, loss of income or failure to improve income or being a victim of domestic violence.				
Performance Measures		Result	COMMENTS/DOCUMENTATION		
13.	Average Bed Utilization rate on APR. (HMIS APR: Q07b) If not at capacity, what actions are being taken to improve this outcome?				
14.	% of participants who had earned income at program exit and at latest annual assessment for stayers. (HMIS APR: Q17) If below 30%, what actions are being taken to improve this outcome?				
15.	% who gained or increased income from start to exit (HMIS APR: Q19a2) If below 30%, what actions are being taken to improve this outcome?				
16.	% of participants who had 1+ Source at program exit and at latest annual assessment for stayers (HMIS APR: Q20b)				
17.	% of leavers who exited to a permanent destination (HMIS APR Q23c and Q23d) If below 80%, what actions are being taken to improve this outcome?				



**KEY to
HOME**

RENEWAL PROJECT APPLICATION

**FY 24-25
Continuum
of
Care (CoC)**

OKLAHOMA CITY CONTINUUM OF CARE

Oklahoma City Continuum of Care
FY24-25 CoC Renewal Project
Application

Agency Information:

Agency Name # UEI
Mailing Address Phone
City State Zip

Person to contact about this application:

Contact Name Title
Email Phone

Person authorized to enter into agreement for this project:

Name Title

Requesting to expand renewal project? Yes (Must also complete supplemental application)
No

Requesting to consolidate with other renewal project? If so, list other projects.

Please attach the following requested documents to the application. The application will not be processed without the following documents.

Match documentation, including estimate of program income to be used as match.

HMIS generated APR from most recently completed grant term.

Oklahoma City Continuum of Care
 FY24-25 CoC Renewal Project
 Application

1. Is your project renewal providing Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH)?

Yes No

2. Using your APR, provide the number of new clients housed through your program during your most recently completed grant term.

3. Is your project complying with the Federal requirement to accept clients only through the Coordinated Entry Process?

4. Does your agency currently have a formerly homeless individual serving on the Board of Directors or equivalent policy making entity?

Yes No

5. If the answer to Q4. was "No", describe your agency's plan to incorporate persons with lived experience.

6. Project Performance

Exits to Positive Destinations	1. Enter the Percentage from the bottom of Q23c.	
Total Income	1. Enter the percentage from the row " Number of Adults with Any Income (i.e., total income) " and the column " Performance Measure: " from Q19a2.	
Earned Income	1. Enter the percentage from the row " Number of Adults with Earned Income (i.e., employment income) " and the column " Performance Measure: " from Q19a2.	
Entered From Streets	1. Enter total from " Place not meant for human habitation " in Q15.	
	2. Enter Total from column 1, row 5 of 7a " Number of Persons Served "	
	3. Divide Line 1 by Line 2, convert to percentage.	

Oklahoma City Continuum of Care
 FY24-25 CoC Renewal Project
 Application

Exits to Homelessness	1. Enter the total numbers for “ Emergency shelter, including hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter voucher ” in 23c.	
	2. Enter total number for “ Transitional housing for homeless persons (including homeless youth) ” in 23c.	
	3. Enter total for “ Place not meant for human habitation ” in 23c.	
	4. Add Lines 1 + 2 + 3 together	
	5. Enter “ Number of Leavers ” from Q05a.	
	6. Divide Line 4 by Line 5, convert to percentage.	
Non-Cash Benefit Stayers	1. Enter number from the row “ 1 + Source(s) ” and the column “ Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers ” in Q20b.	
	2. Enter number of “ Heads of Households and Adult Stayers in the Project for 365 Days or More ” from Q05a.	
	3. Divide Line 1 by Line 2, convert to percentage	
Non-Case Benefit Leavers	1. Enter number from the row “ 1 + Source(s) ” and the column “ Benefit at Exit for Leavers ” in Q20b.	
	2. Enter the number of “ Adult and Head of Household Leavers ” from Q05a.	
	3. Divide Line 1 by Line 2, convert to percentage	
Utilization Rate	Enter the Utilization Rate for your project on the night of the 2023 Point In Time Count (January 25, 2024) listed on pg. 16 of the PIT report.	

Oklahoma City Continuum of Care
 FY24-25 CoC Renewal Project
 Application

7. Housing First/Low Barrier

	Yes	No
Does the project require a background screening prior to project entry?		
Does the project prohibit persons with certain criminal convictions from entering the project?		
Does the project require participants to be clean and sober prior to project entry or during project stay?		
Does the project require participants to take alcohol/drug tests?		
Does a positive alcohol/drug test result in termination from the project or require participant to participate in substance abuse treatment and/or detox to resume project services?		
Does the project require participants to have a mental health evaluation prior to project entry?		
Does the project require project participants who demonstrate mental health symptoms to participate in mental health services and/or medication compliance as a condition of participation?		
Does the project require participants to have an income at time of project entry?		
Does the project require participants to obtain income as a condition of remaining in the project?		
Does the project require participants to participate in supportive services as a condition of continued services?		
Does the project require participants to be "progressing" in their goals to remain in the project?		
Does the project exclude or refuse project entry based on race, color, religion, national origin, disability, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity and/or gender expression?		
Does the project include any requirements, outside of those typically found in a lease agreement or in "community living" conduct rules?		
Do project participants have to travel to the agency's office(s) to receive the majority of their services, including case management, after they are housed?		
Does the project prohibit any member of a household, based on age, gender, biological relationship and/or marital status, from residing together at the project?		
Enter the Total # of "Yes" and "No" responses		

Oklahoma City Continuum of Care
 FY24-25 CoC Renewal Project
 Application

8. HMIS Data Quality

Identifiable Information Data Quality	Enter “ Overall Score ” from Q6a.	
Veteran Status Data Quality	Enter “ % of Error Rate ” for “ Veteran Status ” from Q6b.	
Project Start Date Data Quality	Enter “ % of Error Rate ” for “ Project Start Date ” from Q6b.	
Head of Household Data Quality	Enter “ % of Error Rate ” for “ Relationship to Head of Household ” from Q6b.	
Disabling Condition Data Quality	Enter “ % of Error Rate ” for “ Disabling Condition ” from Q6b.	
Destination Data Quality	Enter “ % of Error Rate ” for “ Destination ” from Q6c.	
Income at Entry Data Quality	Enter “ % of Error Rate ” for “ Income and Sources at Start ” from Q6c.	
Income at Annual Assessment Data Quality	Enter “ % of Error Rate ” for “ Income and Sources at Annual Assessment ” from Q6c.	
Income at Exit	Enter “ % of Error Rate ” for “ Income at Sources at Exit ” from Q6c.	
Chronic Homelessness Data Quality	Enter “ % of records unable to calculate ” from the “ Total ” row from Q6d.	

9. Financial and Monitoring

In the projects most recently ended grant year, what percentage of funds were expended?	
Did the project submit all reimbursement requests at least quarterly during the most recently ended grant term?	
Does the project have outstanding findings that have not been addressed?	

Oklahoma City Continuum of Care
FY24-25 CoC Renewal Project
Application

10. CoC Participation

Please describe how your agency participates in the Continuum of Care. This may include partnerships with community partners, participation on committees or workgroups, or other planning and coordinating activities, especially those related to Key To Home. Be specific.

Oklahoma City Continuum of Care
FY24-25 CoC Renewal Project
Application

11. Inclusion & Diversity

Describe the work your agency is doing to forward racial diversity, equity and inclusion within the agency's services. How has your organization worked to identify and address barriers to participation. Include all approaches taken.

9. Other

Does the project collect consumer/participant satisfaction surveys at least annually?
If yes, please provide a copy of satisfaction survey.

Yes

No

**2024-24 CONTINUUM OF CARE
RENEWAL PROJECT SCORING SHEET**

**AGENCY:
PROJECT:
PROJECT TYPE:
ALLOCATION:**

SCORING ELEMENT	DESCRIPTION	SCORE
3) COORDINATED ENTRY PARTICIPATION		
If Project is PSH, what percentage of clients came through Coordinated Entry in most recent grant term	100% = 6 points <100% = 0 points	
4-5) HOMELESS CONTRIBUTION		
Agency has currently or formerly homeless person on Board of Directors or other policy making entity	No participation in last year and no plan to incorporate = 0 points No participation in last year but has plan to incorporate = 3 points Has documented participation = 5 points	
6) PROJECT PERFORMANCE		
Data pulled from HMIS generated Annual Performance Report		
Housing Stability	95% = 6 points 80% - 94% = 2 points <80% = 0 points	
Total Income	65% - 100% = 5 points 40% - 64% = 3 points Below 40% = 0 points	
Earned Income	50% - 100% = 5 points 10% - 49% = 3 points below 10% = 0 points	
Entered From Streets	50% - 100% = 5 points 10% - 49% = 3 points Below 10% = 0 points	
Exits to Homelessness	5% or less = 5 points 6% - 10% = 2 points 11% - 25% = 1 point >25% = 0 points	
Non-Cash Benefits (Stayers)	85% - 100% = 5 points 60% - 84% = 3 points Below 60% = 0 points	
Non-Cash Benefits (Leavers)	85% - 100% = 5 points 60% - 84% = 3 points Below 60% = 0 points	
Utilization Rate	90% - 100% = 10 points 75% - 89% = 5 points Below 75% = 0 points	
7) HOUSING FIRST/LOW BARRIER		
	1 point for every "No" response (Max 15 points)	
8) HMIS DATA QUALITY		
Identifiable Info	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	
Veteran Status	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	
Projet Start Date	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	
Head of Household	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	
Disabling Condition	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	
Destination	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	
Income at Entry	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	
Income at Annual Assessment	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	
Income at Exit	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	
Chronicity	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	
9) FINANCIAL AND MONITORING		
Fund Expended	In the projects most recently ended grant year, what percentage of funds were expended? 90% - 100% = 2 points > 90% = 0 points	

Timely Reimbursement Requests	Did the project submit all reimbursement requests at least quarterly during the most recently ended grant term? <i>Yes = 2 points</i> <i>No = 0 points</i>		
Findings or Concerns	Does the project have outstanding findings that have not been addressed? <i>5 points will be deducted from any project score with outstanding findings</i>		
10) COLLABORATION			
CoC Participation	Describe how your agency participates in the CoC, particularly Key To Home <i>Participates in multiple committees & workgroups or has multiple partnerships = 6 points</i> <i>Attends meetings periodically = 2 points</i> <i>No participation = 0 points</i>		
11) INCLUSION & DIVERSITY			
Describe the work your agency is doing to forward racial diversity, equity and inclusion. How have you identified barriers and what approaches have you taken?	Describe the work your agency is doing to forward racial diversity, equity and inclusion within the agency. Include all approaches taken <i>Active steps to identify barriers and Multiple approaches taken = 6 points</i> <i>One/some approaches = 2 points</i> <i>No work = 0 points</i>		
12) OTHER			
Does Project collect participant Satisfaction Surveys?	<i>Annual assessment = 2 points</i> <i>No Annual assessment = 0 points</i>		
TOTAL SCORE			

**2024-24 CONTINUUM OF CARE BONUS
PROJECT SCORING SHEET**

AGENCY:
PROJECT:
PROJECT TYPE:
ALLOCATION:

SCORING ELEMENT	DESCRIPTION	SCORE
BONUS APPLICATION TYPE		
Is Applicant applying for the Bonus Funding Priority Project	Yes = 20 No = 0 points	
3) COLLABORATION		
Describe how your agency participates in the CoC, particularly Key To Home	Participates in multiple committees & workgroups or has multiple partnerships = 10 points Attends meetings periodically = 5 points No participation = 0 points	
4) INCLUSION & DIVERSITY		
Describe the work your agency is doing to forward racial diversity, equity and inclusion. How have you identified barriers and what approaches have you taken?	Active steps to identify barriers and Multiple approaches taken = 10 points One/some approaches = 5 points No work = 0 points	
5) OTHER		
Does Project collect participant Satisfaction Surveys?	Annual assessment = 5 points No Annual assessment = 0 points	
6) EXPERIENCE & CAPACITY		
Describe your agency's experience with Federal, state, and/or local government grants.	Experience with multiple programs + designated staff addressing compliance = 20 points	
	Experience with a program or some programs + staff partially designated to addressing compliance = 10 points	
	No experience	
7) HOUSING FIRST/LOW BARRIER	1 point for every "No" response (Max 15 points)	
8) ACCESS TO PERMANENT HOUSING		
How will project quickly move participants into permanent housing?	Applicant has well defined plan to quickly move clients into housing = Up to 15 points	
9) HARD TO SERVE POPULATIONS (Non-DV Bonus Only)		
Applicant will serve all populations other than under age youth.	Yes = 10 points	
	No = 0 points	
TOTAL SCORE		0

**2024-24 CONTINUUM OF CARE DV BONUS
PROJECT SCORING SHEET**

AGENCY:
PROJECT:
PROJECT TYPE:
ALLOCATION:

SCORING ELEMENT	DESCRIPTION	SCORE
BONUS APPLICATION TYPE (Non-DV Bonus Only)		
Is Applicant applying for the Bonus Funding Priority Project	<p>Yes = 20</p> <p>No = 0 points</p>	
3) COLLABORATION		
Describe how your agency participates in the CoC, particularly Key To Home	<p>Participates in multiple committees & workgroups or has multiple partnerships = 10 points</p> <p>Attends meetings periodically = 5 points</p> <p>No participation = 0 points</p>	
4) INCLUSION & DIVERSITY		
Describe the work your agency is doing to forward racial diversity, equity and inclusion. How have you identified barriers and what approaches have you taken?	<p>Active steps to identify barriers and Multiple approaches taken = 10 points</p> <p>One/some approaches = 5 points</p> <p>No work = 0 points</p>	
5) OTHER		
Does Project collect participant Satisfaction Surveys?	<p>Annual assessment = 5 points</p> <p>No Annual assessment = 0 points</p>	
6) EXPERIENCE & CAPACITY		
Describe your agency's experience with Federal, state, and/or local government grants.	Experience with multiple programs + designated staff addressing compliance = 20 points	
	Experience with a program or some programs + staff partially designated to addressing compliance = 10 points	
	No experience	
7) HOUSING FIRST/LOW BARRIER		
	1 point for every "No" response (Max 15 points)	
8) ACCESS TO PERMANENT HOUSING		
How will project quickly move participants into permanent housing?	Applicant has well defined plan to quickly move clients into housing = Up to 15 points	
9) HARD TO SERVE POPULATIONS (Non-DV Bonus Only)		
Applicant will serve all populations other than under age youth.	Yes = 10 points	
	No = 0 points	
TOTAL SCORE		0

**2024-24 CONTINUUM OF CARE
RENEWAL PROJECT SCORING SHEET**

RANKER:	AUSTIN M
AGENCY:	Mental Health Association
PROJECT:	SH
PROJECT TYPE:	Permanent Supportive Housing
ALLOCATION:	\$509,678

SCORING ELEMENT	DESCRIPTION	SCORE
3) COORDINATED ENTRY PARTICIPATION		
If Project is PSH, what percentage of clients came through Coordinated Entry in most recent grant term	100% = 6 points <100% = 0 points	6
4-5) HOMELESS CONTRIBUTION		
Agency has currently or formerly homeless person on Board of Directors or other policy making entity	No participation in last year and no plan to incorporate = 0 points No participation in last year but has plan to incorporate = 3 points Has documented participation = 5 points	5
6) PROJECT PERFORMANCE		
Data pulled from HMIS generated Annual Performance Report		
Housing Stability	95% = 6 points 80% - 94% = 2 points <80% = 0 points	0
Total Income	65% - 100% = 5 points 40% - 64% = 3 points Below 40% = 0 points	3
Earned Income	50% - 100% = 5 points 10% - 49% = 3 points below 10% = 0 points	0
Entered From Streets	50% - 100% = 5 points 10% - 49% = 3 points Below 10% = 0 points	5
Exits to Homelessness	5% or less = 5 points 6% - 10% = 2 points 11% - 25% = 1 point >25% = 0 points	1
Non-Cash Benefits (Stayers)	85% - 100% = 5 points 60% - 84% = 3 points Below 60% = 0 points	3
Non-Cash Benefits (Leavers)	85% - 100% = 5 points 60% - 84% = 3 points Below 60% = 0 points	5
Utilization Rate	90% - 100% = 10 points 75% - 89% = 5 points Below 75% = 0 points	10
7) HOUSING FIRST/LOW BARRIER	1 point for every "No" response (Max 15 points)	13
8) HMIS DATA QUALITY		
Identifiable Info	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	0
Veteran Status	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	1
Projet Start Date	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	1
Head of Household	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	1
Disabling Condition	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	1
Destination	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	0
Income at Entry	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	1
Income at Annual Assessment	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	1
Income at Exit	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	1
Chronicity	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	1
9) FINANCIAL AND MONITORING		
Fund Expended	In the projects most recently ended grant year, what percentage of funds were expended? 90% - 100% = 2 points > 90% = 0 points	2

Timely Reimbursement Requests	Did the project submit all reimbursement requests at least quarterly during the most recently ended grant term? <i>Yes = 2 points</i> <i>No = 0 points</i>	2
Findings or Concerns	Does the project have outstanding findings that have not been addressed? <i>5 points will be deducted from any project score with outstanding findings</i>	0
10) COLLABORATION		
CoC Participation	Describe how your agency participates in the CoC, particularly Key To Home <i>Participates in multiple committees & workgroups or has multiple partnerships = 6 points</i> <i>Attends meetings periodically = 2 points</i> <i>No participation = 0 points</i>	5
11) INCLUSION & DIVERSITY		
Describe the work your agency is doing to forward racial diversity, equity and inclusion. How have you identified barriers and what approaches have you taken?	Describe the work your agency is doing to forward racial diversity, equity and inclusion within the agency. Include all approaches taken <i>Active steps to identify barriers and Multiple approaches taken = 6 points</i> <i>One/some approaches = 2 points</i> <i>No work = 0 points</i>	5
12) OTHER		
Does Project collect participant Satisfaction Surveys?	<i>Annual assessment = 2 points</i> <i>No Annual assessment = 0 points</i>	2
TOTAL SCORE		75

**2024-24 CONTINUUM OF CARE
RENEWAL PROJECT SCORING SHEET**

RANKER: DAVID O
AGENCY: Mental Health Association
PROJECT: SH
PROJECT TYPE: Permanent Supportive Housing
ALLOCATION: \$509,678

SCORING ELEMENT	DESCRIPTION	SCORE
3) COORDINATED ENTRY PARTICIPATION		
If Project is PSH, what percentage of clients came through Coordinated Entry in most recent grant term	100% = 6 points <100% = 0 points	6
4-5) HOMELESS CONTRIBUTION		
Agency has currently or formerly homeless person on Board of Directors or other policy making entity	No participation in last year and no plan to incorporate = 0 points No participation in last year but has plan to incorporate = 3 points Has documented participation = 5 points	5
6) PROJECT PERFORMANCE Data pulled from HMIS generated Annual Performance Report		
Housing Stability	95% = 6 points 80% - 94% = 2 points <80% = 0 points	0
Total Income	65% - 100% = 5 points 40% - 64% = 3 points Below 40% = 0 points	3
Earned Income	50% - 100% = 5 points 10% - 49% = 3 points below 10% = 0 points	0
Entered From Streets	50% - 100% = 5 points 10% - 49% = 3 points Below 10% = 0 points	5
Exits to Homelessness	5% or less = 5 points 6% - 10% = 2 points 11% - 25% = 1 point >25% = 0 points	1
Non-Cash Benefits (Stayers)	85% - 100% = 5 points 60% - 84% = 3 points Below 60% = 0 points	3
Non-Cash Benefits (Leavers)	85% - 100% = 5 points 60% - 84% = 3 points Below 60% = 0 points	5
Utilization Rate	90% - 100% = 10 points 75% - 89% = 5 points Below 75% = 0 points	10
7) HOUSING FIRST/LOW BARRIER	1 point for every "No" response (Max 15 points)	13
8) HMIS DATA QUALITY		
Identifiable Info	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	0
Veteran Status	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	1
Projet Start Date	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	1
Head of Household	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	1
Disabling Condition	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	1
Destination	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	0
Income at Entry	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	1
Income at Annual Assessment	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	1
Income at Exit	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	1
Chronicity	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	1
9) FINANCIAL AND MONITORING		
Fund Expended	In the projects most recently ended grant year, what percentage of funds were expended? 90% - 100% = 2 points > 90% = 0 points	2

Timely Reimbursement Requests	Did the project submit all reimbursement requests at least quarterly during the most recently ended grant term? <i>Yes = 2 points</i> <i>No = 0 points</i>	2
Findings or Concerns	Does the project have outstanding findings that have not been addressed? <i>5 points will be deducted from any project score with outstanding findings</i>	0
10) COLLABORATION		
CoC Participation	Describe how your agency participates in the CoC, particularly Key To Home <i>Participates in multiple committees & workgroups or has multiple partnerships = 6 points</i> <i>Attends meetings periodically = 2 points</i> <i>No participation = 0 points</i>	6
11) INCLUSION & DIVERSITY		
Describe the work your agency is doing to forward racial diversity, equity and inclusion. How have you identified barriers and what approaches have you taken?	Describe the work your agency is doing to forward racial diversity, equity and inclusion within the agency. Include all approaches taken <i>Active steps to identify barriers and Multiple approaches taken = 6 points</i> <i>One/some approaches = 2 points</i> <i>No work = 0 points</i>	6
12) OTHER		
Does Project collect participant Satisfaction Surveys?	<i>Annual assessment = 2 points</i> <i>No Annual assessment = 0 points</i>	2
TOTAL SCORE		77

**2024-24 CONTINUUM OF CARE
RENEWAL PROJECT SCORING SHEET**

RANKER:	GRACE M-K
AGENCY:	Mental Health Association
PROJECT:	SH
PROJECT TYPE:	Permanent Supportive Housing
ALLOCATION:	\$509,678

SCORING ELEMENT	DESCRIPTION	SCORE
3) COORDINATED ENTRY PARTICIPATION		
If Project is PSH, what percentage of clients came through Coordinated Entry in most recent grant term	100% = 6 points <100% = 0 points	6
4-5) HOMELESS CONTRIBUTION		
Agency has currently or formerly homeless person on Board of Directors or other policy making entity	No participation in last year and no plan to incorporate = 0 points No participation in last year but has plan to incorporate = 3 points Has documented participation = 5 points	5
6) PROJECT PERFORMANCE		
Data pulled from HMIS generated Annual Performance Report		
Housing Stability	95% = 6 points 80% - 94% = 2 points <80% = 0 points	0
Total Income	65% - 100% = 5 points 40% - 64% = 3 points Below 40% = 0 points	3
Earned Income	50% - 100% = 5 points 10% - 49% = 3 points below 10% = 0 points	0
Entered From Streets	50% - 100% = 5 points 10% - 49% = 3 points Below 10% = 0 points	5
Exits to Homelessness	5% or less = 5 points 6% - 10% = 2 points 11% - 25% = 1 point >25% = 0 points	1
Non-Cash Benefits (Stayers)	85% - 100% = 5 points 60% - 84% = 3 points Below 60% = 0 points	3
Non-Cash Benefits (Leavers)	85% - 100% = 5 points 60% - 84% = 3 points Below 60% = 0 points	5
Utilization Rate	90% - 100% = 10 points 75% - 89% = 5 points Below 75% = 0 points	10
7) HOUSING FIRST/LOW BARRIER	1 point for every "No" response (Max 15 points)	13
8) HMIS DATA QUALITY		
Identifiable Info	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	0
Veteran Status	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	1
Project Start Date	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	1
Head of Household	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	1
Disabling Condition	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	1
Destination	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	0
Income at Entry	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	1
Income at Annual Assessment	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	1
Income at Exit	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	1
Chronicity	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	1
9) FINANCIAL AND MONITORING		
Fund Expended	In the projects most recently ended grant year, what percentage of funds were expended? 90% - 100% = 2 points > 90% = 0 points	2

Timely Reimbursement Requests	Did the project submit all reimbursement requests at least quarterly during the most recently ended grant term? <i>Yes = 2 points</i> <i>No = 0 points</i>	2
Findings or Concerns	Does the project have outstanding findings that have not been addressed? <i>5 points will be deducted from any project score with outstanding findings</i>	0
10) COLLABORATION		
CoC Participation	Describe how your agency participates in the CoC, particularly Key To Home <i>Participates in multiple committees & workgroups or has multiple partnerships = 6 points</i> <i>Attends meetings periodically = 2 points</i> <i>No participation = 0 points</i>	5
11) INCLUSION & DIVERSITY		
Describe the work your agency is doing to forward racial diversity, equity and inclusion. How have you identified barriers and what approaches have you taken?	Describe the work your agency is doing to forward racial diversity, equity and inclusion within the agency. Include all approaches taken <i>Active steps to identify barriers and Multiple approaches taken = 6 points</i> <i>One/some approaches = 2 points</i> <i>No work = 0 points</i>	5
12) OTHER		
Does Project collect participant Satisfaction Surveys?	<i>Annual assessment = 2 points</i> <i>No Annual assessment = 0 points</i>	2
TOTAL SCORE		75

2024-24 CONTINUUM OF CARE RENEWAL PROJECT SCORING SHEET		
RANKER:	KEVIN F.	
AGENCY:	Mental Health Association	
PROJECT:	SH	
PROJECT TYPE:	Permanent Supportive Housing	
ALLOCATION:	\$509,678	
SCORING ELEMENT	DESCRIPTION	SCORE
3) COORDINATED ENTRY PARTICIPATION		
If Project is PSH, what percentage of clients came through Coordinated Entry in most recent grant term	100% = 6 points <100% = 0 points	6
4-5) HOMELESS CONTRIBUTION		
Agency has currently or formerly homeless person on Board of Directors or other policy making entity	No participation in last year and no plan to incorporate = 0 points No participation in last year but has plan to incorporate = 3 points Has documented participation = 5 points	5
6) PROJECT PERFORMANCE		
Data pulled from HMIS generated Annual Performance Report		
Housing Stability	95% = 6 points 80% - 94% = 2 points <80% = 0 points	0
Total Income	65% - 100% = 5 points 40% - 64% = 3 points Below 40% = 0 points	3
Earned Income	50% - 100% = 5 points 10% - 49% = 3 points below 10% = 0 points	0
Entered From Streets	50% - 100% = 5 points 10% - 49% = 3 points Below 10% = 0 points	5
Exits to Homelessness	5% or less = 5 points 6% - 10% = 2 points 11% - 25% = 1 point >25% = 0 points	1
Non-Cash Benefits (Stayers)	85% - 100% = 5 points 60% - 84% = 3 points Below 60% = 0 points	3
Non-Cash Benefits (Leavers)	85% - 100% = 5 points 60% - 84% = 3 points Below 60% = 0 points	5
Utilization Rate	90% - 100% = 10 points 75% - 89% = 5 points Below 75% = 0 points	10
7) HOUSING FIRST/LOW BARRIER	1 point for every "No" response (Max 15 points)	13
8) HMIS DATA QUALITY		
Identifiable Info	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	0
Veteran Status	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	1
Project Start Date	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	1
Head of Household	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	1
Disabling Condition	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	1
Destination	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	0
Income at Entry	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	1
Income at Annual Assessment	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	1
Income at Exit	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	1
Chronicity	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	1
9) FINANCIAL AND MONITORING		
Fund Expended	In the projects most recently ended grant year, what percentage of funds were expended? 90% - 100% = 2 points > 90% = 0 points	2

Timely Reimbursement Requests	Did the project submit all reimbursement requests at least quarterly during the most recently ended grant term? <i>Yes = 2 points</i> <i>No = 0 points</i>	2
Findings or Concerns	Does the project have outstanding findings that have not been addressed? <i>5 points will be deducted from any project score with outstanding findings</i>	0
10) COLLABORATION		
CoC Participation	Describe how your agency participates in the CoC, particularly Key To Home <i>Participates in multiple committees & workgroups or has multiple partnerships = 6 points</i> <i>Attends meetings periodically = 2 points</i> <i>No participation = 0 points</i>	5
11) INCLUSION & DIVERSITY		
Describe the work your agency is doing to forward racial diversity, equity and inclusion. How have you identified barriers and what approaches have you taken?	Describe the work your agency is doing to forward racial diversity, equity and inclusion within the agency. Include all approaches taken <i>Active steps to identify barriers and Multiple approaches taken = 6 points</i> <i>One/some approaches = 2 points</i> <i>No work = 0 points</i>	5
12) OTHER		
Does Project collect participant Satisfaction Surveys?	<i>Annual assessment = 2 points</i> <i>No Annual assessment = 0 points</i>	2
TOTAL SCORE		75

2024-24 CONTINUUM OF CARE RENEWAL PROJECT SCORING SHEET		
RANKER:	MICHELLE D	
AGENCY:	Mental Health Association	
PROJECT:	SH	
PROJECT TYPE:	Permanent Supportive Housing	
ALLOCATION:	\$509,678	
SCORING ELEMENT	DESCRIPTION	SCORE
3) COORDINATED ENTRY PARTICIPATION		
If Project is PSH, what percentage of clients came through Coordinated Entry in most recent grant term	100% = 6 points <100% = 0 points	6
4-5) HOMELESS CONTRIBUTION		
Agency has currently or formerly homeless person on Board of Directors or other policy making entity	No participation in last year and no plan to incorporate = 0 points No participation in last year but has plan to incorporate = 3 points Has documented participation = 5 points	5
6) PROJECT PERFORMANCE		
Data pulled from HMIS generated Annual Performance Report		
Housing Stability	95% = 6 points 80% - 94% = 2 points <80% = 0 points	0
Total Income	65% - 100% = 5 points 40% - 64% = 3 points Below 40% = 0 points	3
Earned Income	50% - 100% = 5 points 10% - 49% = 3 points below 10% = 0 points	0
Entered From Streets	50% - 100% = 5 points 10% - 49% = 3 points Below 10% = 0 points	5
Exits to Homelessness	5% or less = 5 points 6% - 10% = 2 points 11% - 25% = 1 point >25% = 0 points	1
Non-Cash Benefits (Stayers)	85% - 100% = 5 points 60% - 84% = 3 points Below 60% = 0 points	3
Non-Cash Benefits (Leavers)	85% - 100% = 5 points 60% - 84% = 3 points Below 60% = 0 points	5
Utilization Rate	90% - 100% = 10 points 75% - 89% = 5 points Below 75% = 0 points	10
7) HOUSING FIRST/LOW BARRIER	1 point for every "No" response (Max 15 points)	13
8) HMIS DATA QUALITY		
Identifiable Info	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	0
Veteran Status	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	1
Project Start Date	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	1
Head of Household	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	1
Disabling Condition	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	1
Destination	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	0
Income at Entry	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	1
Income at Annual Assessment	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	1
Income at Exit	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	1
Chronicity	0% = 1 point, 1% - 3% = .5 point, >3 = 0 points	1
9) FINANCIAL AND MONITORING		
Fund Expended	In the projects most recently ended grant year, what percentage of funds were expended? 90% - 100% = 2 points > 90% = 0 points	2

Timely Reimbursement Requests	Did the project submit all reimbursement requests at least quarterly during the most recently ended grant term? <i>Yes = 2 points</i> <i>No = 0 points</i>	2
Findings or Concerns	Does the project have outstanding findings that have not been addressed? <i>5 points will be deducted from any project score with outstanding findings</i>	0
10) COLLABORATION		
CoC Participation	Describe how your agency participates in the CoC, particularly Key To Home <i>Participates in multiple committees & workgroups or has multiple partnerships = 6 points</i> <i>Attends meetings periodically = 2 points</i> <i>No participation = 0 points</i>	6
11) INCLUSION & DIVERSITY		
Describe the work your agency is doing to forward racial diversity, equity and inclusion. How have you identified barriers and what approaches have you taken?	Describe the work your agency is doing to forward racial diversity, equity and inclusion within the agency. Include all approaches taken <i>Active steps to identify barriers and Multiple approaches taken = 6 points</i> <i>One/some approaches = 2 points</i> <i>No work = 0 points</i>	6
12) OTHER		
Does Project collect participant Satisfaction Surveys?	<i>Annual assessment = 2 points</i> <i>No Annual assessment = 0 points</i>	2
TOTAL SCORE		77



The Oklahoma City CoC reallocated one (1) project in our FY24 application and rejected one (1) application. Notification of the reallocation is included with these attachments. It appears the application that was rejected was somehow added to our application in error and should not have been included among our CoC's applications. The applicant did not participate in the local competition so was not scored or ranked and we were not aware of their project until we updated our new project applications on our Priority Listing last Friday, October 25th and it appeared among the submissions. The applicant is not attached as a registrant with our Continuum and the information included on tabs 1A – 1L of their application indicates they intended to submit their application directly to HUD.

From: [Laura Gregory](#)
To: [Shadid, Jerod R](#)
Cc: [mgillet](#); [Matt Mills](#)
Subject: Ending CEC - DV Project
Date: Thursday, September 5, 2024 11:03:05 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Jerod,

The reason for my email is to let you know the Community Enhancement Corporation would like to end the DV Bonus project. This is perfect timing since we have not signed a new agreement as we just finished out the extension. There are no plans to continue to apply for renewal funds.

I am happy to work with another organization to transfer any households still in need of service. Please let me know of next steps.



Laura Gregory

Director of Resident Services
Oklahoma City Housing Authority
www.ochanet.org
Office: (405) 605-3221

Transforming lives through a place to call home

Disclaimer

This email transmission is intended for the exclusive use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed and may contain privileged and confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient or agent responsible to deliver the message to the intended recipient, please notify us immediately by telephone and/or email and delete the original message. Thank you.

From: [Shadid, Jerod R](#)
To: [Shadid, Jerod R](#)
Cc: [Caves, Jamie](#); [Warren, Erika](#); [Leveston-sharpe, Sharon L](#); [Rebman, Amanda L](#)
Bcc: [Meghan Mueller](#); [Tara Ryan](#); [Tate Hughes](#); [Ghadir Al Rashaideh](#); [Angela L. Wernke](#); [Billy J. Ray](#); [Gabby C. Evans](#); [Brent R. VanBerg](#); [Bradley, Rachel](#); [awilder@sisuyouth.org](#); [arayburn@sisuyouth.org](#); [HMIS HMIS](#); [Erin Goodin \(egoodin@cityrescue.org\)](#); [sparker@cityrescue.org](#); [Rachel Freeman \(rachel@citycareokc.org\)](#); [Leslie Word](#); [Leann Davis](#); [Staci Sanger](#); [Susan Scott](#); [Mark Davis](#); [Kelly Fry](#); [Theresa Flannery](#); [Patrick Raglow \(praglow@ccaokc.org\)](#); [Jennifer Kill](#); [David Delgado \(DDelgado@homelessalliance.org\)](#)
Subject: ** FY 24-25 COC RANKINGS & ALLOCATIONS **
Date: Friday, October 11, 2024 3:53:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[FY24-25 - FUNDING RECS - ALL.pdf](#)
Importance: High

Hello Everyone,

The Key to Home Allocations Committee met this week and reviewed applications for FY24-25 CoC new and renewal projects. Final project rankings are attached.

- Bonus (New) projects are ranked at the bottom of the rankings in order to not risk renewal funding that we already receive.
- Domestic Violence Bonus projects are funded separately so are not included with other new and renewal projects.
- E-Snaps applications for new projects will be open on Monday and applicants will be notified.
 - If you are a new applicant and do not have an E-Snaps account, we will walk you through obtaining one.
- All other E-Snaps applications are open. E-Snaps applications must be submitted for funding to be considered by HUD.
- Esnaps applications are due by October 25th. Once they are completed, you will NOT submit them but notify myself and Sharon Leveston-Sharpe at Sharon.leveston-sharpe@okc.gov

These rankings will be posted to the [CoC Notices and Applications page](#) on Monday, October 14th.

Thank You,

Jerod Shadid

Program Planner – Homeless Services

Planning Department|Community Development

420 W. Main St., Suite 920|Oklahoma City, OK, 73102

(405) 297-3608

www.okc.gov/homeokc

he/him



2024-25 CONTINUUM OF CARE RANKINGS						OK-502
PROJECT	A/R	TIER	RANK	SCORE	REQUEST/ELIGIBLE	FUNDING
HOMELESS ALLIANCE - YHDP PSH	A	1	NOT RANKED	100	\$288,520	\$288,520
HOPE - YHDP DIVERSION	A	1	NOT RANKED	100	\$150,000	\$150,000
SISU - YHDP HOST HOMES	A	1	NOT RANKED	100	\$51,812	\$51,812
SISU - YHDP TH+RRH	A	1	NOT RANKED	100	\$477,859	\$477,859
SISU YHDP DROP-IN CENTER	A	1	NOT RANKED	100	\$496,665	\$496,665
OKC HMIS	A	1	1	100	\$354,720	\$354,720
OKC CES	A	1	2	100	\$233,039	\$233,039
CENTRALIZED INTAKE	A	1	3	100	\$21,400	\$21,400
CITY RESCUE - RRH	A	1	4	100	\$329,260	\$329,260
HOPE - CH 32	A	1	5	81.6	\$431,233	\$431,233
HOPE - S+C 39	A	1	6	81.2	\$464,428	\$464,428
CITY CARE - WESTLAWN	A	1	7	81.2	\$229,760	\$229,760
MENTAL HEALTH ASSOCIATION - LTS	A	1	8	77.4	\$222,608	\$222,608
HOMELESS ALLIANCE - BUILDING FOUNDATIONS	A	1	9	76	\$923,997	\$923,997
MENTAL HEALTH ASSOCIATION - SH	A	1	10	75.8	\$509,678	\$509,678
HOMELESS ALLIANCE - SAFE HOMES	A	1	11	73	\$600,900	\$600,900
HOPE - HHP	A	1/2	12	71.6	\$599,599	\$599,599
CITY CARE - PERSHING	A	2	13	71	\$363,251	\$363,251
(BONUS) CITY RESCUE	A	2	14	99.8	\$559,900	\$491,344
(BONUS) CATHOLIC CHARITIES	A	2	15	97	\$324,274	\$324,274
TOTAL						\$7,564,347

2024-25 CONTINUUM OF CARE RANKINGS				OK-502		DV BONUS / EXPANSION
PROJECT	A/R	TIER	RANK	SCORE	REQUEST	FUNDING
HOMELESS ALLIANCE - SAFE HOMES	A	2	1	74	\$304,000	\$304,000 \$255,915 (BONUS) \$48,085 (REALLOCATION)
CITY RESCUE MISSION - DV RRH	A	2	2	72	\$592,405	\$592,405
TOTAL						\$592,405

2024-25 CONTINUUM OF CARE RANKINGS			OK-502	PLANNING	
PROJECT	A/R	TIER	RANK	SCORE	REQUEST
FY24 OKC COC PLANNING PROJECT	A	NA	NA	NA	\$339,841
TOTAL					\$339,841

2024-25 CONTINUUM OF CARE RANKINGS			OK-502		REALLOCATION	
PROJECT	A/R	TIER	RANK	SCORE	ELIGIBLE	FUNDING
CEC DV	NA	NA	NA	NA	\$48,085	\$0
TOTAL						\$0



Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA). This group also makes funding recommendations for the City's Social Services grant. The HUD Continuum of Care Grant is an annual competitive grant that builds on the previous year's performance in developing specialized housing with support for those who are homeless, chronically homeless and have significant disabilities.

The OKC CoC has adopted By-Laws and a Code of Conduct for conducting their business.

OKC CoC Notices and Applications

2024

- **FY 24 OKC CoC New & Renewal Project Rankings**
- [FY 24 OKC Coc Local Notice of Funding](#)
- [FY 24 CoC Federal Notice of Funding](#)
- [FY 24 OKC CoC Renewal Project Application](#)
- [FY 24 OKC CoC Bonus Project Application](#)
- [FY 24 CoC Expansion Project Application](#)
- [FY 24 OKC CoC YHDP Renewal Project Application](#)
- [FY 24 OKC CoC YHDP Replacement-Reallocation Project Application](#)
- [FY 24 OKC CoC Ranking + Reallocation Policies + Scoring Forms](#)
- [CoC 2024 Start Up Training](#)
- [FY 24 OKC CoC Local Notice of Funding - CoC Builds](#)
- [FY 24 Federal Notice of Funding - CoC Builds](#)
- [FY 24 OKC CoC Builds Thershold + Summary App](#)
- [HUD CoC Builds Budget Worksheet](#)
- [CoC Builds 2024 Start Up Training](#)

2023

- [FY 2023 COC CONSOLIDATED APPLICATION](#)
- [FY 23 CoC New & Renewal Project Rankings](#)
- [FY 23 CoC HUD Notice of Funding Opportunity \(NOFO\)](#)

From: [Shadid, Jerod R](#)
To: [Shadid, Jerod R](#)
Cc: [Caves, Jamie](#); [Warren, Erika](#); [Leveston-sharpe, Sharon L](#); [Rebman, Amanda L](#)
Bcc: [Meghan Mueller](#); [Tara Ryan](#); [Tate Hughes](#); [Ghadir Al Rashaideh](#); [Angela L. Wernke](#); [Billy J. Ray](#); [Gabby C. Evans](#); [Brent R. VanBerg](#); [Bradley, Rachel](#); [awilder@sisuyouth.org](#); [arayburn@sisuyouth.org](#); [HMIS HMIS](#); [Erin Goodin \(egoodin@cityrescue.org\)](#); [sparker@cityrescue.org](#); [Rachel Freeman \(rachel@citycareokc.org\)](#); [Leslie Word](#); [Leann Davis](#); [Staci Sanger](#); [Susan Scott](#); [Mark Davis](#); [Kelly Fry](#); [Theresa Flannery](#); [Patrick Raglow \(praglow@ccaokc.org\)](#); [Jennifer Kill](#); [David Delgado \(DDelgado@homelessalliance.org\)](#)
Subject: ** FY 24-25 COC RANKINGS & ALLOCATIONS **
Date: Friday, October 11, 2024 3:53:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[FY24-25 - FUNDING RECS - ALL.pdf](#)
Importance: High

Hello Everyone,

The Key to Home Allocations Committee met this week and reviewed applications for FY24-25 CoC new and renewal projects. Final project rankings are attached.

- Bonus (New) projects are ranked at the bottom of the rankings in order to not risk renewal funding that we already receive.
- Domestic Violence Bonus projects are funded separately so are not included with other new and renewal projects.
- E-Snaps applications for new projects will be open on Monday and applicants will be notified.
 - If you are a new applicant and do not have an E-Snaps account, we will walk you through obtaining one.
- All other E-Snaps applications are open. E-Snaps applications must be submitted for funding to be considered by HUD.
- Esnaps applications are due by October 25th. Once they are completed, you will NOT submit them but notify myself and Sharon Leveston-Sharpe at Sharon.leveston-sharpe@okc.gov

These rankings will be posted to the [CoC Notices and Applications page](#) on Monday, October 14th.

Thank You,

Jerod Shadid

Program Planner – Homeless Services

Planning Department|Community Development

420 W. Main St., Suite 920|Oklahoma City, OK, 73102

(405) 297-3608

www.okc.gov/homeokc

he/him



2024-25 CONTINUUM OF CARE RANKINGS						OK-502
PROJECT	A/R	TIER	RANK	SCORE	REQUEST/ELIGIBLE	FUNDING
HOMELESS ALLIANCE - YHDP PSH	A	1	NOT RANKED	100	\$288,520	\$288,520
HOPE - YHDP DIVERSION	A	1	NOT RANKED	100	\$150,000	\$150,000
SISU - YHDP HOST HOMES	A	1	NOT RANKED	100	\$51,812	\$51,812
SISU - YHDP TH+RRH	A	1	NOT RANKED	100	\$477,859	\$477,859
SISU YHDP DROP-IN CENTER	A	1	NOT RANKED	100	\$496,665	\$496,665
OKC HMIS	A	1	1	100	\$354,720	\$354,720
OKC CES	A	1	2	100	\$233,039	\$233,039
CENTRALIZED INTAKE	A	1	3	100	\$21,400	\$21,400
CITY RESCUE - RRH	A	1	4	100	\$329,260	\$329,260
HOPE - CH 32	A	1	5	81.6	\$431,233	\$431,233
HOPE - S+C 39	A	1	6	81.2	\$464,428	\$464,428
CITY CARE - WESTLAWN	A	1	7	81.2	\$229,760	\$229,760
MENTAL HEALTH ASSOCIATION - LTS	A	1	8	77.4	\$222,608	\$222,608
HOMELESS ALLIANCE - BUILDING FOUNDATIONS	A	1	9	76	\$923,997	\$923,997
MENTAL HEALTH ASSOCIATION - SH	A	1	10	75.8	\$509,678	\$509,678
HOMELESS ALLIANCE - SAFE HOMES	A	1	11	73	\$600,900	\$600,900
HOPE - HHP	A	1/2	12	71.6	\$599,599	\$599,599
CITY CARE - PERSHING	A	2	13	71	\$363,251	\$363,251
(BONUS) CITY RESCUE	A	2	14	99.8	\$559,900	\$491,344
(BONUS) CATHOLIC CHARITIES	A	2	15	97	\$324,274	\$324,274
TOTAL						\$7,564,347

2024-25 CONTINUUM OF CARE RANKINGS				OK-502		DV BONUS / EXPANSION
PROJECT	A/R	TIER	RANK	SCORE	REQUEST	FUNDING
HOMELESS ALLIANCE - SAFE HOMES	A	2	1	74	\$304,000	\$304,000 \$255,915 (BONUS) \$48,085 (REALLOCATION)
CITY RESCUE MISSION - DV RRH	A	2	2	72	\$592,405	\$592,405
TOTAL						\$592,405

2024-25 CONTINUUM OF CARE RANKINGS			OK-502	PLANNING	
PROJECT	A/R	TIER	RANK	SCORE	REQUEST
FY24 OKC COC PLANNING PROJECT	A	NA	NA	NA	\$339,841
TOTAL					\$339,841

2024-25 CONTINUUM OF CARE RANKINGS			OK-502		REALLOCATION	
PROJECT	A/R	TIER	RANK	SCORE	ELIGIBLE	FUNDING
CEC DV	NA	NA	NA	NA	\$48,085	\$0
TOTAL						\$0



Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA). This group also makes funding recommendations for the City's Social Services grant. The HUD Continuum of Care Grant is an annual competitive grant that builds on the previous year's performance in developing specialized housing with support for those who are homeless, chronically homeless and have significant disabilities.

The OKC CoC has adopted By-Laws and a Code of Conduct for conducting their business.

OKC CoC Notices and Applications

2024

- **FY 24 OKC CoC New & Renewal Project Rankings**
- [FY 24 OKC Coc Local Notice of Funding](#)
- [FY 24 CoC Federal Notice of Funding](#)
- [FY 24 OKC CoC Renewal Project Application](#)
- [FY 24 OKC CoC Bonus Project Application](#)
- [FY 24 CoC Expansion Project Application](#)
- [FY 24 OKC CoC YHDP Renewal Project Application](#)
- [FY 24 OKC CoC YHDP Replacement-Reallocation Project Application](#)
- [FY 24 OKC CoC Ranking + Reallocation Policies + Scoring Forms](#)
- [CoC 2024 Start Up Training](#)
- [FY 24 OKC CoC Local Notice of Funding - CoC Builds](#)
- [FY 24 Federal Notice of Funding - CoC Builds](#)
- [FY 24 OKC CoC Builds Thershold + Summary App](#)
- [HUD CoC Builds Budget Worksheet](#)
- [CoC Builds 2024 Start Up Training](#)

2023

- [FY 2023 COC CONSOLIDATED APPLICATION](#)
- [FY 23 CoC New & Renewal Project Rankings](#)
- [FY 23 CoC HUD Notice of Funding Opportunity \(NOFO\)](#)

From: [Shadid, Jerod R](#)
To: [Shadid, Jerod R](#)
Cc: [Caves, Jamie](#); [Warren, Erika](#); [Leveston-sharpe, Sharon L](#); [Rebman, Amanda L](#)
Bcc: [Meghan Mueller](#); [Tara Ryan](#); [Tate Hughes](#); [Ghadir Al Rashaideh](#); [Angela L. Wernke](#); [Billy J. Ray](#); [Gabby C. Evans](#); [Brent R. VanBerg](#); [Bradley, Rachel](#); [awilder@sisuyouth.org](#); [arayburn@sisuyouth.org](#); [HMIS HMIS](#); [Erin Goodin \(egoodin@cityrescue.org\)](#); [sparker@cityrescue.org](#); [Rachel Freeman \(rachel@citycareokc.org\)](#); [Leslie Word](#); [Leann Davis](#); [Staci Sanger](#); [Susan Scott](#); [Mark Davis](#); [Kelly Fry](#); [Theresa Flannery](#); [Patrick Raglow \(praglow@ccaokc.org\)](#); [Jennifer Kill](#); [David Delgado \(DDelgado@homelessalliance.org\)](#)
Subject: ** FY 24-25 COC RANKINGS & ALLOCATIONS **
Date: Friday, October 11, 2024 3:53:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[FY24-25 - FUNDING RECS - ALL.pdf](#)
Importance: High

Hello Everyone,

The Key to Home Allocations Committee met this week and reviewed applications for FY24-25 CoC new and renewal projects. Final project rankings are attached.

- Bonus (New) projects are ranked at the bottom of the rankings in order to not risk renewal funding that we already receive.
- Domestic Violence Bonus projects are funded separately so are not included with other new and renewal projects.
- E-Snaps applications for new projects will be open on Monday and applicants will be notified.
 - If you are a new applicant and do not have an E-Snaps account, we will walk you through obtaining one.
- All other E-Snaps applications are open. E-Snaps applications must be submitted for funding to be considered by HUD.
- Esnaps applications are due by October 25th. Once they are completed, you will NOT submit them but notify myself and Sharon Leveston-Sharpe at Sharon.leveston-sharpe@okc.gov

These rankings will be posted to the [CoC Notices and Applications page](#) on Monday, October 14th.

Thank You,

Jerod Shadid

Program Planner – Homeless Services

Planning Department|Community Development

420 W. Main St., Suite 920|Oklahoma City, OK, 73102

(405) 297-3608

www.okc.gov/homeokc

he/him



2024-25 CONTINUUM OF CARE RANKINGS						OK-502
PROJECT	A/R	TIER	RANK	SCORE	REQUEST/ELIGIBLE	FUNDING
HOMELESS ALLIANCE - YHDP PSH	A	1	NOT RANKED	100	\$288,520	\$288,520
HOPE - YHDP DIVERSION	A	1	NOT RANKED	100	\$150,000	\$150,000
SISU - YHDP HOST HOMES	A	1	NOT RANKED	100	\$51,812	\$51,812
SISU - YHDP TH+RRH	A	1	NOT RANKED	100	\$477,859	\$477,859
SISU YHDP DROP-IN CENTER	A	1	NOT RANKED	100	\$496,665	\$496,665
OKC HMIS	A	1	1	100	\$354,720	\$354,720
OKC CES	A	1	2	100	\$233,039	\$233,039
CENTRALIZED INTAKE	A	1	3	100	\$21,400	\$21,400
CITY RESCUE - RRH	A	1	4	100	\$329,260	\$329,260
HOPE - CH 32	A	1	5	81.6	\$431,233	\$431,233
HOPE - S+C 39	A	1	6	81.2	\$464,428	\$464,428
CITY CARE - WESTLAWN	A	1	7	81.2	\$229,760	\$229,760
MENTAL HEALTH ASSOCIATION - LTS	A	1	8	77.4	\$222,608	\$222,608
HOMELESS ALLIANCE - BUILDING FOUNDATIONS	A	1	9	76	\$923,997	\$923,997
MENTAL HEALTH ASSOCIATION - SH	A	1	10	75.8	\$509,678	\$509,678
HOMELESS ALLIANCE - SAFE HOMES	A	1	11	73	\$600,900	\$600,900
HOPE - HHP	A	1/2	12	71.6	\$599,599	\$599,599
CITY CARE - PERSHING	A	2	13	71	\$363,251	\$363,251
(BONUS) CITY RESCUE	A	2	14	99.8	\$559,900	\$491,344
(BONUS) CATHOLIC CHARITIES	A	2	15	97	\$324,274	\$324,274
TOTAL						\$7,564,347

2024-25 CONTINUUM OF CARE RANKINGS				OK-502		DV BONUS / EXPANSION
PROJECT	A/R	TIER	RANK	SCORE	REQUEST	FUNDING
HOMELESS ALLIANCE - SAFE HOMES	A	2	1	74	\$304,000	\$304,000 \$255,915 (BONUS) \$48,085 (REALLOCATION)
CITY RESCUE MISSION - DV RRH	A	2	2	72	\$592,405	\$592,405
TOTAL						\$592,405

2024-25 CONTINUUM OF CARE RANKINGS			OK-502	PLANNING	
PROJECT	A/R	TIER	RANK	SCORE	REQUEST
FY24 OKC COC PLANNING PROJECT	A	NA	NA	NA	\$339,841
TOTAL					\$339,841

2024-25 CONTINUUM OF CARE RANKINGS			OK-502		REALLOCATION	
PROJECT	A/R	TIER	RANK	SCORE	ELIGIBLE	FUNDING
CEC DV	NA	NA	NA	NA	\$48,085	\$0
TOTAL						\$0



Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA). This group also makes funding recommendations for the City's Social Services grant. The HUD Continuum of Care Grant is an annual competitive grant that builds on the previous year's performance in developing specialized housing with support for those who are homeless, chronically homeless and have significant disabilities.

The OKC CoC has adopted By-Laws and a Code of Conduct for conducting their business.

OKC CoC Notices and Applications

2024

- **FY 24 OKC CoC New & Renewal Project Rankings**
- [FY 24 OKC Coc Local Notice of Funding](#)
- [FY 24 CoC Federal Notice of Funding](#)
- [FY 24 OKC CoC Renewal Project Application](#)
- [FY 24 OKC CoC Bonus Project Application](#)
- [FY 24 CoC Expansion Project Application](#)
- [FY 24 OKC CoC YHDP Renewal Project Application](#)
- [FY 24 OKC CoC YHDP Replacement-Reallocation Project Application](#)
- [FY 24 OKC CoC Ranking + Reallocation Policies + Scoring Forms](#)
- [CoC 2024 Start Up Training](#)
- [FY 24 OKC CoC Local Notice of Funding - CoC Builds](#)
- [FY 24 Federal Notice of Funding - CoC Builds](#)
- [FY 24 OKC CoC Builds Thershold + Summary App](#)
- [HUD CoC Builds Budget Worksheet](#)
- [CoC Builds 2024 Start Up Training](#)

2023

- [FY 2023 COC CONSOLIDATED APPLICATION](#)
- [FY 23 CoC New & Renewal Project Rankings](#)
- [FY 23 CoC HUD Notice of Funding Opportunity \(NOFO\)](#)



Search okc.gov 🔍

Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA). This group also makes funding recommendations for the City's Social Services grant. The HUD Continuum of Care Grant is an annual competitive grant that builds on the previous year's performance in developing specialized housing with support for those who are homeless, chronically homeless and have significant disabilities.

The OKC CoC has adopted By-Laws and a Code of Conduct for conducting their business.

OKC CoC Notices and Applications

2024

- **FY 24 OKC CoC Full Consolidated Application**
- [FY 24 OKC CoC New & Renewal Project Rankings](#)
- [FY 24 OKC Coc Local Notice of Funding](#)
- [FY 24 CoC Federal Notice of Funding](#)
- [FY 24 OKC CoC Renewal Project Application](#)
- [FY 24 OKC CoC Bonus Project Application](#)
- [FY 24 CoC Expansion Project Application](#)
- [FY 24 OKC CoC YHDP Renewal Project Application](#)
- [FY 24 OKC CoC YHDP Replacement-Reallocation Project Application](#)
- [FY 24 OKC CoC Ranking + Reallocation Policies + Scoring Forms](#)
- [CoC 2024 Start Up Training](#)
- [FY 24 OKC CoC Local Notice of Funding - CoC Builds](#)
- [FY 24 Federal Notice of Funding - CoC Builds](#)
- [FY 24 OKC CoC Builds Thershold + Summary App](#)
- [HUD CoC Builds Budget Worksheet](#)
- [CoC Builds 2024 Start Up Training](#)

2023

- [FY 2023 COC CONSOLIDATED APPLICATION](#)
- [FY 23 CoC New & Renewal Project Rankings](#)

****FY24 COC CONSOLIDATED APPLICATION POSTING****



Shadid, Jerod R

To Meghan Mueller; Kyle Hendricks (khendricks@heartlineoklahoma.org); Beth Burke; Margi Preston (mpreston@heartlineoklahoma.org);
 Laura Gregory; mgillett; Greg Shinn; Angela L. Wernke; Billy J. Ray; Gabby C. Evans; Brent R. VanBerg; **+34 others**

Cc Caves, Jamie; Warren, Erika; Leveston-sharpe, Sharon L; Rebman, Amanda L; cross@hall-capital.com; McDermid, Aubrey E;
 Sarah Roberts; Grace Mortensen-Korth; Lexi Hill; Robert Stonebraker; Deborah Jenkins; David Odle; Gary Jones; **+8 others**

This message was sent with Low importance.

Reply Reply All Forward

Mon 10/28/2024 12:16 PM

This message is for CoC Applicants & Stakeholders,

The FY 24 Consolidated Application for the Oklahoma City Continuum of Care has been completed and posted to the CoC Notices and Applications page [HERE](#).

The application will be submitted to HUD this Wednesday, October 20th before close of business.

If you have any questions about the application, please feel free to contact me at this address.

Alternate link here if needed: <https://www.okc.gov/departments/planning/programs/homelessness/continuum-of-care>

Thank You,

Jerod Shadid
Program Planner – Homeless Services
Planning Department|Community Development
420 W. Main St., Suite 920|Oklahoma City, OK, 73102
(405) 297-3608
www.okc.gov/homeokc

From: [Shadid, Jerod R](#)
To: [Meghan Mueller](#); [Kyle Hendricks \(khendricks@heartlineoklahoma.org\)](#); [Beth Burke](#); [Margi Preston \(mpreston@heartlineoklahoma.org\)](#); [Laura Gregory](#); [mgillett](#); [Greg Shinn](#); [Angela L. Wernke](#); [Billy J. Ray](#); [Gabby C. Evans](#); [Brent R. VanBerg](#); [Adam Luck](#); [Rachel Freeman \(rachel@citycareokc.org\)](#); [Leann Davis](#); [Leslie Word](#); [Shawn Lloyd \(shawn@citycareokc.org\)](#); [Jesse Andersen](#); [HMIS HMIS](#); [Mark Davis](#); [Susan Scott](#); [Tara Ryan](#); [Tate Hughes](#); [Erin Goodin \(egoodin@cityrescue.org\)](#); [sparker@cityrescue.org](#); [Jennifer Goodrich \(jennifer.goodrich@pivotok.org\)](#); [Gregory Shannon-O'Shea](#); [Jamie Zumwalt \(jamie@outrageous.love\)](#); [Bradley Rachel](#); [Guest, Talaytha](#); [awilder@sisuyouth.org](#); [Greg Beben](#); [Michael Figgins \(michael.figgins@laok.org\)](#); [Periann Pulliam](#); [Alicia Terry](#); [Margaret Creighton](#); [Kelly Berger \(KBerger@positivetomorrow.org\)](#); [Patrick Raglow \(praglow@ccaokc.org\)](#); [Theresa Flannery](#); [Jennifer Kill](#); [Isabella Lawson](#); [Debbie Haller \(dhaller@communityhealthok.org\)](#); [Stacey Ninness](#); [Jan Peery \(jpeery@ywcaokc.org\)](#); [Connie Schlittler](#); [Dallaly Lahcen](#)
Cc: [Caves, Jamie](#); [Warren, Erika](#); [Leveston-sharpe, Sharon L](#); [Rebman, Amanda L](#); [crosso@hall-capital.com](#); [McDermid, Aubrey E](#); [Sarah Roberts](#); [Grace Mortensen-Korth](#); [Lexi Hill](#); [Robert Stonebraker](#); [Deborah Jenkins](#); [David Odle](#); [Gary Jones](#); [Gary Brooks](#); [Tammy McGhee](#); [Justin Brown](#); [rrivas@cityrescue.org](#); [Rachel C. Holt](#); [Sandra Puebla](#); [Dan Straughan](#); [Kelly Gray](#)
Subject: **FY24 COC CONSOLIDATED APPLICATION POSTING**
Date: Monday, October 28, 2024 12:15:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
Importance: Low

This message is for CoC Applicants & Stakeholders,

The FY 24 Consolidated Application for the Oklahoma City Continuum of Care has been completed and posted to the CoC Notices and Applications page [HERE](#).

The application will be submitted to HUD this Wednesday, October 20th before close of business.

If you have any questions about the application, please feel free to contact me at this address.

Alternate link here if needed:

<https://www.okc.gov/departments/planning/programs/homelessness/continuum-of-care>

Thank You,

Jerod Shadid
Program Planner – Homeless Services
Planning Department|Community Development
420 W. Main St., Suite 920|Oklahoma City, OK, 73102
(405) 297-3608

www.okc.gov/homeokc

he/him



****FY24 COC CONSOLIDATED APPLICATION POSTING - CORRECTION****



Shadid, Jerod R

Message action buttons: Reply, Reply All, Forward, and a share icon.

Tue 10/29/2024 3:30 PM

To: mmueller; Kyle Hendricks (khendricks@heartlineoklahoma.org); Beth Burke; Margi Preston (mpreston@heartlineoklahoma.org); Laura.Gregory; mgillett; Greg Shinn; Angela L. Wernke; Billy.Ray; Gabby C. Evans; Brett.Vanberg; adam; +33 others
Cc: Caves, Jamie; Warren, Erika; Leveston-sharpe, Sharon L; Rebman, Amanda L; cmass; McDermid, Aubrey E; Sarah Roberts; Grace Mortensen-Korth; Lexi Hill; Robert Stonebraker; Deborah Jenkins; Odle, David; Gary Jones; Gary Brooks; +7 others



[If there are problems with how this message is displayed, click here to view it in a web browser.](#)

Hello Everyone,

You likely have already noticed this but there is a correction to the notice of posting for the FY24 CoC Application sent out yesterday. The application will be submitted Wednesday October **30TH**, not 20th. Please contact us if you have any questions.

Thank you,

Jerod Shadid
Program Planner – Homeless Services
Planning Department|Community Development
420 W. Main St., Suite 920|Oklahoma City, OK, 73102
(405) 297-3608
www.okc.gov/homeokc
he/him



From: Shadid, Jerod R
Sent: Monday, October 28, 2024 12:15 PM
To: Meghan Mueller (mmueller@homelessalliance.org); Kyle Hendricks (khendricks@heartlineoklahoma.org); Beth Burke (bburke@heartlineoklahoma.org); Margi Preston (mpreston@heartlineoklahoma.org);

From: [Shadid, Jerod R](#)
To: [mmueller](#); [Kyle Hendricks \(khendricks@heartlineoklahoma.org\)](#); [Beth Burke](#); [Margi Preston \(mpreston@heartlineoklahoma.org\)](#); [Laura Gregory](#); [mgillett](#); [Greg Shinn](#); [Angela L. Wernke](#); [Billy Ray](#); [Gabby C. Evans](#); [Brett Vanberg](#); [adam](#); [Rachel Freeman](#); [Leann Davis](#); [Leslie Word](#); [Shawn Lloyd \(shawn@citycareokc.org\)](#); [Jesse Andersen](#); [Keith Anderson](#); [Mark Davis](#); [Susan Scott](#); [Tara Ryan](#); [Tate Hughes](#); [Erin Goodin](#); [Skylar Parker](#); [Jennifer Goodrich \(jennifer.goodrich@pivotok.org\)](#); [Gregory Shannon-O'Shea](#); [Jamie Zumwalt \(jamie@outrageous.love\)](#); [Rachel Bradley](#); [Tajetha Guest](#); [awilder@sisuyouth.org](#); [Greg Beben](#); [Michael Figgins \(michael.figgins@laok.org\)](#); [Periann Pulliam](#); [Alicia Terry](#); [Margaret Creighton](#); [Kelly Berger \(KBerger@positivetomorrow.org\)](#); [Patrick Raglow \(praglow@ccaokc.org\)](#); [Theresa Flannery](#); [Jennifer Kill](#); [Isabella Lawson](#); [Debbie Haller](#); [Stacey Ninness](#); [Jan Peery \(jpeery@ywcaokc.org\)](#); [Connie Schlittler](#); [Dallaly, Lahcen](#)
Cc: [Caves, Jamie](#); [Warren, Erika](#); [Leveston-sharpe, Sharon L](#); [Rebman, Amanda L](#); [cmoss](#); [McDermid, Aubrey E](#); [Sarah Roberts](#); [Grace Mortensen-Korth](#); [Lexi Hill](#); [Robert Stonebraker](#); [Deborah Jenkins](#); [Odle, David](#); [Gary Jones](#); [Gary Brooks](#); [Tammy McGhee](#); [Justin Brown](#); [rivas@cityrescue.org](#); [Rachel C. Holt](#); [Sandra Puebla](#); [danstraughan](#); [Kelly Gray](#)
Subject: **FY24 COC CONSOLIDATED APPLICATION POSTING - CORRECTION**
Date: Tuesday, October 29, 2024 3:29:33 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[Outlook-n1somvya.png](#)

Hello Everyone,

You likely have already noticed this but there is a correction to the notice of posting for the FY24 CoC Application sent out yesterday. The application will be submitted Wednesday October **30TH**, not 20th. Please contact us if you have any questions.

Thank you,

Jerod Shadid
Program Planner – Homeless Services
Planning Department|Community Development
420 W. Main St., Suite 920|Oklahoma City, OK, 73102
(405) 297-3608
www.okc.gov/homeokc
he/him



From: Shadid, Jerod R
Sent: Monday, October 28, 2024 12:15 PM
To: Meghan Mueller <mmueller@homelessalliance.org>; Kyle Hendricks (khendricks@heartlineoklahoma.org) <khendricks@heartlineoklahoma.org>; Beth Burke <bburke@heartlineoklahoma.org>; Margi Preston (mpreston@heartlineoklahoma.org) <mpreston@heartlineoklahoma.org>; Laura Gregory <lgregory@ochanet.org>; mgillett <mgillett@ochanet.org>; Greg Shinn <gshinn@ochanet.org>; Angela L. Wernke <alwernke@hopecsi.org>; Billy J. Ray <bjray@hopecsi.org>; Gabby C. Evans <gcevans@hopecsi.org>; Brent R. VanBerg <brvanberg@hopecsi.org>; Adam Luck <adam@citycareokc.org>; Rachel Freeman (rachel@citycareokc.org) <rachel@citycareokc.org>; Leann Davis <leann@citycareokc.org>; Leslie Word <Leslie@citycareokc.org>; Shawn Lloyd (shawn@citycareokc.org) <shawn@citycareokc.org>; Jesse Andersen <JAndersen@homelessalliance.org>; HMIS HMIS <hmis@isok.biz>; Mark Davis <mdavis@mhaok.org>; Susan Scott <susan.scott@mhaok.org>; Tara Ryan <TRyan@homelessalliance.org>; Tate Hughes <tthughes@homelessalliance.org>; Erin Goodin (egoodin@cityrescue.org) <egoodin@cityrescue.org>; sparker@cityrescue.org <sparker@cityrescue.org>; Jennifer Goodrich (jennifer.goodrich@pivotok.org) <jennifer.goodrich@pivotok.org>; Gregory Shannon-O'Shea <gregory.shannon-o'shea@pivotok.org>; Jamie Zumwalt (jamie@outrageous.love) <jamie@outrageous.love>; Bradley, Rachel <rbradley@sisuyouth.org>; Guest, Talaytha <tguest@sisuyouth.org>; awilder@sisuyouth.org <awilder@sisuyouth.org>; Greg Beben <gregory.beben@laok.org>; Michael Figgins (michael.figgins@laok.org) <michael.figgins@laok.org>; Periann Pulliam <ppulliam@upwardtransitions.org>; Alicia Terry <aterry@upwardtransitions.org>; Margaret Creighton <MCreighton@positivetomorrow.org>; Kelly Berger (KBerger@positivetomorrow.org) <KBerger@positivetomorrow.org>; Patrick Raglow (praglow@ccaokc.org) <praglow@ccaokc.org>; Theresa Flannery <tflannery@ccaokc.org>; Jennifer Kill <jkill@ccaokc.org>; Isabella Lawson <ilawson@communityhealthok.org>; Debbie Haller (dhaller@communityhealthok.org) <dhaller@communityhealthok.org>; Stacey Ninness <sninness@nsook.org>; Jan Peery (jpeery@ywcaokc.org) <jpeery@ywcaokc.org>; Connie Schlittler <connie.schlittler@NorthCare.com>; Dallaly, Lahcen <Lahcen.Dallaly@odmhas.org>
Cc: Caves, Jamie <jamie.caves@OKC.GOV>; Warren, Erika <erika.warren@OKC.GOV>; Leveston-sharpe, Sharon L <sharon.leveston-sharpe@okc.gov>; Rebman, Amanda L <amanda.rebman@okc.gov>; cmoss@hall-capital.com <cmoss@hall-capital.com>; McDermid, Aubrey E <aubrey.mcdermid@okc.gov>; Sarah Roberts <sroberts@inasmuchfoundation.org>; Grace Mortensen-Korth <gmortensenkorth@unitedwayokc.org>; Lexi Hill <lexi.hill23@gmail.com>; Robert Stonebraker <RStonebraker@homelessalliance.org>; Deborah Jenkins <deborah.jenkins@ohfa.org>; David Odle <David.Odle@okdhs.org>; Gary Jones <GJones@okmar.org>; Gary Brooks <Gary@cornerstonedelivers.com>; Tammy McGhee <tammy.mcghee@clutchconsultinggroup.com>; Justin Brown

<jbrown@secretarybrown.com>; rrivas@cityrescue.org <rrivas@cityrescue.org>; Rachel C. Holt <rholt@unitedwayokc.org>; Sandra Puebla <sandra.puebla@okhca.org>; Dan Straughan <danstraughan@homelessalliance.org>; Kelly Gray <kmgray@me.com>

Subject: **FY24 COC CONSOLIDATED APPLICATION POSTING**

This message is for CoC Applicants & Stakeholders,

The FY 24 Consolidated Application for the Oklahoma City Continuum of Care has been completed and posted to the CoC Notices and Applications page [HERE](#).

The application will be submitted to HUD this Wednesday, October 20th before close of business.

If you have any questions about the application, please feel free to contact me at this address.

Alternate link here if needed:

<https://www.okc.gov/departments/planning/programs/homelessness/continuum-of-care>

Thank You,

Jerod Shadid
Program Planner – Homeless Services
Planning Department|Community Development
420 W. Main St., Suite 920|Oklahoma City, OK, 73102
(405) 297-3608
www.okc.gov/homeokc
he/him



 🔍

Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA). This group also makes funding recommendations for the City's Social Services grant. The HUD Continuum of Care Grant is an annual competitive grant that builds on the previous year's performance in developing specialized housing with support for those who are homeless, chronically homeless and have significant disabilities.

The OKC CoC has adopted By-Laws and a Code of Conduct for conducting their business.

OKC CoC Notices and Applications

2024

- **FY 24 OKC CoC Full Consolidated Application**
- [FY 24 OKC CoC New & Renewal Project Rankings](#)
- [FY 24 OKC Coc Local Notice of Funding](#)
- [FY 24 CoC Federal Notice of Funding](#)
- [FY 24 OKC CoC Renewal Project Application](#)
- [FY 24 OKC CoC Bonus Project Application](#)
- [FY 24 CoC Expansion Project Application](#)
- [FY 24 OKC CoC YHDP Renewal Project Application](#)
- [FY 24 OKC CoC YHDP Replacement-Reallocation Project Application](#)
- [FY 24 OKC CoC Ranking + Reallocation Policies + Scoring Forms](#)
- [CoC 2024 Start Up Training](#)
- [FY 24 OKC CoC Local Notice of Funding - CoC Builds](#)
- [FY 24 Federal Notice of Funding - CoC Builds](#)
- [FY 24 OKC CoC Builds Thershold + Summary App](#)
- [HUD CoC Builds Budget Worksheet](#)
- [CoC Builds 2024 Start Up Training](#)

2023

- [FY 2023 COC CONSOLIDATED APPLICATION](#)
- [FY 23 CoC New & Renewal Project Rankings](#)



September 20, 2023

Jerod Shadid
Program Planner – Homeless Services
Oklahoma City Planning Department
Community Development Division

Re: Housing Choice Voucher Commitment

Mr. Shadid,

Please accept this letter of commitment on behalf of the Oklahoma City Housing Authority (OCHA) to participate in the Key To Home initiative and assist the Oklahoma City Continuum of Care in housing 75% of the City's unsheltered chronically homeless population over the next two years. With this letter we are confirming the commitment of 100 Housing Choice Vouchers to provide permanent units to individuals who are currently living in an unsheltered environment using a housing first approach.

Oklahoma City Housing Authority provides affordable, decent, safe, and sanitary housing or housing assistance, with quality environments and opportunities to low income people of Oklahoma City.

We are excited to be part of this effort and look forward to working with the Oklahoma City Continuum of Care.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Mark W. Gillett".

Mark W. Gillett
Executive Director

MG:LG



100 N.W. 63rd, Suite 200
Oklahoma City, OK 73116
P. O. Box 26720
Oklahoma City, OK 73126-0720
Phone: (405) 848-1144
Toll Free: (800) 256-1489
TDD: (405) 848-7471
www.ohfa.org

September 26, 2023

Jerod Shadid
Oklahoma City Planning Department
420 West Main (9th Floor)
Oklahoma City, OK 73104

Mr. Shadid:

The Oklahoma Housing Finance Agency (OHFA) is fully committed to participating in the Key To Home initiative and assisting the Oklahoma City Continuum of Care (CoC) in their efforts to house the unsheltered chronically homeless population.

In line with this commitment, OHFA is pleased to pledge the provision of 100 housing choice vouchers. These vouchers will directly contribute to the establishment of permanent housing solutions for individuals who are currently living in unsheltered environments. By utilizing a housing first approach, we aim to prioritize the immediate needs of these vulnerable individuals and create lasting positive change in their lives.

We at OHFA are excited about the opportunity to collaborate closely with the Oklahoma City Continuum of Care, as well as other stakeholders involved in this crucial initiative. Together, we can work towards our shared goal of significantly reducing homelessness and providing sustainable housing options for those in need.

Thank you for including OHFA in this initiative. We are eager to move forward and make a meaningful impact on the lives of the chronically homeless population in Oklahoma City. Should you require any further information or support, please do not hesitate to reach out.

Sincerely,

Deborah Jenkins
Executive Director

Before Starting the Project Listings for the CoC Priority Listing

The CoC Consolidated Application requires TWO submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Application MUST be completed and submitted prior to the CoC Program Competition submission deadline stated in the NOFO.

The CoC Priority Listing includes:

- Reallocation forms – must be completed if the CoC is reallocating eligible renewal projects to create new projects or if a project applicant will transition from an existing component to an eligible new component.
- Project Listings:

- New;
- Renewal;
- UFA Costs;
- CoC Planning;
- YHDP Renewal; and
- YHDP Replacement and Reallocation.
- Attachment Requirement

- HUD-2991, Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan – Collaborative Applicants must attach an accurately completed, signed, and dated HUD-2991.

Things to Remember:

- New and Renewal Project Listings – all CoC project applications must be reviewed, approved and ranked, or rejected based on the local CoC competition process.
- Project applications on the following Project Listings must be approved and are not ranked per the FY 2024 - FY 2025 CoC Program Competition NOFO:

- UFA Costs Project Listing;
- CoC planning Project Listing;
- YHDP Renewal Project Listing (All Rounds); and
- YHDP Replacement and Reallocation Project Listing.
- Collaborative Applicants are responsible for ensuring all project applications accurately appear on the Project Listings and there are no project applications missing from one or more Project Listings.
- For each project application rejected by the CoC the Collaborative Applicant must select the reason for the rejection from the dropdown provided.
- If the Collaborative Applicant needs to amend a project application for any reason, the Collaborative Applicant MUST ensure the amended project is returned to the applicable Project Listing AND ranked or approved BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing to HUD in e-snaps.

Additional training resources are available online on HUD's website.
https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

Instructions:

For guidance on completing the CoC Priority listing, please reference the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide on HUD's website.
https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

Collaborative Applicant Name: City of Oklahoma City

2. Reallocation

Instructions:

For guidance on completing the CoC Priority listing, please reference the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide on HUD's website.
https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition

2-1 Is the CoC reallocating funds from one or more eligible renewal grant(s) that will expire in Calendar Year 2025 into one or more new projects? Yes

Alert:

As stated in the FY 2024 - FY 2025 CoC Program Competition NOFO:

- CoCs may reallocate YHDPs project from any Round to create new YHDP projects, so long as the project eliminated or reduced has renewed during the CoC Program Competition at least once.

Reallocated YHDP funding can ONLY be used to create new YHDP projects.

- If a CoC reallocates funding from a renewal project that was previously awarded DV Bonus funding, any new project created with such funding must be 100 percent dedicated to serving individuals and families of persons experiencing trauma or a lack of safety related to fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking who qualify under the definition of homeless at 24 CFR 578.3 or section 103(b) of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act.

3. Reallocation - Grant(s) Eliminated

CoCs reallocating eligible CoC, DV Renewal or YHDP renewal project funds to create new project application(s) – as detailed in the FY 2024 - FY 2025 CoC Program Competition NOFO – may do so by eliminating one or more expiring eligible projects.

CoCs that are eliminating eligible CoC, YHDP and DV Renewal projects must identify the funding source for those projects on this form.

YHDP Renewal Grants and DV Renewal Grants may only be reallocated to create new projects that serve the same populations/subpopulations as the projects the funding was reallocated from.

Amount Available for New CoC Projects: (Sum of All Eliminated CoC Renewal Projects)				
\$0				
Amount Available for New YHDP Projects: (Sum of All Eliminated YHDP Restricted Projects)				
\$0				
Amount Available for New DV Projects: (Sum of All Eliminated DV Restricted Projects)				
\$48,085				
Eliminated Project Name	Grant Number Eliminated	Component Type	Funding Type	Annual Renewal Amount
CEC DV	OK0177D6I022302	PH-RRH	DV Renewal	\$48,085

3. Reallocation - Grant(s) Eliminated Details

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2024 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and FY 2024 CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide on HUD's website. https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

3-1 Complete each of the fields below for each eligible renewal grant that is being eliminated during the reallocation process. Refer to the FY 2024 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information entered is accurate.

Eliminated Project Name: CEC DV
Grant Number of Eliminated Project: OK0177D6I022302
Eliminated Project Component Type: PH-RRH
Funding Type: DV Renewal
Eliminated Project Annual Renewal Amount: \$48,085

3-2. Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be eliminated and include the date the project applicant was notified. (limit 2500 characters)

The subrecipient for this project determined themselves that they could no longer operate the project and wanted to reallocate it. They notified the CoC Lead on September 5, 2024 that they wished to end it and documentation of that notice is included in the attachment for 1E-5 on our application, "Notification of Projects Rejected - Reduced."

4. Reallocation - Grant(s) Reduced

CoCs that are reallocating eligible CoC Renewal, DV Renewal and YHDP Renewal project funds to create new project applications – as detailed in the FY 2024 - FY 2025 CoC Program Competition NOFO – may do so by reducing one or more expiring eligible renewal projects. CoCs reducing eligible renewal projects must identify the funding source for those projects on this form.

YHDP Renewal Grants and DV Renewal Grants may only be reallocated to create new projects that serve the same populations/subpopulations as the projects the funding was reallocated from.

Amount Available for New CoC Project(s): (Sum of All Reduced CoC Projects)							
Amount available for New YHDP Project(s): (Sum of All Reduced YHDP Projects)							
Amount available for New DV Project(s): (Sum of All Reduced DV Projects)							
Reduced Project Name	Reduced Grant Number	Funding Type	Annual Renewal Amount	Amount Retained	Amount available for YHDP Project	Amount available for DV Project	Amount available for New Project
This list contains no items							

Continuum of Care (CoC) New Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the New Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD’s website.

To upload all new project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of new projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the New Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make the necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps. https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC’s Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which informs HUD which projects your CoC is prioritizing.

EX1_Project_List_Status_field List Updated Successfully

Project Name	Date Submitted	Comp Type	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Grant Term	PH/Realloc	Rank	PSH/RRH	Expansion
HA Safe Homes (Ex...	2024-10-25 15:25:...	Joint TH & PH-RRH	City of Oklahoma ...	\$304,000	1 Year	Reallocation + Co...	E18		Yes
Progressive Steps	2024-10-24 22:22:...	Joint TH & PH-RRH	Archae Frazier	\$199,908	1 Year	CoC Bonus	X		
City Rescue DV	2024-10-25 15:43:...	Joint TH & PH-RRH	City of Oklahoma ...	\$592,405	1 Year	DV Bonus	D19		

City Rescue ERI R...	2024-10-28 09:56:...	Joint TH & PH-RRH	City of Oklahoma a ...	\$555,976	1 Year	CoC Bonus	16		
Catholic Charitie...	2024-10-28 10:00:...	Joint TH & PH-RRH	City of Oklahoma a ...	\$259,642	1 Year	CoC Bonus	17		

Continuum of Care (CoC) Renewal Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the Renewal Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload all renewal project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of renewal projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the Renewal Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

The Collaborative Applicant certifies that there is a demonstrated need for all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid re-housing projects listed on the Renewal Project Listing.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
The Collaborative Applicant certifies all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the Renewal Project Listing comply with program requirements and appropriate standards of quality and habitability.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
The Collaborative Applicant does not have any renewal permanent supportive housing or rapid re-housing renewal projects.	<input type="checkbox"/>

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which informs HUD which projects your CoC is prioritizing.

EX1_Project_List_Status_field

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Rank	PSH/RRH	Comp Type	Consolidation Type	Expansion Type
Homeless Alliance..	2024-10-25 15:25:...	1 Year	City of Oklahoma a ...	\$600,900	E13		Joint TH & PH-RRH		Expansion
Building Foundati..	2024-10-25 15:23:...	1 Year	City of Oklahoma a ...	\$923,997	11	PSH	PH		
Hope Shelter Plus...	2024-10-25 15:38:...	1 Year	City of Oklahoma a ...	\$412,628	C7	PSH	PH	Survivor	
Hope Shelter Plus...	2024-10-25 15:37:...	1 Year	City of Oklahoma a ...	\$51,800	C8	PSH	PH	Individual	
City Rescue - Rap...	2024-10-25 15:10:...	1 Year	City of Oklahoma a ...	\$329,260	4		Joint TH & PH-RRH		
Hope CH32	2024-10-25 15:33:...	1 Year	City of Oklahoma a ...	\$377,633	C5	PSH	PH	Survivor	
Hope CH32 (Expans..	2024-10-25 15:36:...	1 Year	City of Oklahoma a ...	\$53,600	C6	PSH	PH	Individual	
LTS OKC Consolidated	2024-10-25 15:03:...	1 Year	City of Oklahoma a ...	\$222,608	10	PSH	PH		
OKC HMIS	2024-10-25 14:32:...	1 Year	City of Oklahoma a ...	\$354,720	1		HMIS		
MHA Supportive Ho...	2024-10-25 15:08:...	1 Year	City of Oklahoma a ...	\$509,678	12	PSH	PH		
Centralized Intak...	2024-10-25 15:38:...	1 Year	City of Oklahoma a ...	\$21,400	3		SSO		
OKC CES	2024-10-25 14:39:...	1 Year	City of Oklahoma a ...	\$233,039	2		SSO		

Hope Housing Plus...	2024-10-25 15:32:...	1 Year	City of Oklahoma a ...	\$599,599	14	PSH	PH		
Westlaw n Permane n...	2024-10-25 15:29:...	1 Year	City of Oklahoma a ...	\$229,760	9	PSH	PH		
Pershing Center P...	2024-10-25 15:30:...	1 Year	City of Oklahoma a ...	\$363,251	15	PSH	PH		

Continuum of Care (CoC) Planning Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the CoC Planning Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload the CoC planning project application submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes while the project is located in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review the CoC Planning Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to view the project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application, you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

Only one CoC planning project application can be submitted and only by the Collaborative Applicant designated by the CoC which must match the Collaborative Applicant information on the CoC Applicant Profile.

https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which informs HUD which projects your CoC is accepting.

EX1_Project_List_Status_field List Updated Successfully

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Accepted?
CoC Planning Proj...	2024-10-25 14:58:...	1 Year	City of Oklahoma ...	\$339,841	Yes

Continuum of Care (CoC) YHDP Renewal Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the YHDP Renewal Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload all YHDP Renewal project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of YHDP Renewal projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system.

You may update each of the Project simultaneously. To review a project on the YHDP Renewal Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked (if applicable) or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps. .

As stated in the FY 2024 - FY 2025 NOFO, YHDP Renewal and YHDP Replacement applications must not be ranked.
https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

The Collaborative Applicant certifies that there is a demonstrated need for all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the YHDP Renewal Project Listing.

X

The Collaborative Applicant certifies all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the YHDP Renewal Project Listing comply with program requirements and appropriate standards of quality and habitability.

X

The Collaborative Applicant does not have any renewal permanent supportive housing or rapid rehousing YHDP renewal projects.

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which informs HUD which projects your CoC is accepting.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Comp Type	Grant Term	Accepted?	PSH/RRH	Consolidation Type
YHDP Permanent Su...	2024-10-25 15:24:...	City of Oklahoma ...	\$288,520	PH	1 Year	Yes	PSH	
HOPE YHDP Diversion	2024-10-25 15:39:...	City of Oklahoma ...	\$150,000	SSO	1 Year	Yes		
Sisu TH+RRH	2024-10-27 18:08:...	City of Oklahoma ...	\$477,859	JOINT TH-RRH	1 Year	Yes		
Sisu Host Homes	2024-10-27 18:08:...	City of Oklahoma ...	\$51,812	SSO	1 Year	Yes		
Sisu Drop-In Center	2024-10-27 18:09:...	City of Oklahoma ...	\$496,665	SSO	1 Year	Yes		

Project Applicant Project Details

Project Name: YHDP Permanent Supportive Housing
Project Number: 222502
Date Submitted: 2024-10-25 15:24:25.323
Applicant Name City of Oklahoma City
Budget Amount \$288,520
Project Type PH
Program Type PH
Component Type PH
Grant Term 1 Year
Priority Type PH

Instructions

This form provides the basic information for the YHDP Renewal project applications that were selected for review.

YHDP Renewal project applications will renew noncompetitively and must not be ranked in the FY 2024 - FY 2025 CoC Program and YHDP Competition.

Answer "Yes" or "No" to the question "Do you want to submit this project?"

If "Yes" is selected, click "Save & Back to List."

If "No" is selected, click "Save." A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click "Save & Back to List."

Do you want to submit this project? Yes
(Make selection and click the 'save' button below)

Project Applicant Project Details

Project Name: HOPE YHDP Diversion
Project Number: 222506
Date Submitted: 2024-10-25 15:39:10.11
Applicant Name City of Oklahoma City

Budget Amount \$150,000
Project Type SSO
Program Type SSO
Component Type SSO
Grant Term 1 Year
Priority Type SSO

Instructions

This form provides the basic information for the YHDP Renewal project applications that were selected for review.

YHDP Renewal project applications will renew noncompetitively and must not be ranked in the FY 2024 - FY 2025 CoC Program and YHDP Competition.

Answer "Yes" or "No" to the question "Do you want to submit this project?"

If "Yes" is selected, click "Save & Back to List."

If "No" is selected, click "Save." A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click "Save & Back to List."

Do you want to submit this project? Yes
(Make selection and click the 'save' button below)

Project Applicant Project Details

Project Name: Sisu TH+RRH
Project Number: 222503
Date Submitted: 2024-10-27 18:08:04.216
Applicant Name City of Oklahoma City
Budget Amount \$477,859
Project Type JOINT TH-RRH
Program Type JOINT TH-RRH
Component Type JOINT TH-RRH
Grant Term 1 Year
Priority Type JOINT TH-RRH

Instructions

This form provides the basic information for the YHDP Renewal project applications that were selected for review.

YHDP Renewal project applications will renew noncompetitively and must not be ranked in the FY 2024 - FY 2025 CoC Program and YHDP Competition.

Answer "Yes" or "No" to the question "Do you want to submit this project?"

If "Yes" is selected, click "Save & Back to List."
If "No" is selected, click "Save." A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click "Save & Back to List."

Do you want to submit this project? Yes
(Make selection and click the 'save' button below)

Project Applicant Project Details

Project Name: Sisu Host Homes
Project Number: 222504
Date Submitted: 2024-10-27 18:08:46.004
Applicant Name: City of Oklahoma City
Budget Amount: \$51,812
Project Type: SSO
Program Type: SSO
Component Type: SSO
Grant Term: 1 Year
Priority Type: SSO

Instructions

This form provides the basic information for the YHDP Renewal project applications that were selected for review.

YHDP Renewal project applications will renew noncompetitively and must not be ranked in the FY 2024 - FY 2025 CoC Program and YHDP Competition.

Answer "Yes" or "No" to the question "Do you want to submit this project?"

If "Yes" is selected, click "Save & Back to List."
If "No" is selected, click "Save." A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click "Save & Back to List."

Do you want to submit this project? Yes
(Make selection and click the 'save' button below)

Project Applicant Project Details

Project Name: Sisu Drop-In Center
Project Number: 222505
Date Submitted: 2024-10-27 18:09:25.563
Applicant Name: City of Oklahoma City
Budget Amount: \$496,665
Project Type: SSO
Program Type: SSO
Component Type: SSO
Grant Term: 1 Year
Priority Type: SSO

Instructions

This form provides the basic information for the YHDP Renewal project applications that were selected for review.

YHDP Renewal project applications will renew noncompetitively and must not be ranked in the FY 2024 - FY 2025 CoC Program and YHDP Competition.

Answer "Yes" or "No" to the question "Do you want to submit this project?"

If "Yes" is selected, click "Save & Back to List."

If "No" is selected, click "Save." A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click "Save & Back to List."

Do you want to submit this project? Yes
(Make selection and click the 'save' button below)

Continuum of Care (CoC) YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload all YHDP Replacement project and YHDP Reallocation project applications, submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of YHDP renewal projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system.

You may update each of the projects simultaneously. To review a project on the YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked (if applicable) or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

As stated in the FY 2024 - FY 2025 NOFO, YHDP Renewal, YHDP Reallocation and YHDP Replacement applications must not be ranked.
https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which informs HUD which projects your CoC is accepting.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Comp Type	Grant Term	Funding Type	Accepted?
This list contains no items							

Funding Summary

Instructions

This page provides the total budget summaries for each of the project listings after you approved and ranked or rejected new and renewal project applications. You must review this page to ensure the totals for each of the categories is accurate.

The "Total CoC Request" indicates the total funding request amount your CoC will submit to HUD for funding consideration. As stated previously, only 1 UFA Cost project application (for UFA designated Collaborative Applicants only) and only 1 CoC Planning project application can be submitted and only the Collaborative Applicant designated by the CoC is eligible to request these funds.

Title	Total Amount
CoC Renewal Amount	\$5,283,873
New CoC Bonus and CoC Reallocation Amount	\$1,119,618
New DV Bonus Amount	\$592,405
New DV Reallocation Amount	\$0
CoC Planning Amount	\$339,841
YHDP Renewal and Replacement Amount	\$1,464,856
YHDP Reallocation Amount	
Rejected Amount	\$199,908
TOTAL CoC REQUEST	\$8,800,593

Attachments

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan (HUD-2991)	Yes	OK502 - 2991 ALL	10/28/2024
Other	No		
Other	No		
Project Rating and Ranking Tool (optional)	No		

Attachment Details

Document Description: OK502 - 2991 ALL

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Submission Summary

WARNING: The FY 2024 - FY 2025 CoC Consolidated Application requires submissions of CoC Priority Listings AND the CoC Application.

As stated in the FY 2024 - FY 2025 CoC and YHDP Program NOFO, for FY 2024 funding, CoCs must submit the FY 2024 - 2025 CoC Application and the FY 2024 Priority Listing by the FY 2024 Application Submission Deadline.

WARNING: The FY 2024 - FY 2025 CoC Consolidated Application requires submissions of CoC Priority Listings AND the CoC Application.

As stated in the FY 2024 - FY 2025 CoC and YHDP Program NOFO, for FY 2024 funding, CoCs must submit the FY 2024 - 2025 CoC Application and the FY 2024 Priority Listing by the FY 2024 Application Submission Deadline.

Page	Last Updated
Before Starting	No Input Required
1A. Identification	10/14/2024
2. Reallocation	10/25/2024
3. Grant(s) Eliminated	10/28/2024
4. Grant(s) Reduced	No Input Required
5A. CoC New Project Listing	10/28/2024

Project Priority List FY2024	Page 23	10/29/2024
------------------------------	---------	------------

5B. CoC Renewal Project Listing	10/25/2024
5D. CoC Planning Project Listing	10/25/2024
5E. YHDP Renewal Project Listing	10/27/2024
5F. YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation Project Listing	No Input Required
Funding Summary	No Input Required
Attachments	10/28/2024
Submission Summary	No Input Required

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: City of Oklahoma City

Project Name: Building Foundations Supportive Housing

Location of the Project: Scattered Site - Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Oklahoma City

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: Jerod Shadid

Title: Program Planner - Homeless Services

Signature: _____

Date: 10/25/2024

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.). HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: City of Oklahoma City

Project Name: Catholic Charities ERI Rehousing

Location of the Project: Scattered Site - Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Oklahoma City

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: Jerod Shadid

Title: Program Planner - Homeless Services

Signature: _____

Date: 10/25/2024

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.). HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: City of Oklahoma City

Project Name: OKC CES

Location of the Project: 200 N. Walker Ave - Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Oklahoma City

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: Jerod Shadid

Title: Program Planner - Homeless Services

Signature: _____

Date: 10/25/2024

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.). HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: City of Oklahoma City

Project Name: Hope CH32 (Expansion)

Location of the Project: Scattered Site, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Oklahoma City

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: Jerod Shadid

Title: Program Planner - Homeless Services

Signature: _____

Date: 10/25/2024

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.). HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: City of Oklahoma City

Project Name: Hope CH32

Location of the Project: Scattered Site - Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Oklahoma City

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: Jerod Shadid

Title: Program Planner - Homeless Services

Signature: _____

Date: 10/25/2024

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.). HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: City of Oklahoma City

Project Name: City Rescue - DV RRH

Location of the Project: Scattered Site - Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Oklahoma City

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: Jerod Shadid

Title: Program Planner - Homeless Services

Signature: _____

Date: 10/25/2024

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.). HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: City of Oklahoma City

Project Name: City Rescue ERI Rehousing

Location of the Project: Scattered Site - Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Oklahoma City

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: Jerod Shadid

Title: Program Planner - Homeless Services

Signature: _____

Date: 10/25/2024

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.). HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: City of Oklahoma City

Project Name: City Rescue Mission RRH

Location of the Project: Scattered Site, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Oklahoma City

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: Jerod Shadid

Title: Program Planner - Homeless Services

Signature: _____

Date: 10/25/2024

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.). HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: City of Oklahoma City

Project Name: Hope Housing Plus

Location of the Project: Scattered Site, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Oklahoma City

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: Jerod Shadid

Title: Program Planner - Homeless Services

Signature: _____

Date: 10/25/2024

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.). HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: City of Oklahoma City

Project Name: LTS Apts. OKC

Location of the Project: Scattered Site - Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Oklahoma City

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: Jerod Shadid

Title: Program Planner - Homeless Services

Signature: _____

Date: 10/25/2024

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.). HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: City of Oklahoma City

Project Name: MHA Supportive Housing

Location of the Project: Scattered Site - Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Oklahoma City

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: Jerod Shadid

Title: Program Planner - Homeless Services

Signature: _____

Date: 10/25/2024

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.). HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: City of Oklahoma City

Project Name: OKC HMIS

Location of the Project: 200 N Walker Ave. - Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Oklahoma City

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: Jerod Shadid

Title: Program Planner - Homeless Services

Signature: _____

Date: 10/25/2024

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.). HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: City of Oklahoma City

Project Name: Pershing Center

Location of the Project: 2400 General Pershing Blvd - Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Oklahoma City

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: Jerod Shadid

Title: Program Planner - Homeless Services

Signature: _____

Date: 10/25/2024

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.). HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: City of Oklahoma City

Project Name: Planning Project

Location of the Project: 200 N. Walker - Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Oklahoma City

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: Jerod Shadid

Title: Program Planner - Homeless Services

Signature: _____

Date: 10/25/2024

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.). HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: City of Oklahoma City

Project Name: Hope S+C 39 (Expansion)

Location of the Project: Scattered Site - Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Oklahoma City

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: Jerod Shadid

Title: Program Planner - Homeless Services

Signature: _____

Date: 10/25/2024

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.). HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: City of Oklahoma City

Project Name: Hope S+C 39

Location of the Project: Scattered Site - Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Oklahoma City

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: Jerod Shadid

Title: Program Planner - Homeless Services

Signature: _____

Date: 10/25/2024

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.). HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: City of Oklahoma City

Project Name: Safe Homes (Expansion)

Location of the Project: Scattered Site - Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Oklahoma City

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: Jerod Shadid

Title: Program Planner - Homeless Services

Signature: _____

Date: 10/25/2024

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.). HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: City of Oklahoma City

Project Name: Safe Homes

Location of the Project: Scattered Site - Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Oklahoma City

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: Jerod Shadid

Title: Program Planner - Homeless Services

Signature: _____

Date: 10/25/2024

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.). HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: City of Oklahoma City

Project Name: Westlawn PSH

Location of the Project: Scattered Site - Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Oklahoma City

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: Jerod Shadid

Title: Program Planner - Homeless Services

Signature: _____

Date: 10/25/2024

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.). HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: City of Oklahoma City

Project Name: YHDP Drop-In Center

Location of the Project: 2129 NW 30th St. - Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Oklahoma City

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: Jerod Shadid

Title: Program Planner - Homeless Services

Signature: _____

Date: 10/25/2024

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.). HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: City of Oklahoma City

Project Name: YHDP Diversion

Location of the Project: Scattered Site - Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Oklahoma City

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: Jerod Shadid

Title: Program Planner - Homeless Services

Signature: _____

Date: 10/25/2024

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.). HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: City of Oklahoma City

Project Name: YHDP Host Homes

Location of the Project: Scattered Site, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Oklahoma City

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: Jerod Shadid

Title: Program Planner - Homeless Services

Signature: _____

Date: 10/25/2024

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.). HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: City of Oklahoma City

Project Name: YHDP PSH

Location of the Project: Scattered Site - Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Oklahoma City

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: Jerod Shadid

Title: Program Planner - Homeless Services

Signature: _____

Date: 10/25/2024

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.). HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: City of Oklahoma City

Project Name: YHDP TH+RRH

Location of the Project: Scattered Site - Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Oklahoma City

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: Jerod Shadid

Title: Program Planner - Homeless Services

Signature: _____

Date: 10/25/2024

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.). HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: City of Oklahoma City

Project Name: Coordinated Intake

Location of the Project: 2401 NW 23rd, Ste. 19 - Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Oklahoma City

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: Jerod Shadid

Title: Program Planner - Homeless Services

Signature: _____

Date: 10/25/2024

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.). HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.